COMPLEX DOCKET **DOCKET NO.: CV-14-6025333-S**

ROBIN SHERWOOD and

J.D. OF WATERBURY GREG HOELSCHER

AT WATERBURY : V.

STAMFORD HEALTH SYSTEM, INC.

September 30, 2016 D/B/A STAMFORD HOSPITAL

DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Pursuant to Practice Book § 17-44 through 17-49, defendant, Stamford Health System, Inc., d/b/a Stamford Hospital (hereinafter referred to as "the Hospital"), moves for summary judgment concerning the sole claim in the operative Amended Complaint and the ten new claims alleged in the proposed Amended Complaint.

As more fully set forth in the accompanying memorandum of law, all of the existing and potential claims are barred by the applicable statute of limitations. The ten new claims are barred by the exclusivity provision in Connecticut's Product Liability Act. Finally, Stamford Hospital is not a "product seller" with respect to medical devices it did not manufacture and which were implanted during surgery.

DEFENDANT,

STAMFORD HEALTH SYSTEM, INC., D/B/A STAMFORD HOSPITAL

/s/Simon I. Allentuch

Simon I. Allentuch Anthony C. Famiglietti NEUBERT, PEPE & MONTEITH, P.C. 195 Church Street, 13th Floor New Haven, CT 06510 Tel. (203) 821-2000 Juris No. 407996

CERTIFICATION

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT a copy of the foregoing Motion for Summary Judgment

was emailed, this 30th day of September, 2016, to the following counsel of record:

Brenden P. Leydon, Esq. Jackie Fusco, Esq. Tooher, Wocl & Leydon, LLC 80 4th Street Stamford, CT 06905

Chris Drury, Esq. Shipman & Goodwin, LLP One Constitution Plaza Hartford, CT 06103

/s/ Simon I. Allentuch
Simon I. Allentuch
NEUBERT, PEPE & MONTEITH, P.C.

DOCKET NO.: CV-14-6025333-S : COMPLEX DOCKET

ROBIN SHERWOOD and

GREG HOELSCHER : J.D. OF WATERBURY

V. : AT WATERBURY

STAMFORD HEALTH SYSTEM, INC.

D/B/A STAMFORD HOSPITAL : September 30, 2016

MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Stamford Health System, Inc. d/b/a Stamford Hospital (hereafter "Stamford Hospital"), respectfully submits this Memorandum of Law in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Dispositive motions are routinely granted where a plaintiff's lawsuit is not timely filed within the statute of limitations.¹ Plaintiffs Robin Sherwood and Gregory Hoelscher (collectively the "plaintiff") allege that Stamford Hospital violated Connecticut's Product Liability Act, Conn. Gen. Stat. § 52-572m et. seq. (the "CPLA") when it provided Ms. Sherwood's surgeon, Dr. Brian Hines, with an Ethicon Anterior Prolift device for implantation into Ms. Sherwood during her surgery in Stamford Hospital's operating room. The surgery took place on April 12, 2006 (ten and a half years ago). This Summons and Complaint are dated August 23, 2014, more than eight years later. Pursuant to Conn. Gen. Stat. § 52-572a(a), the CPLA has a three year statute of limitations from the date the injury "is first sustained or discovered or in the exercise of reasonable care could have been discovered." By the end of 2006 or no later than 2008, Ms. Sherwood knew she had problems with the implanted Ethicon Prolift. This case was commenced at least three years after the statute of limitations expired.

¹ "Summary judgment may be granted where the claim is barred by the statute of limitations . . . Summary judgment is appropriate on statute of limitations grounds when the 'material facts concerning the statute of limitations [are] not in dispute." (Citation omitted.) <u>Romprey v. Safeco Ins. Co. of Am.</u>, 310 Conn. 304, 313 (2013).

Plaintiff recently filed a request to amend the operative complaint and add ten new claims for negligence, breach of express warranty, breach of implied warranty, recklessness, civil conspiracy, lack of informed consent, innocent misrepresentation (a claim which does not exist), negligent misrepresentation, intentional misrepresentation, and CUTPA. Assuming these claim relate back to the August 23, 2014 Complaint, they were also filed at least four years after the longest statute of limitations for any of these claims. All of these claims are also barred by the exclusivity provision in the CPLA, which precludes claims for product liability injuries where the plaintiff has asserted a product liability claim. Here, Counts Two through Eleven consist almost entirely of and incorporate the CPLA claim (Count One).

Finally, as a matter of law, in Connecticut and all fifty states, a hospital is not a product seller for medical devices the hospital did not manufacture and which were used during surgery.² The Restatement memorializes this unity of opinion. See Restatement (Third) of Torts: Products Liability § 20, cmt. d ("[I]n a strong majority of jurisdictions, hospitals are held not to be sellers of products they supply in connection with the provision of medical care, regardless of the circumstances."). See also, Zbras v. St. Vincent's Med. Ctr., 91 Conn. App. 289, 880 A.2d 999, 1002 (Conn. App. 2005). For all of these reasons, summary judgment is required on all claims.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Ms. Sherwood has a B.S. degree in microbiology and a second B.S. degree in medical technology. P. 45 (Sherwood Depo.), Exhibit 1, Allentuch Declaration. Before she had children, Ms. Sherwood worked in pathology labs doing microbiology at St. Johns Hospital, Hill Crest Hospital and Sloan-Kettering Hospital. <u>Id.</u> at pp. 48-50.

² While counsel had previously stated in the <u>Farrell</u> case that in certain circumstances a hospital in Louisiana could be liable for the use of defective product, those circumstances do not apply here. <u>Tantillo v. Cordis Corp.</u>, 2004 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 19615, *6 (E.D. La. Sept. 28, 2004)"[T]he LPLA does not provide a cause of action against 'sellers,' but only against manufacturers.")

In 1998, when she was pregnant with her fourth child, Logan, Ms. Sherwood first started experiencing symptoms from a prolapsed uterus. <u>Id.</u> at pp. 56-67. Those symptoms continued, with some interruption, and Ms. Sherwood used a pessary to treat the symptoms from her prolapse. <u>Id.</u> at 58. By 2006, the pessary was not "satisfactory" to Ms. Sherwood because she was having a series of problems with the pessary. <u>Id.</u> at 69. Ms. Sherwood consulted with Dr. Komarynsky, her gynecologist, and Dr. Grey, another gynecologist about treatment options. <u>Id.</u> at 71-72. The prolapse was "disgusting" to Ms. Sherwood. <u>Id.</u> at 75. At approximately the end of 2005, Dr. Komarynsky recommended that Ms. Sherwood see Dr. Hines for treatment of her prolapse. <u>Id.</u> at p. 79. Ms. Sherwood testified that at her January, 2006 visit, Dr. Hines "presented me that the only option for me was mesh. And that it had wonderful results in people." <u>Id.</u> at 81. Ms. Sherwood tried to do further research about the Ethicon Prolift. She searched the term "Ethicon Prolift" on Google but all she found was the brochure Dr. Hines gave her. <u>Id.</u> at 85.

At the time of her surgery, Ms. Sherwood suffered from pelvic organ prolapse, stress urinary incontinence and mild urinary urgency. Her cervix extended 3cm-4cm beyond the entrance to her vagina, among other issues described in her medical record. See Exhibit 2, Allentuch Declaration. On April 12, 2006, Dr. Hines implanted an Ethicon Prolift into Ms. Sherwood at Stamford Hospital to treat her prolapse. Pp. 69, 114 (Sherwood Depo.), Exhibit 1, Allentuch Declaration. Ms. Sherwood agreed that there was no store at Stamford Hospital selling Ethicon Prolifts. Id. at 165. Stamford Hospital purchased or obtained the Prolift from Ethicon for the surgery. Id. at 166. Ms. Sherwood summarized the things Stamford Hospital did wrong in this case as follows:

Q. The things the hospital did wrong were sold you the --sorry, obtained the product, it billed your insurance, and if there

was some kind of physician review board or quality control department that knew about problems with the Prolift, you should have been told that before the surgery?

A Or after.

Id. at 170. She then confirmed that the foregoing were "wrong." Id. at 171.

Ms. Sherwood responded to and agreed with the following summary of the things she believes Dr. Hines did wrong in advance of her Ethicon Prolift surgery:

Q. Okay. All right. So the things that -- things he did wrong in that January 2006 meeting, was he didn't tell you that your vagina could be shortened, hardened, you could have a foreign body reaction, that you could -- the mesh could contract, that the tools that he would use in surgery would go through nerves and could create long-lasting pain, that the mesh was not FDA approved, and that it was relatively -- that it was new and a new treatment for women for pelvic organ prolapse. Are those the things he did wrong?

MS. FUSCO: Objection. You can answer. You can answer if you can.

THE WITNESS: Yes, he didn't tell me that my bowel could be perforated. I would say in summary he did not describe the surgery as a dangerous surgery, but more portrayed it as a simple outpatient procedure.

<u>Id.</u> at 92. When asked whether Dr. Hines knew about those problems before her surgery, Ms. Sherwood testified: "I don't know what he thought. I don't know what he knew." <u>Id.</u> at 100.

Ms. Sherwood went back to see Dr. Hines on April 12, 2007 complaining of dyspareunia (painful sex). See Exhibit 2, Allentuch Declaration. Ms. Sherwood testified: "when I went back to Dr. Hines with complaints, you know, as they were beginning to happen, I did not get from him an acknowledgment that my mesh was shrinking or changing the shape of my body. And he also told me that it was very unusual and he hadn't heard of it before." P. 113 (Sherwood Depo.),

Exhibit 1, Allentuch Declaration. At this point (April, 2007), it appears that Ms. Sherwood knew that the Ethicon Prolift was not functioning properly.

In fact, Ms. Sherwood started having problems with the Ethicon Prolift as early as October/November of 2006. Even at that early date, she was concerned about the medical problems she faced as a result of the Ethicon Prolift. She testified:

-So I feel like six or seven months after the surgery when I already thought I'm, you know, back in the game, that started happening.
- Q All right. So now we're somewhere in the October, November time frame roughly of 2006.
- A Uh-huh.
- Q Did you have any other problems or symptoms post surgery related to the Prolift going forward?
- A Okay. So at about that time, like towards the end of the year of 2006, I noticed that, you know, for me, sex was becoming a little uncomfortable. It felt like, you know, there was no flexibility. And if it lasted very long, it was becoming painful. I felt like things were pulling inside of me. So, you know, I'm pretty sure I went to the doctor about that. And he did not give me a solution.

<u>Id.</u> at pp. 116-117. Ms. Sherwood also talked to her gynecologist, Dr. Komarynsky, about her dyspareunia. "Well, I just told her what was happening. And she examined me and it seems like I can feel the arm of the mesh more so on the right side." <u>Id.</u> at 118.

Dr. Hines removed sutures from the Ethicon Prolift in 2007. Ms. Sherwood testified:

- Q Did Dr. Hines do any surgery on you in 2007?
- A Yes, he did.
- Q Okay. Was that to deal with the painful sex?
- A No. It was to deal with some plastic sutures that had popped through my vaginal epithelium.

- Q So were you having other symptoms besides painful sex associated with the Prolift surgery in 2007?
- A Well, the sutures that came out, and Dr. Komarynsky said, I think you need to take these out. I saw Dr. Hines and he said no. And then later on when I went back to her she said they have to come out. And then he agreed to take them out.
- Q So you had no symptoms but Dr. Komarynsky suggested that these stitches were a problem during her exam of you; is that right?
- A No. I did have symptoms and I was relating all of them to her, and so she was inferring that -- not inferring, saying that this mesh, it's changing in your body.
- Q ... You were seeing Dr. Komarynsky in 2007 and you had additional symptoms related to the stitches since you also observed them, and you also told her that the mesh was changing in your body and that this was a problem?
- A She told me on exam she could tell from one time to the next that things were changing inside. I was going -- pulled -- my architecture was being pulled more to the right. And I also had -- I'm sure that's in everybody's records. I had a place in the anterior section of my vagina that was not smooth anymore. It had the texture of like a screen door, even though it was, like, flesh covered. It was very rough and it didn't seem like -- it didn't seem like me.
- Q Is this something else that you found yourself in 2007 that you were -- that you had this texture in your vagina?
- A Yes. It was a place of irritation. I did a self-check and my husband started saying I don't know what's going on, but it's like scratchy. And that was sort of before and at the same time, you know, the plastic sutures just really popped out there.
- Q And Dr. Hines removed the sutures in about August of 2007.
- A Uh-huh.
- Q Is that right?
- A Yes.

(Emphasis added). Id. at 125-128.

For Ms. Sherwood, the period preceding her first revision surgery (August, 2007) was very scary. She feared for her life. Ms. Sherwood testified:

- Q Did you discuss treatment options with him? In other words something other than just taking them out? And "him" is Dr. Hines.
- A I wasn't asking him the questions. I was asking him what do I do. You know, I was in the -- pretty like what's happening? Am I going to die? What's happening to my organs?
- Q You were really scared?
- A I was really scared. I put my will in order.

Id. at 129-130. She later described it as a "holy cow moment." Id. at 130.

Shortly after the August, 2007 surgery, Ms. Sherwood elected to treat with physicians other than Dr. Hines because "the mesh was causing me [her] problems." <u>Id.</u> at 132. In response to a question asking why Ms. Sherwood went "back to see him [Dr. Hines]," She answered: "[t]o talk to him and tell him that I was pretty sure, not just as the person who received the mesh, **that the mesh was causing me the problems.** And that I needed to do something about it. And he emphasized that it is permanent. And I said, well, then I need to -- I need to see someone else. So he gave me some names of people to go see. And he said I'm sorry that you're having problems." <u>Id.</u> at 132. That December, 2007 office visit was the last time Dr. Hines treated Ms. Sherwood. "Q And that was the last time you saw Dr. Hines; is that right? A Yes. Q That was in December of 2007, approximately? A That sounds right." <u>Id.</u> at 134.

At the end of 2007 and beginning of 2008, Ms. Sherwood was discussing her condition with her gynecologist, Dr. Komarynsky. She summarized the medical advice she received in those discussions as follows: "I have concerns that this is not going well for you. You have to

find somebody who knows something about it. And somebody who has already done this, has already taken it [the Ethicon Prolift] out successfully." <u>Id.</u> at 135. Ms. Sherwood went for a consult with Dr. Staskin in January, 2008 about how to deal with the problems she had with the Ethicon Prolift. <u>Id.</u> at 136. She was "[s]till in terrible [pelvic] pain." <u>Id.</u> She "still had tension, pulling, just feeling that, like, everything was twisting inside of me. I had a 24-hour awareness that -- I think I knew just where the mesh was in terms of I can trace the pain." <u>Id.</u> at 137.

At her January, 2008 consult with Dr. Staskin, she communicated her problems with the Ethicon Prolift to Dr. Staskin:

Q And it was in the wrong place and that was causing pain; is that what you felt?

A The arms of the mesh on both sides had gotten -- I guess they shrunk. They became hard.

Q And that's what you told Dr. Staskin when you met with him in January or so of 2008; is that right?

A Yes.

Q You told him that the mesh shrunk and become [became] hard and felt out of place; is that right?

A Yes.

<u>Id.</u> at pp. 137-138. Ms. Sherwood knew that "[i]t was not supposed to be like that." <u>Id.</u> at 138. Dr. Staskin told Ms. Sherwood that "the arms of your mesh are too tight and they need to be released." <u>Id.</u> at p. 140.

In 2008, Ms. Sherwood also consulted with Dr. Porges. He was the head of urogynecology at NYU Hospital. <u>Id.</u> at pp. 141-142. Dr. Porges told Ms. Sherwood that her mesh "need[ed] to come out." <u>Id.</u> at p. 143, 145. At approximately the same time, Ms. Sherwood sought an opinion from Dr. Gee, a urogynecologist in Hartford, Connecticut, regarding her problems with the Ethicon Prolift. <u>Id.</u> at 147. In approximately June, 2008, Ms.

Sherwood met with Dr. Surrells, a urogynecologist in Norwalk, Connecticut, who "wanted to take out the mesh." <u>Id.</u> at 154. When asked whether Dr. Surrells told Ms. Sherwood "why he wanted to take out the Prolift," Ms. Sherwood testified: "[n]ot in medical terms, just because it was getting -- it was shrinking. And causing me problems. By this time, I had a complaint that I felt like my vagina was shortening." <u>Id.</u> at 155. She also consulted with Dr. Bercik who recommended that she have surgery to either release or remove the Prolift. <u>Id.</u> at 160. That consult occurred in March, 2008. <u>Id.</u> at 161. All of these physician visits took place between January and June, 2008.

Regarding all of these consults, Ms. Sherwood testified that "all of the doctors were telling" her that she "needed to take the Prolift out." <u>Id.</u> at 156. Ms. Sherwood was very upset by these consults with Dr. Staskin, Dr. Porges and Dr. Bercik. She testified: I was sort of in a state of like what am I going to do, what am I going to do. The sky is falling." <u>Id.</u> at p. 146. Ms. Sherwood was experiencing significant symptoms because of problems with the Ethicon Prolift in 2008. She testified as follows:

Q. All right. So other than the symptoms you just described, the yeast infections, the spot on your side of your vagina and top that was textured and painful, the tightness, the shortened vagina, the bone pain, the pain in your groin, the pain down your right leg and the activities making it worse, were there any other symptoms that you were experiencing because of the prolift (sic) in 2008?

A I think I covered them.

Id. at 163-164.

Ms. Sherwood finally had the Prolift surgically removed by Dr. Schlomo Raz in February, 2011. <u>Id.</u> at 19, 181, 184. She testified:

Q. All right. So in November -- just so I understand this, November 2010 you met with him, he diagnosed your problems, recommended some tests and you scheduled the surgery; is that right?

- A Yeah. He gave me great confidence.
- Q And the surgery we're talking about is the complete removal of the Prolift, the Ethicon Prolift; is that right?
- A Yes.

Id. at 181.

Ms. Sherwood sued Ethicon in West Virginia federal court. <u>Id.</u> at 194. The summons in this case was signed on August 13, 2014. Ms. Sherwood described her decision to commence this action against Stamford Hospital as follows:

- Q All right. Was it -- I know Attorney Fusco suggested it was sometime shortly before the complaint was filed. I didn't hear that testimony from you before, but is that -- is that the timing?
- A I believe that I talked to Attorney Wells sometime in June of 2014 and he inquired about my health and that he wondered -- he knew about a case and they were talking about it somewhere and he knew me, and he said, would you be willing to be a witness. And I agreed to that. So maybe I came in July 2014. I'm not sure. I could have come in June, so I'm very much guessing. But it was after the surgery in 2014 that he and I talked about me being a witness.
- Q All right. Did he tell you that you had a potential claim against Stamford Hospital?
- A I think I might have asked him because I was surprised when I was listening to the briefing about what this claim was to say, you know, did I make the right claim.
- Q All right. So did you ask him if you had a potential claim against Stamford Hospital?
- A That's my best recollection.
- Q And what did he tell you?
- A I think he made a call and got back to me later.

- Q And what did he tell you when he got back to you?
- A I think he told me that I did.
- Q And that was sometime in the summer of 2014?
- A To my best recollection.

Id. at 199-200.

ARGUMENT

I. Plaintiff's CPLA Claim Is Barred By the Statute of Limitations³

The three year statute of limitations on Ms. Sherwood's CPLA claim began to run in the fall of 2006 but certainly no later than June of 2008 and therefore expired in 2011, not less than three years before this action was filed in 2014.⁴ Product liability actions under Conn. Gen. Stat. § 52-572m are governed by the statute of limitations contained in Conn. Gen. Stat. § 52-577a(a). Section 52-577a(a) provides in relevant part: "No product liability claim, as defined in section 52-572m, shall be brought but within three years from the date when the injury, death or

³ The Plaintiff bears the burden of demonstrating that a provision tolling the statute of limitations applies. However, there are no applicable tolling doctrines in this case. The only possibly relevant tolling doctrines, fraudulent concealment and continuing course of conduct, do not apply because, as explained below, there is no genuine issue of material fact that the Plaintiff knew she had actionable harm in 2006 and 2007. See, e.g., Mountaindale Condo. Ass'n, Inc. v. Zappone, 59 Conn. App. 311, 319-322, 332 (determining fraudulent concealment and continuing course of conduct tolling doctrine is irrelevant when there is no genuine issue of material fact that plaintiff actually knew of actionable harm during statute of limitations period); see also, Wojtkiewicz v. Middlesex Hosp., 141 Conn. App. 282, 287 (2013) (continuing course of conduct tolling doctrine does not apply when plaintiff has already discovered injury).

⁴ When the legislature created statutes of limitation like the CPLA's three year statute of limitations, it decided that no matter the potential prejudice to a plaintiff, cases filed after the statute expired should be dismissed. "The purposes of statutes of limitation include finality, repose and avoidance of stale claims and stale evidence . . . These statutes represent a legislative judgment about the balance of equities in a situation involving a tardy assertion of otherwise valid rights: [t]he theory is that even if one has a just claim it is unjust not to put the adversary on notice to defend within the period of limitation and that the right to be free of stale claims in time comes to prevail over the right to prosecute them." Ziolkovski v. Town of Waterford, 2015 Conn. Super. LEXIS 2771, at *27 (Super. Ct. Oct. 20, 2015) (quoting Flannery v. Singer Asset Finance Co., LLC, 312 Conn. 286, 322-23 (2014)). It is well settled that "[s]ummary judgment may be granted where the claim is barred by the statute of limitations . . . Summary judgment is appropriate on statute of limitations grounds when the 'material facts concerning the statute of limitations [are] not in dispute." (Citation omitted.) Romprey v. Safeco Ins. Co. of Am., 310 Conn. 304, 313 (2013), "[I]In the context of a motion for summary judgment based on a statute of limitations special defense, a defendant typically meets its initial burden of showing the absence of a genuine issue of material fact by demonstrating that the action had commenced outside of the statutory limitation period . . . When the plaintiff asserts that the limitations period has been tolled by an equitable exception to the statute of limitations, the burden normally shifts to the plaintiff to establish a disputed issue of material fact in avoidance of the statute." Id. at 321.

property damage is first sustained or discovered or in the exercise of reasonable care should have been discovered." (Emphasis added).

Ms. Sherwood started having problems with the implanted Ethicon Prolift in the fall of 2006. She testified: "so at about that time, like towards the end of the year of 2006, I noticed that, you know, for me, sex was becoming a little uncomfortable. It felt like, you know, there was no flexibility. And if it lasted very long, it was becoming painful. I felt like things were pulling inside of me." Pp. 116-117 (Sherwood Depo.), Exhibit 1, Allentuch Declaration. As discussed in the Statement of Facts, in the ensuing eighteen months, she consulted more than a half dozen urogynecologists, and each one of these physicians told her to have surgery to alter or remove the Ethicon Prolift. These consultations culminated in a February, 2011 surgery by Dr. Schlomo Raz to remove the Ethicon Prolift. The summons in this case is dated August 13, 2014.

Ms. Sherwood knew about her injury in the fall of 2006 and that constitutes actionable harm sufficient to start the three year statute of limitations contained in Conn. Gen. Stat. § 52-577a(a). Our Supreme Court held that "the [statutory] term 'injury' is synonymous with 'legal injury' or actionable harm." See Lagassey v. State, 268 Conn. 723, 748 (2004). "'Actionable harm' occurs when the plaintiff discovers, or in the exercise of reasonable care, should have discovered the essential elements of a cause of action." Tarnowsky v. Socci. 271 Conn. 284, 288 (2004) (citing Catz v. Rubenstein, 201 Conn. 39, 44 (1986)). In other words, in product liability cases, a plaintiff sustains actionable harm under § 52-577a(a) when the plaintiff is aware or reasonably should have been aware of a possible causal nexus between her injuries and the offending product. See Peerless Ins. Co. v. Tucciarone, 48 Conn. App. 160, 167 (1998); see

⁵ Some of the cited cases herein analyze actionable harm under the statute of limitations contained in Conn. Gen. Stat. § 52-584. As the Appellate Court has stated though, "there is no relevant distinction, except for a difference in the stated limitation periods, between the discovery language contained in §§ 52-577a and 52-584." <u>Tarnowsky v. Socci</u>, 75 Conn. App. 560, 569 (2003), aff'd, 271 Conn. 284 (2004). Thus, cases analyzing actionable harm for statute of limitations purposes under section 52-584 apply to CPLA cases as well as medical malpractice cases. <u>Id.</u>

also Lagassey, 268 Conn. at 749 (actionable harm occurs when "the plaintiff has knowledge of facts that would put a reasonable person on notice of the nature and extent of an injury."). "The harm need not have reached its fullest manifestation before the statute begins to run." Burns v. Hartford Hospital, 192 Conn. 451, 460 (1984). In determining when actionable harm has occurred, "[t]he focus is on the plaintiff's knowledge of the facts, **rather than on discovery of applicable legal theories**." (Emphasis added). Catz, 201 Conn. at 47.

The Second Circuit Court of Appeals decision in Gnazzo v. G.D. Searle Co., 973 F.2d 136 (2d Cir. 1992) illustrates this analysis. In Gnazzo, an intrauterine device (IUD) was inserted in plaintiff in 1974. Id. at 137. She had a series of complications in the following years until the device was removed in 1977. Id. In 1989, she was informed by a fertility specialist that she was infertile because of complications from her prior IUD use. Id. In a questionnaire that she filled out at the request of her attorneys, the plaintiff stated that she had suspected that the IUD caused her harm beginning in 1981 because she had stopped using birth control at that time and could not get pregnant. Id. She filed suit against the manufacturer in 1990. Id. The Second Circuit affirmed the district court's decision granting summary judgment and determined that the plaintiff's claim was barred by § 52-577a(a) because "by her own admission, [the plaintiff] had recognized [in 1981], or should have recognized, the critical link between her injury and the defendant's causal connection to it." Id. at 138. The court emphasized that the statute begins to run when" the plaintiff discovers some form of actionable harm, not the fullest manifestation thereof." Id.; Burns, 192 Conn. at 459-60 (1984) (actionable harm occurred when doctor told plaintiff, child's mother, of causal connection between child's infection and contaminated IV tubes); see also Peerless Ins. Co.. 48 Conn. App. at 167 (determining plaintiffs' 1992 product liability complaint was barred by §52-577a(a) because plaintiffs were informed less than a month after fire to their house in 1988 by fire marshal about the product defect that caused the fire, which constituted notice of actionable harm).

The multidistrict litigation pending before Judge Goodwin in the United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia also offers a series of examples of pelvic mesh cases which were barred by the statute of limitations because they were filed too long after actionable harm occurred. For example, in Smothers v. Boston Sci. Corp, (In re Boston Sci. Corp., Pelvic Repair Sys. Prods. Liab. Litig.), 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 97371 (S.D. W. Va. July 11, 2014), the court applied a Massachusetts statute which is nearly identical to Connecticut because the statute of limitations begins to run: "when a plaintiff discovers, or any earlier date when she should reasonably have discovered, that she has been harmed or may have been harmed by the defendant's conduct. . . . A plaintiff must have (1) knowledge or sufficient notice that she was harmed and (2) knowledge or sufficient notice of what the cause of harm was." (Citations omitted.) Id. at *670-71. In Smothers, the plaintiff was implanted with a pelvic mesh product on May 11, 2009. She experienced pain symptoms beginning three weeks after the surgery, which the plaintiff admitted in her deposition that she attributed to the device. Id. at *672-73. The court determined that actionable harm occurred three weeks after the surgery and the statute of limitations began to run on that date. Id. at *673. The court granted summary judgment on statute of limitations grounds because the plaintiff did not file her complaint within three years of suffering actionable harm. Id. at *674. See also Robinson v. Boston Sci. Corp. (In re Boston Sci. Corp., Pelvic Repair Sys. Prods. Liab. Litig.), 2015 U.S. Dis. LEXIS 39988, at *15 (S.D. W. Va. March 30, 2015), aff'd, 647 Fed. Appx. 184 (4th Cir. 2016) (statute of limitations began running when plaintiff's doctor told her she should have pelvic mesh product removed).

Similarly, the same district court granted a pelvic mesh product manufacturer's motion for summary judgment under Arkansas law. See Brawley v. Boston Sci. Corp. (In re Boston Sci. Corp.), 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 42707 (S.D. W. Va. Mar. 31, 2015). Like Connecticut, the statute of limitations under Arkansas law begins to run when the "plaintiff knew or, by the exercise of reasonable diligence, should have discovered the causal connection between the product and the injuries suffered." Id. at *9. The plaintiff had a pelvic mesh product surgically implanted on March 2, 2005. Id. at *2-3. She began to experience symptoms of bodily injury in July 2005. Id. at *7. She underwent a procedure to remove part of the mesh on July 5, 2005 and at that time her doctor told her that her symptoms had been caused by the pelvic mesh product. Id. at *8. The court determined that the plaintiff learned of actionable harm at that time because the undisputed facts demonstrated that she should have discovered the causal connection between the product and her injuries. Id. at *13-14. She did not file a complaint, however, until almost five years after the statute of limitations had expired. Id. at *14-15. See also In re Boston Sci. Corp., 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 38200, at *11 (S.D. W. Va. March 26, 2015) (emphasis in original) (statute of limitations began to run when plaintiff "underwent a procedure to remove exposed mesh, she was aware that the [] mesh product had been implanted inside of her and that she was experiencing adverse health effects"). In Brawley, the court specifically rejected the argument that the statute of limitations is triggered only when a plaintiff discovers that a defective product caused her injuries and it also rejected the argument that the statute of limitations did not run until the plaintiff discovered the specific cause of action when she saw mesh lawsuit ads on television. See Brawley, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 42707 at *9, 13.

Here, Ms. Sherwood started experiencing pain from the Ethicon Prolift in 2006. She consulted with Drs. Hines, Bercik, Gee, Serrelles, Porges, Komarynsky, Staskin, Surrells and

Grey before the middle of 2008 before selecting Dr. Raz to perform a total removal of the Ethicon Prolift in February, 2011. She testified about each of these consultations and that testimony is quoted in the Statement of Facts. Each consultation would start the statute of limitations under the Supreme Court's test in <u>Catz</u>.

Like the plaintiffs in <u>Gnazzo</u> and <u>Smothers</u>, Ms. Sherwood, by her own admission, in 2006 and 2007 attributed the harm that she was suffering to the then recently implanted device. She sought additional treatment and, by June 2008, all of the Plaintiff's doctors told her that she should have the device removed. Pp. 143, 145, 147, 154 (Sherwood Depo.), Exhibit 1, Allentuch Declaration. <u>See Timothy v. Boston Scientific Corp.</u> (In re Boston Sci. Corp.), 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 38389, at *15 (S.D. W. Va. Mar. 26, 2015) (actionable harm occurred when doctor informed patient that pelvic mesh product needed to be removed); <u>see also Norris v. Baxter Healthcare Corp.</u>, 397 F.3d 878, 888 (10th Cir. 2005) (determining under similar Colorado statute that actionable harm occurred when plaintiff felt something was not normal in right breast and doctors told her she should have implants removed).

There is no genuine issue of material fact that, during these dates from late 2006 to 2008, plaintiff repeatedly recognized that she was suffering harm and knew that the harm was caused by the Ethicon Prolift. The Plaintiff eventually had the device removed in February, 2011, which, at the absolute latest was when the statute of limitations began to run. See In re Boston Sci. Corp., 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 38200 at *11. This August, 2014 Complaint was filed years after the three year statute of limitations expired and therefore summary judgment is required.

A. Plaintiff's Argument That the CPLA Statute of Limitations Does Not Start to Run Until She Knew She Had A Cause of Action Has No Legal Basis

Although not supported by precedent, Ms. Sherwood's counsel asserts that the three year statute of limitations on Ms. Sherwood's CPLA claim did not start to run until approximately

June, 2014 because that is when she first "kn[e]w that Stamford Hospital had some sort of liability." P. 189 (Sherwood Depo.), Exhibit 1, Allentuch Declaration. Plaintiff apparently relies on Tarnowsky to support her argument. In Tarnowsky, the Supreme Court determined that, in a negligence action, the statute of limitations "does not begin to run until a plaintiff knows, or reasonably should have known, the **identity** of the tortfeasor." (Emphasis added). Tarnowsky v. Socci, 271 Conn. 284, 297 (2004). The "identity" is a fact not a legal theory. Id. Here, Ms. Sherwood always knew she had the Prolift surgery at Stamford Hospital. The Tarnowsky exception to the general rule is therefore inapplicable.

Contrary to plaintiff's position on this issue, the Appellate Court continues to hold that actionable harm is determined based on the "plaintiff's knowledge of the facts rather than on the discovery of applicable legal theories." Mollica v. Toohey, 134 Conn. App. 607, 613 (2012). Plaintiff's interpretation of Tarnowsky would render statutes of limitations meaningless. Under the Plaintiff's flawed interpretation, any statute of limitations enacted by our legislature does not arguably begin to run until a member of the plaintiff's bar tells a prospective plaintiff whether or not she has a cause of action. No precedent supports this extraordinary proposition.

II. Plaintiff's Non-CPLA Claims Are Barred By the Statute of Limitations

Plaintiff's non-CPLA claims are barred by applicable statutes of limitations for the same reason as the product liability claim. The Complaint alleges two overarching theories. The first is that Stamford Hospital provided plaintiff with a defective product. The second theory is that Stamford Hospital failed to warn plaintiff that the Ethicon Prolift was a defective product at the time of the surgery. Plaintiff's ten new counts in the proposed Amended Complaint are all

⁶ "MS. FUSCO: . . . The statute begins to run under Turnowski (ph.) when a plaintiff knew or should have known she had a cause of action, which includes the fact she has a -- she can know she's injured and she can know that an entity such as Stamford Hospital may be connected to that injury. But the statute doesn't start to run until she knows that Stamford Hospital had some sort of liability." <u>Id.</u>

variations of these theories. Because the alleged conduct involves actions prior to the surgery, the statute of limitations began to run no later than the date the device was implanted, April 12, 2006. The longest statute of limitations for the ten additional non-CPLA counts is four years and therefore expired not later than 2010, more than four years before the date on the Summons. Since all of these claims are barred, summary judgment is appropriate.

The Second (negligence), Fifth (recklessness), and Seventh (lack of informed consent)

Counts are subject to the two year statute of limitations in Conn. Gen. Stat. § 52-584, which begins to run "from the date when the injury is first sustained or discovered or in the exercise of reasonable care should have been discovered." These counts are based on alleged conduct that occurred prior to the surgery. The statute of limitations for these claims expired in 2008, two years after the surgery. The negligence claim expired no later than 2009, as it contains a one year statute of repose. See Footnote 7.

Stamford Hospital is entitled to summary judgment on the Third (breach of express warranty) and Fourth (breach of implied warranty) Counts. Actions regarding the sale of goods, including breach of warranty claims, are governed by the Connecticut Uniform Commercial Code's four year statute of limitations, which begins to run upon delivery of the product. Conn. Gen. Stat. § 42a-2-725. Accepting for sake of argument plaintiff's legally incorrect assertion that the surgery was a sale of goods on April 12, 2006, the statute of limitations for any breach of warranty claim expired on April 12, 2010. This action is more than four years too late.

The Sixth (civil conspiracy),⁸ Eighth (innocent misrepresentation),⁹ Ninth (negligent misrepresentation) and Tenth (intentional misrepresentation) Counts are subject to the three year

⁸ Civil conspiracy is not an independent cause of action. "Rather, the action is for damages caused by acts committed pursuant to a formed conspiracy rather than by the conspiracy itself. . . . Thus, to state a cause of action, a

⁷ Section 52-584 also contains a statute of repose which bars any action "more than three years from the date of the act or omission complained of..."

statute of limitations in Conn. Gen. Stat. § 52-577. These Counts consist of allegations that Stamford Hospital misrepresented the safety of the Ethicon Prolift prior to Ms. Sherwood's surgery, meaning that the statute of limitations began to run in 2006 and expired in 2009.

Stamford Hospital is also entitled to summary judgment on the Eleventh Count (CUTPA). CUTPA claims are governed by a three year statute of limitations. General Statutes § 42-110g(f). The statute of limitations on plaintiff's CUTPA claim also expired in 2009, more than five years before the date on the Summons. For all of these reasons, Stamford Hospital is entitled to summary judgment on Counts Two through Eleven.

III. Stamford Hospital Is Entitled to Summary Judgment On All Non-CPLA Claims Because They are Barred by the CPLA's Exclusivity Provision

A plaintiff cannot seek CPLA damages by filing a CPLA claim and then seek the same damages in a non-CPLA claim.¹⁰ Conn. Gen. Stat. § 52-572n(a) states: "[a] product liability claim as provided in sections 52-240a, 52-240b, 52-572m to 52-572q, inclusive, and 52-577a may be asserted and shall be in lieu of all other claims against product sellers, including actions of negligence, strict liability and warranty, for harm caused by a product." The Connecticut Supreme Court has held that all claims for personal injury, death, or property damage, if related to a defective product, are properly pled under CPLA, and that the CPLA precludes any other claims for the same damage resulting from that defective product. See Gerrity v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., Inc., 263 Conn. 120, 128 (2003).¹¹

claim of civil conspiracy must be joined with an allegation of a substantive tort." <u>Harp v. King</u>, 266 Conn. 747, 779 n.37 (2003).

⁹ Innocent misrepresentation is not a claim but counsel is assuming plaintiff erroneously pled two identical counts of negligent misrepresentation.

¹⁰ Here, plaintiff has filed the following additional claims: negligence, breach of express warranty, breach of implied warranty, recklessness, civil conspiracy, lack of informed consent, innocent misrepresentation, negligent misrepresentation, intentional misrepresentation, and CUTPA.

¹¹ <u>See also Winslow v. Lewis-Shepard, Inc.</u>, 212 Conn. 462, 471 (1989) ("[t]the legislature clearly intended to make our products liability act an exclusive remedy for claims falling within its scope"); <u>Daily v. New Britain Machine</u>

In Gerrity, the Supreme Court was faced with the question of whether a CUTPA claim could be asserted in conjunction with claims under the CPLA. The plaintiff in that case sought damages under the CPLA for injuries allegedly suffered as a result of the defendants' defective product (cigarettes) and further sought damages under CUTPA as a result of the defendants' scheme to conceal the defect. Id. at 123. The Court noted that the CUTPA claim sought to redress a financial injury-i.e., the decedent allegedly paid a higher price for cigarettes as a result of the defendant's scheme—rather than a traditional tort-based remedy. The Gerrity court concluded that, since the plaintiff was not seeking recovery for "personal injury, death or property damage" in her CUTPA claim, the claim was not barred by the exclusivity provision of the CPLA and could be pursued along with the product liability claims. <u>Id.</u> at 129.

Consistent with this holding, the Supreme Court barred claims in Hurley v. Heart Physicians, P.C., 278 Conn. 305 (2006). In that case, the plaintiff sought damages covered by the CPLA. The Court concluded that the plaintiff's CUTPA claim was barred by the CPLA's exclusivity provision because the plaintiff sought damages for personal injuries resulting from the implantation of a pacemaker, the same remedy sought in her product liability claim. Id. at 324. The situation here is no different. Plaintiff's negligence claim is "nothing more than . . . a products liability claim dressed in the robes¹²" of a negligence claim as she seeks damages for personal injuries, not redress from a financial injury, as a result of the "unsafe and defective products" used in surgery. The same is true of the other non-CPLA claims in the Complaint. They consist almost entirely of the incorporated CPLA allegations.

Furthermore, post-Gerrity, numerous superior courts have concluded that a variety of claims, including negligence claims and other claims, are barred by the exclusivity provision of

Co., 200 Conn. 562, 571 (1986) ("[t]he products liability statute provides an exclusive remedy and ... plaintiffs cannot bring a common law cause of action for a claim within the scope of the statute").

the CPLA where they seek damages for personal injuries as a result of a defective product. See Zarikos v. Signature Bldg. Sys., 2009 Conn. Super. LEXIS 868 (Conn. Super. Ct. Mar. 24, 2009) ("CPLA expressly provides that a product liability claim shall include claims of negligence. The [negligence] Count is also governed by the exclusivity provision of CPLA and is stricken"); Davey v. Prof'l Props. II, LLC, 2009 Conn. Super. LEXIS 667 (Conn. Super. Ct. Mar. 20, 2009) (The court found that the negligence and breach of warranty claims fell within the purview of the exclusivity provision of the Products Liability Act); Iodice v. Ward Cedar Log Homes, 2012 Conn. Super. LEXIS 2961 (Conn. Super. Ct. Dec. 4, 2012) ("There are no allegations in count six outside the scope of the CPLA. Count six, therefore, is nothing more than a product liability claim dressed in the robes of breach of contract"); Whitting v. Eli Lilly & Co., 2007 Conn. Super. LEXIS 2364 (Sept. 10, 2007) (striking a CUTPA count because plaintiff's claim for personal injuries arising out of use of Cymbalta fell within the scope of the CPLA). In performing this analysis, each court examined the pleadings and what the plaintiff sought in the complaint. Here, all of the claims essentially have the identical allegations. In addition, these courts did not deny the motions to strike because they were potentially pleaded in the alternative. The CPLA and non-CPLA claims for the same damages could not co-exist.

The proposed Amended Complaint seeks CPLA damages for all claims and therefore the non-CPLA claims are barred by the CPLA's exclusivity provision. Paragraph 41 of the Amended Complaint for all counts lists a series of injuries that are caused by the Pelvic Mesh Products (the Ethicon Prolift). Paragraph 45 for all claims alleges that "as a result of the defective nature of said products, the plaintiff suffered numerous, painful and permanent consequences." Paragraph 46 and 47 for all claims each list a series of injuries she suffered as a

result of the Pelvic Mesh Products (the Prolift). These allegations illustrate that plaintiff seeks compensation for personal injuries caused by the products at issue in this case.¹³

The plaintiff's request for relief seeks monetary damages for the same personal injuries that underlie her products liability claim in the First Count. Under <u>Gerrity</u>, Stamford Hospital is entitled to summary judgment on the remaining counts as a matter of law.

IV. Stamford Hospital Is Entitled To Summary Judgment On The Product Liability Count Because It Is Not A Product Seller Under The CPLA As A Matter of Law

In order to maintain a product liability action under Section 52-572m et seq., a plaintiff must establish and prove that the defendant was engaged in the business of selling the product at issue and that the defect existed at the time of the sale. Zichichi v. Middlesex Memorial Hosp., 204 Conn. 399, 403 (1987). "Once a particular transaction is labeled a 'service' as opposed to a 'sale' of a 'product,' it is outside the purview of our product liability statute." Id.; 14 see also Paul v. McPhee Electrical Contractors, 46 Conn. App. 18, 23 (1997) (holding that a defendant electrician who installed a light fixture was not a product seller; defendant was not responsible for placing the product into the stream of commerce). Even in cases that are factually similar to this case, Connecticut courts have found that a hospital is not a product seller of a device

¹³ To determine whether the CPLA precludes plaintiff's claims is a question of law which requires the court analyze only the Complaint, not evidence. See Gerrity, 263 Conn. 126. On a dispositive motion, finding that claims for injuries arising from the defective product are preempted by the CPLA's exclusivity, the Supreme Court explained that it "reach[ed] this conclusion based on the following analysis of the plaintiff's second amended complaint." (Emphasis added). Id. at 129. Similarly, in Hurley v. Heart Physicians, P.C., 278 Conn. 305 (Conn. 2006), the Supreme Court affirmed the lower court's decision granting defendant summary judgment on that the CUTPA claim. The Supreme Court held that "[a]fter reviewing carefully the allegations in the plaintiffs' CUTPA count in the present case, we agree with the trial court that the plaintiffs are pursuing a claim for personal injuries to Nicole and are seeking recompense for those injuries caused by the defendant's pacemaker that was implanted in Nicole. Accordingly, the plaintiffs' claim falls within the scope of the liability act and thus is barred by the exclusivity provision under § 52-572n (a)." (Emphasis added) Id. at 326. Since it is the responsibility of the Court to review and analyze the allegations, as the Supreme Court did, it is impossible for there to be an issue of fact. There is nothing for the jury to decide. See Town of Sprague v. Mapei Corp., 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 72578, *2 (D. Conn. May 24, 2012) ("In determining whether a [claim] falls within the scope of the CPLA, the Court should examine the nature of the injury alleged and the alleged act that caused the harm").

¹⁴ Zichichi held that because the provision of blood at a hospital is a medical service rather than a sale of a product, the [Product Liability] Act did not apply. <u>Id.</u> at 405. Similarly, here, the operation performed on the plaintiff at Stamford Hospital is properly characterized as a service, and the furnishing of surgical equipment and supplies, including the Ethicon Prolift, is incidental to that service.

implanted during surgery. Kenneson v. Johnson & Johnson, Inc., 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 53584, *7 (D. Conn. Apr. 23, 2015)(In a case involving an Ethicon prolene mesh device under the CPLA against St. Mary's Hospital and Ethicon, the court found that "[i]t is true that the Connecticut Product Liability Act, Conn. Gen. Stat. § 52-572m et seq. ("CPLA"), applies only to sales of products, not to services, and binding precedent holds that surgical implantation of a medical device is a service."). ¹⁵

As set forth below, Connecticut appellate cases, more than a dozen Superior Court cases, the law of fifty states, the Restatement of Torts (which the Connecticut Supreme Court has adopted) and every Connecticut and national product liability treatise unanimously agree that a medical device implanted in a hospital operating room during surgery is part of service and is not a sale by a hospital. In this context, hospitals are not product sellers.

Section 52-572m(a) defines a "product seller" as "any person or entity, including a manufacturer, wholesaler, distributor¹⁶ or retailer who is engaged in the business of selling such products whether the sale is for resale or for use or consumption." Whether a defendant is a "product seller" under the CPLA is a question of law for the court and not the jury to decide. Svege v. Mercedes-Benz Corp., 329 F. Supp.2d 272 (D.Conn. 2004); Nazar v. Palli, 2013 Conn. Super. LEXIS 830, 10-11, 2013 WL 1867072 (Conn. Super. Ct. Apr. 15, 2013) (Striking CPLA

_

¹⁵ Stamford Hospital concedes that this Court has issued decisions denying summary judgment in the Farrell case on this issue. In the first summary judgment decision, Judge Agati issued a tri-fold decision in which he found there was an unspecified issue of fact. Plaintiff never made that argument. In the second summary judgment motion, Judge Zemetis denied the motion in a tri-fold decision which just said "denied." Since neither decision contained any analysis, it is difficult to determine the basis for them or whether they could be applicable.

¹⁶ Plaintiff alleges in the Complaint that Stamford Hospital is a distributor under FDA regulations and assumes that it therefore must be a distributor under the CPLA. Complaint, First Count ¶ 23. These are different bodies of law and are not comparable. No Connecticut court has ever found that a hospital is a distributor of medical devices used during surgery. Based on defense counsel's research, no court in the country has relied on the FDA regulations to hold that a hospital is a product seller for purposes of liability in litigation. In addition, §20(b) of the Restatement 3d of Torts states that one distributes a product when "in a commercial transaction other than a sale, one provides a product as a "preliminary step leading to ultimate use or consumption." Examples are a lessor or bailor who provides free products as a means of promoting use or consumption. This definition does not apply to the Hospital and it is not a distributor.

claim and reasoning that "it is well-established that the question of whether the defendants are 'product sellers' is a question of law. The plaintiff makes a number of conclusory statements in her complaint, such as that the defendants 'were engaged in the selling of products, including the running water and plumbing facilities provided to the tenant and his family, the plaintiff . . .' The plaintiff states similar legal conclusions to support her claim that the defendants are 'product manufacturers.' These statements are not factual allegations, and the court is not required to admit such conclusory statements as true."); <u>Burkert v. Petrol Plus of Naugatuck, Inc.</u>, 216 Conn. 65, 72, 579 A.2d 26 (1990). ¹⁷

Trial level courts in Connecticut have nearly uniformly concluded that hospitals are not liable under the CPLA for devices used in surgery because hospitals are providers of medical services, not "product sellers." See Zelle v. Bayer Corp., 2012 Conn. Super. LEXIS 892 (Feb 2, 2012, Brazzel-Massaro, J.) (holding that a hospital is not a "product seller" under the CPLA merely because it charged for contrast dye used in the course of an MRI; the use of contrast dye was incidental to the service performed by the hospital); Zbras v. St. Vincent's Medical Center, 2002 Conn. Super. LEXIS 878 (March 20, 2002, Rush, J.), aff'd, 91 Conn. App. 289, cert.

¹⁷ See also, South United Methodist Church v. Joseph Gnazzo Co., 2011 Conn. Super. LEXIS 3228, 4 (Conn. Super. Ct. Dec. 23, 2011)("Whether a party is a product seller under the PLA is a question of law."); Lewis v. Huntleigh Healthcare, LLC, 2011 Conn. Super. LEXIS 1667, 10, 2011 WL 3276712 (Conn. Super. Ct. July 1, 2011); Klein v. Phelps, 2007 Conn. Super. LEXIS 2205, 6 (Conn. Super. Ct. July 19, 2007); Estate of Maroni v. Bobcat of Connecticut, Inc., 2007 Conn. Super. LEXIS 408, 6 (Conn. Super. Ct. Feb. 7, 2007); Leahey v. Lawrence D. Coon & Sons, Inc., 2006 Conn. Super. LEXIS 2157, 7, 2006 WL 2130438 (Conn. Super. Ct. July 14, 2006); Plas-Pak Indus. v. Prime Elec., LLC, 2006 Conn. Super. LEXIS 1851, 14 (Conn. Super. Ct. June 19, 2006); Caruso v. Kovatch Corp., 2005 Conn. Super. LEXIS 2890, 10, 2005 WL 3112749 (Conn. Super. Ct. Oct. 31, 2005). 18 In fact, the same is true on both a national basis and in treatises repeatedly quoted by Superior Courts. See 1-5 Products Liability § 5.11 ([P]hysicians, dentists, optometrists, pharmacists . . . and other healthcare professionals are generally not liable on product claims. Hospitals, likewise, will not be subject to strict liability so long as the product involved relates to the professional treatment rendered.") This treatise cites dozens of cases from around the country in support of this proposition. See W. Prosser and W. Page Keeton, Prosser and Keeton on Torts, §104 at 720 (5th ed. 1984)("Hospitals, medical doctors, and other professionals who provide health care services have not generally been held strictly liable even when, in the course of rendering health care services, defective products are transmitted. They are not regarded as the type of enterprises, akin to the producers of mass products, that can conveniently bear the costs of accidents attributable to defective things used or transmitted. Moreover, the principal thing bargained for is not the product transmitted but the professional services of the defendant."); O'Dell v. Greenwich Healthcare Services, Inc., 2013 Conn. Super LEXIS 972, *12 (Conn. Super. Ct. April 25 2013); Herrick v. Middlesex Hospital, 2005 Conn. Super LEXIS 1672 (Conn. Super. Ct. June 27, 2005).

denied, 276 Conn. 910 (2005) (holding that hospitals are not in the business of selling equipment utilized in operative procedures but rather are engaged in the business of providing medical services); Kenneson v. Johnson & Johnson, Inc., 19 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 53584, *7 (D. Conn. Apr. 23, 2015)("It is true that the Connecticut Product Liability Act, Conn. Gen. Stat. § 52-572m et seq. ("CPLA"), applies only to sales of products, not to services, and binding precedent holds that surgical implantation of a medical device is a service."); Zbras v. St. Vincent's Med. Ctr., 91 Conn. App. 289, 880 A.2d 999, 1002 (2005)"); Lambert v. Charlotte Hungerford Hosp., 2006 Conn. Super. LEXIS 3418 (November 2, 2006, Brunetti, J.) (concluding that a hospital was not a "product seller" of surgical instruments used in connection with plaintiff's surgery); Herrick v. Middlesex Hosp., 2005 Conn. Super. LEXIS 1672 (June 27, 2005, Silbert, J.) (granting summary judgment to defendant hospital, reasoning that the hospital is in the business of providing surgeons who use the hospital's facilities for medical operations with needed supplies, including the rod in question . . . the hospital's primary role is a provider of services and not of products); Wallace v. Gerard Medical Inc., 2003 Conn. Super. LEXIS 1114 (April 7, 2003, Wiese, J.) (a hospital is not a "product seller" pursuant to §52-572m(a), but rather is engaged in providing a medical service); Ferguson v. EBI Medical Systems, 1995 Conn. Super. LEXIS 2228, at *12 (Aug. 1, 1995, Hurley, J.) ("The court finds it persuasive that every superior court decision that has directly addressed the merits of this issue has rejected the proposition that hospitals constitute 'product sellers' under the PLA."); Lewis v. Hospital of Saint Raphael, 1988 WL 1519759 (Conn. Super.) (March 15, 1988, Flanagan, J.) (hospital was not "product seller" of fusion wire used in plaintiff's surgery which was claimed to be defective); Krawiec v. Olympus Corp., 1988 WL 1519758 (Conn. Super.) (June 15, 1988, Aronson, J.) (hospital was not a

 $^{^{19}}$ The product at issue in <u>Kenneson</u> was one of the devices referenced in the Complaint that was implanted into a patient during surgery.

"product seller" of allegedly-defective surgical instruments sold to hospital and used by surgeon). There is no applicable precedent, when applied to the basic facts in this case that supports the Plaintiff's CPLA claim.

In Zelle, for example, plaintiff asserted a product liability claim against Danbury Hospital claiming that she had an adverse reaction to a contrast dye, Magnevist, which was used in connection with an MRI performed at the hospital. Relying on the vast number of superior court cases concluding that hospitals are not product sellers, Judge Brazzel-Massaro granted summary judgment in the hospital's favor, reasoning as follows:

In determining the question in this action, the court is cognizant of the fact that the plaintiff went to Danbury Hospital not to obtain the Magnevist, but for the purpose of medical assistance for an emergency complaint that led to the procedure, that is, the MRI, in an effort to treat her medical symptoms. This particular procedure was a service provided to the plaintiff that utilized Magnevist as incidental to the main purpose of the service provided by Danbury Hospital. This service was not for a sale of goods. Even if the service included a separate cost of the medication in the breakdown of the overall cost for the service, it was not the focus of the service but only incidental. Suffice it to say, if the plaintiff was asked why she went to Danbury Hospital on this date, she clearly would not have responded that it was to obtain Magnevist but may have indicated it was to diagnose her illness.

<u>Id.</u> at * 10-11.

Similarly, in Zbras, the plaintiff brought an action against the hospital alleging that the pedicle screws ordered and used by the surgeon in connection with his operation at the hospital were defective. The defendant, St. Vincent's Medical Center, moved for summary judgment on the basis that, although it provided and charged for the surgical products used in the surgery, it was not a "product seller" as defined by General Statutes § 52-572m(a). The court (Rush, J.) entered summary judgment in defendant's favor, reasoning that "hospitals are not engaged in the

business of selling equipment utilized in operative procedures but rather are engaged in the business of providing medical services." Id. at *2. The fact that the defendant had charged the patient for the surgical products at issue did not change the court's analysis or holding. The Zbras court echoed the rationale stated by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court case that "the thrust of the inquiry is thus not whether a separate consideration is charged for the physical material used in the exercise of medical skill but what service is performed to restore or maintain the patient's health." Zbras v. St. Vincent's Medical Center, 2002 Conn. Super. LEXIS 878, at *2-3, citing Cafazzo v. Central Med. Health Svs., 6689 A.2d 521 (Pa. 1995). In its decision affirming summary judgment, the Appellate Court stated that a hospital "can bill for goods provided incidental to surgery without being in the business of selling goods" and that "the transaction in this case, a surgery, clearly was labeled a service rather than the sale of a product." Zbras, 91 Conn. App. 289, 294, cert. denied, 276 Conn. 910 (2005). The Appellate Court's analysis of this issue should serve as forceful guidance in support of a determination that, in this case, the Pelvic Mesh Products were not sold by the Hospital, but were incidental to a service—namely, a surgery that was performed at the Hospital.

Furthermore, courts on a national basis also do not hold hospitals liable for defective products used during surgery. According to the Restatement 3d of Torts, "in a strong majority of jurisdictions, hospitals are held not be sellers of products they supply in connection with the provision of medical care, regardless of the circumstances." (Emphasis added) Restatement 3d of Torts: Products Liability, § 20 "Definition of 'One Who Sells or Otherwise Distributes,' Comment d. The Connecticut Supreme Court has adopted the Restatement analysis and it is binding on Connecticut courts. See Vitanza v. Upjohn Co. 257 Conn. 365, 373, 376 (2001) (Following the Restatement of Torts on Product Liability); see also Giglio v. Connecticut Light

<u>& Power Co.</u>, 230, 233 (1980) (The court "accepted the principles adopted by the American Law Institute as contained in § 402A of the Restatement (Second) of Torts, establishing the strict liability in torts").

As discussed previously, national products liability treatises agree with the Restatement 3d. National products liability treatises agree with the Restatement 3d. As a general matter, a hospital is not a product seller for medical devices the hospital did not manufacture and which were used during surgery in all fifty states See 1-8 Products Liability Practice Guide § 8.05 ("The overwhelming majority of jurisdictions . . . hold that a hospital cannot be held strictly liable for providing a drug or medical device in conjunction with a medical procedure."); see also, In re Breast Implant Prod. Liab., 331 S.C. 540, 549-550, 503 S.E.2d 445, 450, 1998 S.C. LEXIS 62, 15, 38 U.C.C. Rep. Serv. 2d (Callaghan) 49 (S.C. 1998) ("A significant number of other jurisdictions have also reached the conclusion that strict liability should not be imposed upon health care providers."). That is also why appellate courts uniformly affirm trial court decisions dismissing product liability claims against hospitals for devices implanted during surgery. See, e.g., Royer v. Catholic Med. Ctr., 144 N.H. 330, 335, 741 A.2d 74, 78, 1999 N.H. LEXIS 118, 13, CCH Prod. Liab. Rep. P15,700 (N.H. 1999)("Accordingly, the trial court did not err in granting the defendant [hospital]'s motion to dismiss" the product liability claim against Catholic Medical Center for the prosthetic knee implanted during surgery.) Because Stamford Hospital is not a product seller as a matter of law anywhere in the United States for medical devices implanted during surgery which it did not manufacture, it is entitled to summary judgment on the product liability count.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Stamford Hospital respectfully requests that the Court grant its motion for summary judgment.

DEFENDANT, STAMFORD HEALTH SYSTEM D/B/A STAMFORD HOSPITAL

/s/ Simon I. Allentuch
Simon I. Allentuch
Anthony C. Famiglietti
NEUBERT, PEPE & MONTEITH, P.C.
195 Church Street, 13th Floor
New Haven, CT 06510
Tel: (203) 821-2000

Fax: (203)821-2009 Juris No. 407996

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was sent via email to the following counsel

of record on the 30th day of September, 2016:

Brenden P. Leydon, Esq. Jackie Fusco, Esq. Tooher, Wocl & Leydon, LLC 80 Fourth Street Stamford, CT 06905

Chris Drury, Esq. Shipman & Goodwin One Constitution Plaza Hartford, CT 06103

/s/ Simon I. Allentuch
Simon I. Allentuch

DOCKET NO.: CV-14-6025333-S : COMPLEX DOCKET

ROBIN SHERWOOD and GREG HOELSCHER

J.D. OF WATERBURY

V. : AT WATERBURY

STAMFORD HEALTH SYSTEM, INC. D/B/A STAMFORD HOSPITAL

September 30, 2016

DECLARATION OF SIMON I. ALLENTUCH

Simon I. Allentuch declares, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746 under penalty of perjury and the Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution, as follows:

- 1. I am a principal in law firm, Neubert, Pepe & Monteith, P.C., attorneys for defendant Stamford Hospital and I make this Declaration in Support of Stamford Hospital's Motion for Summary Judgment.
 - 2. Attached as Exhibit 1 is a copy of Robin Sherwood's deposition in this case.

3. Attached as Exhibit 2 are portions of Ms. Sherwood's medical records from her

surgeon, Dr. Brian Hines.

Simon I. Allentuch

Exhibit 1

SUPERIOR COURT COMPLEX DOCKET AT WATERBURY

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - /

ROBIN SHERWOOD and GREG HOELSCHER,

V

DOCKET NUMBER: UWY-CV-14-6025333-S

STAMFORD HEALTH SYSTEM, INC. D/B/A STAMFORD HOSPITAL

DEPOSITION OF ROBIN SHERWOOD, taken in accordance with the Connecticut Practice Book at the law offices of Tooher Woel & Leydon, 80 Fourth Street, Stamford, Connecticut 06905, before Mercedes Marney-Sheldon, RPR, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public, in and for the State of Connecticut on Tuesday, September 20, 2016, at 10:15 a.m.

DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC PROFESSIONAL SHORTHAND REPORTERS 117 RANDI DRIVE MADISON, CT 06443 203 245-9583

Hartford

New Haven

Stamford

```
Page 2
       APPEARANCES:
1
       TOOHER WOCL & LEYDON, LLC
3
       BY: JACQUELINE E. FUSCO, ESQUIRE
        80 Fourth Street
        Stamford, CT 06905
        203.324.6164
 7
       jfusco@tooherwocl.com
 8
       Attorney Representing the PLAINTIFF
 9
10
11
12
        NEUBERT, PEPE & MONTIETH, P.C.
13
        BY: SIMON I. ALLENTUCH, ESQUIRE
14
        195 Church Street
15
        13th Floor
16
        New Haven, CT 06510
17
        203.821.2000
18
        sallentuch@npmlaw.com
19
        bdimaio@npmlaw.com
20
        Attorney Representing THE STAMFORD HEALTH SYSTEMS
21
22
23
24
25
```

| | Page 3 |
|----|---|
| 1 | APPEARANCES (Continued) |
| 2 | |
| 3 | SHIPMAN & GOODWIN LLP |
| 4 | BY: SHARI M. GOODSTEIN, ESQUIRE |
| 5 | 300 Atlantic Street |
| 6 | Stamford, CT 06903 |
| 7 | 203.324.8161 |
| 8 | sgoodstein@goodwin.com |
| 9 | Attorney Representing J&J ETHICON - THIRD PARTY |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| _ | | |
|---|----|--|
| | | Page 4 |
| | 1 | STIPULATIONS |
| | 2 | |
| | 3 | IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and |
| | 4 | between counsel representing the parties that each |
| | 5 | party reserves the right to make specific objections |
| | 6 | at the trial of the case to each and every question |
| | 7 | asked and of the answers given thereto by the |
| | 8 | deponent, reserving the right to move to strike out |
| | 9 | where applicable, except as to such objections as |
| | 10 | are directed to the form of the question. |
| | 11 | IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED by and |
| | 12 | between counsel representing the respective parties |
| | 13 | that proof of the official authority of the Notary |
| | 14 | Public before whom this deposition is taken is |
| | 15 | waived. |
| ١ | 16 | IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED by and |
| | 17 | between counsel representing the respective parties |
| ۱ | 18 | that the reading and signing of this deposition by |
| | 19 | the deponent is not waived. |
| | 20 | IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED by and |
| | 21 | between counsel representing parties that all |
| | 22 | defects, if any, as to the notice of the taking of |

the deposition are waived.

the original transcript is waived.

Filing of the Notice of Deposition with

23

24

- 1 So I'm going to ask you some
- 2 questions. The court reporter is going to take
- down the guestions that I ask and then you will
- 4 have a chance to respond. The court reporter can
- only take down actual words, so if you shake your
- 6 head, that will loosen up your neck maybe but it
- 7 won't make it on to the record so you need to
- 8 answer in words.
- 9 Similarly, if you use words like
- "uh-huh" or "uh-uh," the court reporter is going
- 11 to have trouble taking that down. So if you can
- 12 confine your answers to English words --
- 13 A Yes and no.
- 14 Q -- that would be great.
- 15 You may -- you may -- some doctors'
- names may come up during the deposition. If you
- 17 know the spelling of the doctor's name, why don't
- 18 you -- you can spell it out for the court
- 19 reporter.
- 20 A Okay.
- 21 O That would make it easier for her.
- 22 If you don't understand a question
- I've asked you, please let me know and I will
- 24 rephrase it.
- 25 A Okay.

- 1 Q As you probably gathered from what
- the court reporter is doing, she can't take down
- 3 two of us at once. So if you can, just try to
- 4 let me finish the question and I will do my best
- 5 when you answer. Now, inevitably we're not going
- to be perfect about that, but we just both have
- 7 to try.
- 8 Finally, let me just say that if I
- g ask you a question and you answer it, I'm going
- 10 to assume and the record is going to reflect that
- 11 you understood the question and answered it.
- 12 Okay?
- 13 A All right.
- 14 Q Is there anything about these
- instructions that you don't understand? Are they
- 16 clear to you?
- 17 A I believe they're clear.
- 18 Q Okay. Great. And as you mentioned
- 19 before, obviously, if you can try to keep your
- voice up, that's going to help the court reporter
- 21 too.
- 22 A Okay.
- 23 Q All right. If you need -- actually
- there is one thing I forgot. If you need to take
- a break, as long as there's no question pending,

let me know. 1 Okay. Α 2 And, you know, if you need to use the 3 bathroom, whatever, we will -- you know, we can do that. 5 All right. Are you currently on -taking any medication? 7 I am. Α 8 Can you tell me what medication 9 you're currently taking? 10 Okay. I take Lunesta, 3 milligrams Α 11 at night for sleeping. I take Plaquenil, 12 P-L-A-Q-U-E-N-I-L, 400 milligrams a day. I take 13 methenamine morning and night, 1 gram. 14 MS. FUSCO: Do you want to spell 15 It's probably best to spell the 16 medications, too, for the court reporter. 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. Methenamine is 18 M-E-T-H-E-N-A-M-I-N-E. 19 In the mornings I take Wellbutrin, 20 450 milligrams. I take metformin, 21 500 milligrams. 22 Those are my daily medications. 23 have others for different things. 24 I take gabapentin at times for 25

```
Page 10
 1
               pill. On occasion, not every day.
                    I think that's it.
 3
        BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
                    Okay. So let's just go through those
 4
              Q
        real quick. You said you take Lunesta for sleep;
 5
        is that right?
 6
 7
              Α
                    Yes.
                    You take that every night before you
 8
        go to sleep?
 9
              Α
                    Yes.
10
                    And you take Plaquenil; is that
11
              0
12
        right?
13
              Α
                    Yes.
                    And what's the Plaquenil for?
14
              Q
                    I have a long diagnosis of
15
              Α
        undifferentiated mixed connective disease.
16
17
                    All right. And then -- I'm sorry.
        Is there something you wanted to add --
18
                    Yes. I thought of two more things
19
              Α
        that I have for certain things. I don't take
20
        them every day or often.
21
22
                    One of them is called hyoscyamine.
23
        It's a rescue drug for a biliary attack that I
24
        get.
                    Is it glycosamine?
25
```

```
Page 11
                    Hyoscyamine.
 1
              Α
 2
                    Hyoscyamine.
 3
              Α
                    Yes.
                    And it's for respiratory attacks like
        asthma?
 5
                    No.
                    I apologize if I didn't --
 7
              0
              Α
                    That's okay.
 8
                    I have spasms in my sphincter of Oddi
 9
        biliary tree, and that's a smooth muscle
10
        relaxant.
11
                    And the other thing is tramadol.
12
13
                    Okay. One of the medicines you
        mentioned, and I may not have written it down
14
        exactly right, is mechesamine [sic]. Am I not
15
        getting that right? Can you tell me --
16
                    I think you're blending two.
17
        tell you what I think -- what I meant to tell
18
        you.
19
                    Methenamine.
20
                    Methenamine. The trade name is
21
              Α
                 It's a drug to help me not get UTIs.
22
        Hiprex.
                    So is it an antibiotic?
23
                    No. It changes the pH in the urine.
24
              Α
                    Okay. Wellbutrin is -- you take
25
              Q
```

What's the -- what's that medication

2.3

24

25

0

for?

- 1 A It's for urinary tract infections.
- 2 Q Is that an antibiotic?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q You told me you take Xanax on
- 5 occasion. What's the Xanax for?
- A I have a problem sleeping. I've
- 7 taken Lunesta for a long time. Sometimes I wake
- 8 up after four hours of sleep, I can't go back to
- 9 sleep. If I take half of a Xanax, I usually can
- 10 get six hours of sleep.
- 11 Q How often do you take Xanax?
- 12 A Once or twice a week.
- 13 Q All right. You told me you take
- 14 hyoscyamine. How -- why are you taking
- 15 hyoscyamine?
- 16 A I have a condition called Sphincter
- of Oddi Disorder, for several reasons, and I
- never know quite what's happening. It could be
- 19 from fat. It could be from other foods, protein.
- The sphincter closes tightly, spasms, and then it
- creates a very painful reaction where the biliary
- fluids back up. And the hyoscyamine helps open
- 23 that smooth muscle.
- Q Okay. You told me you take tramadol.
- What's tramadol for?

- 1 A Tramadol was prescribed to me by my
- 2 doctor in California for intercourse because it
- 3 was very painful.
- 4 Q Do you know -- how does tramadol
- 5 affect you?
- 6 A It relieves pain, but it's not --
- 7 it's not totally -- it doesn't really address it,
- 8 so I don't use it very often. I haven't filled
- 9 my prescription in a long time, but I do have on
- 10 hand in case things get out of hand with pain.
- 11 Q Is it something you take before
- 12 intercourse?
- 13 A At the time it was prescribed, yes.
- 14 Q And how do you use the -- is that the
- 15 way you use it as well?
- 16 A I don't use it that way anymore. Now
- I would use it in case I had a lot of pain after
- intercourse.
- 19 Q All right. Would any of these
- 20 medications, whether you've taken them or -- let
- 21 me withdraw the question.
- The drugs you're on, would any of
- 23 them affect your ability to give truthful, full
- 24 and accurate testimony today?
- 25 A No.

```
Page 16
                   Okay. All right. So who is -- who's
             0
1
       the doctor that prescribed the Lunesta?
                    Dr. Dominic Roca.
3
                    Is he the doctor you sleep -- you
              0
       treat with for your problems sleeping, your
5
       insomnia?
6
                          I might add there he diagnosed
       me as having narcolepsy, not insomnia per se.
8
                    So your sleep disorder is narcolepsy?
9
                    Uh-huh.
10
                    Where is Dr. Roca located?
11
                    Stamford Hospital.
12
                    So is he at the hospital proper or is
13
        he in something called the Stamford Hospital
14
        Integrated Practices, SHIP?
15
                    MS. FUSCO: Objection to form.
16
                    If you know the answer to that, you
17
               can answer it.
18
                    THE WITNESS: I don't know the
19
20
               answer.
        BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
21
                    Okay. How about the Plaquenil?
22
        prescribed that for you?
23
                     Dr. Mark Litchman.
24
                     Could you spell his last name for the
               Q
25
```

sent me to him because I have a positive ANA.

- 1 Q What's an ANA?
- 2 A Antinuclear antibody.
- 3 Q Okay. What symptoms were you
- 4 suffering from?
- 5 A Hives. And I had, you know, joint
- 6 pain in my hands and my feet.
- 7 Q How did -- did that affect your
- 8 ability to do the daily activities of life?
- 9 A The hives did.
- 10 Q How did it affect you?
- 11 A They itched a lot. They stayed a
- 12 long time.
- 13 Q All right. Is there anything you
- couldn't do because of your -- in 2003 or '4
- because of this mixed connective tissue disease?
- 16 A I didn't have any -- I couldn't drink
- wine or anything like that. I mean, I carried on
- my daily activities pretty much.
- 19 Q How has your -- has the symptoms
- you've experienced with the mixed connective
- tissue disease changed from 2004 to today?
- 22 A They're better if I take the
- 23 Plaquenil, which I do.
- 24 Q Is there a long-term diagnosis from
- 25 this -- sorry. I will withdraw the question.

```
Page 19
                   Is there a long-term prognosis for
1
       this disease?
                    I don't know.
             Α
3
                   Right. Do you know if it's
             Q
       degenerative?
5
                    It is degenerative.
6
                    But right now, the symptoms are under
        control and don't affect you while you're on the
8
        Plaquenil; is that right?
                    Correct.
              Α
10
                    Okay. Do you -- have you seen any
11
        doctors other than Dr. Litchman and his group for
12
        your mixed connective tissue disease?
13
                    No.
              Α
14
                    Who prescribed the methiamine [sic]?
15
                    Methenamine?
              Α
16
                    Methenamine. I'm sorry. I knew I
17
        wasn't going to get that right.
18
                     That's all right. I'm more familiar
19
        with it than you are.
20
                     Dr. Raz. Dr. Shlomo Raz.
 21
         S-H-L-O-M-O. Last name R-A-Z.
 22
                     Are you still treating with Dr. Raz?
               0
 23
                     Yes.
               Α
 24
                     When was the last time you were at
 25
```

- 1 BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
- 2 Q Why are you treating at The Weight
- 3 Loss Clinic?
- 4 A Over the last five years I gained a
- 5 lot of weight. I had several surgeries. I was
- 6 inactive. I've tried to lose weight on my own
- 7 and I was not successful with counting calories,
- 8 et cetera. So I wanted to be as healthy as I
- 9 could.
- 10 O Sure.
- 11 You know, let me say this. I didn't
- say it at the beginning. Obviously we're going
- to spend a lot of time today going through all of
- your medical treatment and medications and, you
- 15 know -- and I will probably be asking you
- 16 somewhat personal questions. And I just want to
- 17 be clear that I'm not -- no matter how I put
- them, I'm just trying to get information and find
- 19 out.
- 20 A Okay.
- 21 O It's really not a -- just take them
- as I'm trying to find out information. And so if
- I ask something in a way that it bothers you or
- something, it is certainly not my intention.
- 25 Okay?

- 1 A Understood.
- 2 Q All right. And so what is the --
- 3 what is the connection between Wellbutrin and
- 4 weight loss issues, from your understanding?
- 5 A Okay. It is my understanding. I'm
- 6 not going to explain it as a medical person.
- 7 He looked carefully at my history and
- 8 what I had done to lose weight. He saw that I
- 9 had taken Wellbutrin for a short time and I
- 10 tolerated it. And I was also taking gabapentin,
- which causes weight gain for some people. So he
- said, Would you be willing to try the Wellbutrin
- 13 because you seem depressed. Didn't do any
- 14 questionnaires or anything. And you have chronic
- pain. And it also causes some people to lose
- 16 weight.
- So I agreed to try that. So I was
- able to stop taking gabapentin all the time.
- 19 Q And -- and do you feel -- do you feel
- 20 depressed as you sit here today?
- 21 A Relatively not.
- Q When you say "relatively," what do
- 23 you mean?
- 24 A Well, in the past I have been more
- 25 so.

```
1
              0
                    I see.
                    How does -- how do those feelings of
 2
        depression manifest itself today?
 3
                    I don't -- I don't feel depressed
 4
        today.
 5
 6
                    Okay. And just to be clear when I
        say "today," I don't mean just this morning,
 7
        today. I mean in the present, generally. Does
 8
        that change your answer at all?
 9
                    MS. FUSCO: Well, I'm going to object
10
11
               to the ambiguity of that question. If you
12
               could be a little more specific because I
               thought you meant today as well.
13
        BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
14
                    Over the last month or so have you
15
16
        felt depressed?
17
              Α
                    No.
                    And would that be true the last six
18
        months or so, you haven't -- have you felt
19
        depressed?
20
21
              Α
                    No.
                    Besides your endocrinologist did
22
              0
23
        you -- at Weil Cornel, did you see anyone else
        for your depression, any other treaters?
24
```

Well, that's why I mentioned -- I

25

Α

```
Page 24
       will go back to 2011. My internist Dr. Judy Shea
1
       was seeing me, and this was post surgery in 2011.
2
       And she thought I had symptoms of depression.
3
       was, like, weeping and, you know, just generally
       not getting better.
5
                    Okay. So other than Ms. Shea and
              Q
       your endocrinologist, you haven't treated with
7
        anyone else for depression; is that right?
8
                    Correct.
9
                    All right. Who prescribed the
10
        metformin?
11
                    Recently, yes.
              Α
12
                     Who prescribed that?
13
                     Dr. Leon Igel.
14
                     All right. Who prescribed the
               Q
15
        gabapentin?
16
                                     Z-H-O-U.
                     Dr. Sue Zhou.
               Α
17
                     Where does Dr. Zhou practice?
               Q
18
                     In White Plains.
19
                     Is he part of a -- I'm sorry. Is she
               Q
20
         part of a group?
21
                     No.
 22
                     Do you know what kind of doctor
 23
         Dr. Zhou is?
 24
```

She -- I believe she's a

Α

- urogynecologist.
- 2 Q All right. You told me that you're
- 3 taking Migranal as a rescue medicine for
- 4 migraines. Who prescribed that for you?
- 5 A Dr. Sarah Vollbracht at Montefiore.
- 6 Q Is that V-A-L-B-R-E-Q-U-E?
- 7 A I think it's V-O-L-L-B-R-E-C-H-T
- 8 [sic].
- 9 Q I was not close on that spelling,
- 10 okay.
- How long have you had migraines?
- 12 A I started getting them in 2000.
- Q Are they under control on medication?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And has that been true since you
- 16 first sought treatment for them?
- 17 A No. I went through several different
- trial-and-error things at that clinic, but they
- 19 found something that works.
- 20 Q When did -- was it Dr. Vollbracht
- 21 figured out what would help treat your migraines?
- 22 A It was her teacher, Dr. Brian
- 23 Grosberg, who has since left there.
- Q When did Dr. Grosberg find a
- 25 prescription for you that treated your migraines?

```
1 nitrofurantoin?
```

- 2 A I think it was prescribed in 2014.
- 3 Although I might add that I had taken
- 4 it before with specific UTIs and we knew that it
- 5 worked.
- 6 Q Okay. Who prescribed the Xanax for
- 7 you?
- 8 A Dr. Roca.
- Q Could you spell the last name?
- 10 A R-O-C-C-A [sic].
- 11 Q Is Dr. Roca affiliated with a
- 12 practice?
- 13 A He's the doctor at the sleep clinic.
- Q Who prescribed the hyoscyamine for
- 15 you?
- 16 A Dr. Shea.
- 17 Q And Dr. Shea is your internist; is
- 18 that right?
- 19 A Uh-huh.
- 20 Q All right. And finally, who
- 21 prescribed the tramadol for you?
- 22 A Dr. Raz.
- 23 Q All right.
- Did you do anything to prepare for
- 25 today's deposition?

```
Page 30
                    MR. ALLENTUCH:
                                     I'm sorry. I know we
 1
 2
               were talking over each other there.
               But -- got it.
 3
        BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
 4
 5
                    Okay. Outside of your meeting with
              0
        Ms. Fusco, did you review any medical records to
        review for today?
 7
              Α
                    No.
                    (Off the record.)
 9
10
                    MR. ALLENTUCH: I'm not sure I got an
11
               answer to this last question so --
12
                    MS. FUSCO: Yes, you did.
                    MR. ALLENTUCH: Can you read back the
13
14
               last Q and A.
                    (The Record was read back.)
15
        BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
16
                    Okay. In your meeting with
17
       Ms. Fusco, did you review any medical records in
18
19
       preparation for today?
20
                    MS. FUSCO: Objection.
                                             That's
21
               attorney/client privilege, and I instruct
22
               her not to answer.
23
     INST
24
       BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
25
                    And you're not going to answer,
```

```
Page 31
       right?
1
                   MS. FUSCO: Correct. She's not going
2
              to answer.
3
                   THE WITNESS: I will defer to her.
       BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
5
                   Okay. I just need you to say that
6
       you're not going to answer and follow your
7
       attorney's direction. Just so the record is
8
       clear.
9
                    Okay. At my attorney's advice, I'm
              Α
10
        not going to answer.
11
                    There you go. Thank you.
12
                    What records did you review with
13
        Ms. Fusco?
14
                    MS. FUSCO: Objection.
15
               Attorney/client privilege. Do not answer
16
               any questions what we did -- or talked
17
               about, okay?
18
     INST
19
        BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
 20
                     I just need you to say that you're
 21
         not going to answer the question. That's all.
 22
                     Okay. I decline to answer on my
               Α
 2.3
         attorney's advice.
 24
                     All right. In preparation for today,
               Q
 25
```

```
Page 32
      did you review any documents other than medical
1
       record?
2
                   MS. FUSCO: Object to the extent it
3
              may implicate attorney/client --
                   MR. ALLENTUCH: I will withdraw the
5
              question.
6
       BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
7
                   Outside of your meeting with
       Ms. Fusco, did you review any documents to
9
       prepare for today?
10
                    No.
              Α
11
                    In your meeting with Ms. Fusco, did
12
        you review any non-privileged documents to
13
        prepare for today?
14
                    MS. FUSCO: Objection.
15
               Attorney/client privileged. Do not answer
16
                anything.
17
      INST
18
                     THE WITNESS: I decline.
 19
                     MS. FUSCO: Yeah. I'm going to
 20
                instruct you not to answer anything. You
 21
                don't have to -- you don't have to say
 22
                that you decline. It's my objection.
 23
                don't answer. Okay?
 24
 25
```

| | Page 34 |
|----|--|
| 1 | asking about what you told her. I'm just |
| 2 | asking the documents she reviewed. But I |
| 3 | understand you disagree |
| 4 | MS. FUSCO: That involved |
| 5 | communication |
| 6 | MR. ALLENTUCH: and you can we |
| 7 | can take it up with the judge. |
| 8 | MS. FUSCO: But you can take it up |
| 9 | with the judge, but we're done. |
| 10 | MR. ALLENTUCH: Well, I am going |
| 11 | to I have to keep making a record. |
| 12 | MS. FUSCO: She is not going to |
| 13 | answer. |
| 14 | MR. ALLENTUCH: So I am going to |
| 15 | continue to |
| 16 | MS. FUSCO: I am going to instruct |
| 17 | her not to answer and not even to |
| 18 | respond |
| 19 | MR. ALLENTUCH: That's fine. |
| 20 | MS. FUSCO: on the record. |
| 21 | MR. ALLENTUCH: I just need to |
| 22 | make I just need to make a record |
| 23 | MS. FUSCO: That's fine. |
| 24 | MR. ALLENTUCH: so we can claim |
| 25 | the questions. That's all. |
| l | |

Page 35 That's fine. MS. FUSCO: 1 BY MR. ALLENTUCH: 2 In preparation for All right. Q 3 today's deposition, outside of your meeting with Ms. Fusco, did you review your complaint in this 5 case? 6 Do you need -- do you understand the 7 question or do you need me to rephrase it? 8 I don't understand the question. 9 Okay. All right. 10 And I don't understand it in the 11 context of you telling me not to answer. 12 Let me -- let me -- maybe I can --13 and Ms. Fusco can also explain this to you, if 14 that would be easier. But she doesn't want --15 she doesn't feel it's appropriate for you to 16 testify about anything that happened during her 17 meeting with you. So I'm breaking it down into 18 two pieces, things that you did outside of your 19 meeting with her and things you did with your 20 meeting with her. And so I'm going to go through 21 a series of questions. I am going to ask you the 22 same type of question when you met with Ms. Fusco 23 and when you were not meeting with Ms. Fusco.

Does that make sense?

24

- 1 A Yes. Would you clarify that time
- 2 frame you mean?
- 3 Q Sure. Well, I am -- really all I'm
- 4 looking for is to prepare for today's deposition.
- 5 That's what all these questions are directed at.
- 6 A Okay.
- 7 Q All right. Do you know what a
- 8 complaint is with respect to a lawsuit?
- 9 A I think I do.
- 10 Q All right. Do you know whether a
- 11 complaint in this case was filed on your behalf
- in the superior court?
- 13 A I'm unclear. I don't know if you and
- 14 I have the same understanding.
- 15 Q All right.
- 16 A I don't want to answer something that
- 17 I'm not sure of.
- 18 Q Sure. Sure. Sure.
- 19 A It's such different language than I'm
- 20 accustomed to speaking.
- Q Why don't we mark this while we're
- 22 waiting for the ventilation to shut off as
- 23 Exhibit 1.

24

| | Page 37 |
|----|--|
| 1 | (Sherwood Exhibit Number 1 was marked |
| 2 | for identification, as of this date.) |
| 3 | (Discussion off the record.) |
| 4 | MS. FUSCO: Maybe if we can just be |
| 5 | clear that it's outside so that |
| 6 | Ms. Sherwood understands, outside attorney |
| 7 | communications. |
| 8 | MR. ALLENTUCH: Yeah, I'm going to go |
| 9 | back and forth. |
| 10 | MS. FUSCO: Right. She reviewed it |
| 11 | independently. |
| 12 | BY MR. ALLENTUCH: |
| 13 | Q Okay. Have you seen can you |
| 14 | identify Exhibit 1 for me? |
| 15 | MS. FUSCO: I'm going to object to |
| 16 | the extent you just put this in front of |
| 17 | her. Can she kind of look at it and read |
| 18 | it? |
| 19 | MR. ALLENTUCH: Sure. I if you |
| 20 | need more time, take your time. |
| 21 | MS. FUSCO: And it is a legal |
| 22 | document, so she may not be able to |
| 23 | identify it. |
| 24 | MR. ALLENTUCH: Are you testifying, |
| 25 | Jackie, here? I'm just asking if she can |
| | |

| | Page 40 |
|----|--|
| 1 | INST |
| 2 | BY MR. ALLENTUCH: |
| 3 | Q Okay. Did you outside of your |
| 4 | meeting with Ms. Fusco in preparation for today, |
| 5 | did you review your interrogatory answers? |
| 6 | A No. |
| 7 | Q All right. Did you talk with anyone |
| 8 | else besides Ms. Fusco or Mr. Leydon about |
| 9 | today's deposition? |
| 10 | MS. FUSCO: Well, I'm going to you |
| 11 | mean within the law firm or outside of her |
| 12 | attorneys? |
| 13 | MR. ALLENTUCH: I think it's did you |
| 14 | talk with anyone else. |
| 15 | MS. FUSCO: Well, then I will object |
| 16 | to the extent it may implicate |
| 17 | attorney/client privilege. |
| 18 | MR. ALLENTUCH: Are you directing her |
| 19 | not to answer the question? |
| 20 | MS. FUSCO: Well, I'm just going |
| 21 | to if if there's somebody outside of |
| 22 | the attorneys or the staff at this office |
| 23 | that she spoke with, then she can answer |
| 24 | it. Communications with attorney |
| 25 | MR. ALLENTUCH: I think whether you |
| | |

| | Page 41 |
|----|--|
| 1 | had whether someone had a communication |
| 2 | or not, aside from the I'm not asking |
| 3 | about the substance, whether a |
| 4 | communication existed is not privileged. |
| 5 | MS. FUSCO: But that's why you |
| 6 | know to the extent the objection is to |
| 7 | the extent it may implicate. |
| 8 | MR. ALLENTUCH: Even if she talked |
| 9 | with you. For example, she said she met |
| 10 | with you and talked with you. |
| 11 | MS. FUSCO: That's right. |
| 12 | MR. ALLENTUCH: That's not |
| 13 | privileged. |
| 14 | MS. FUSCO: That's true. |
| 15 | MR. ALLENTUCH: But I'm asking, did |
| 16 | you talk with any anyone other than the |
| 17 | two of you. |
| 18 | MS. FUSCO: That's why I |
| 19 | MR. ALLENTUCH: And the answer is |
| 20 | yes |
| 21 | MS. FUSCO: Right. |
| 22 | MR. ALLENTUCH: or no, I didn't. |
| 23 | MS. FUSCO: I didn't instruct her not |
| 24 | to answer. I said I object to the extent |
| 25 | it implicates attorney/client privilege. |
| 1 | |

```
Page 42
              So if she can answer that outside of
1
              attorney privilege, then she can answer
2
              that.
3
                   MR. ALLENTUCH: All right.
4
                    MS. FUSCO: Okay?
5
       BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
                    So let me ask you the question again
7
        just so we're clear.
8
                    Other than Ms. Fusco or Mr. Leydon.
9
        Did you speak with anyone else about today's
10
        deposition?
11
                     I spoke with my husband.
12
                    What did you tell your husband about
              Q
13
        this deposition?
14
                     That it was happening and that I
              Α
15
        was -- had to just -- you know, he had to
16
        tolerate me being nervous or whatever, because it
17
                               I've never done this
        did make me nervous.
18
         before.
19
                     Did you talk -- what did your husband
               0
20
         tell you in response?
 21
                     He said you'll do fine.
 22
                     Did you talk about anything else
               0
 23
         about the deposition with him other than what
 24
         you've just told me?
 25
```

- 1 A Well, I think that I went over the
- 2 same issue a few times, that, you know, I had
- 3 nervousness, my stomach was in knots or whatever.
- 4 He wondered if he would have, you know, to come
- 5 too. It's a big unknown for us.
- 6 Q You told him to stay home?
- 7 A Well, I didn't know I had an option,
- 8 but I would have told him to stay home.
- 9 Okay. Other than your husband, did
- 10 you talk to anybody else about today's
- deposition, and Ms. Fusco and Mr. Leydon?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q All right. I'm just going to ask you
- 14 some basic background questions.
- 15 Have -- have you gone by any other
- 16 last name other than Sherwood?
- 17 A Sometimes I go by my husband's last
- 18 name which is Hoelscher, spelled
- 19 H-O-E-L-S-C-H-E-R.
- 20 Q So your -- either -- is it fair to
- 21 say you're either known as Robin Hoelscher or
- 22 Robin Sherwood --
- 23 A Correct.
- Q -- is that right? Yes?
- 25 A Yes.

```
Page 44
                    Okay. And I believe you -- you
1
       mentioned you live in Greenwich, Connecticut.
2
       How long have you lived at your current address?
3
                    Since 1996.
              Α
4
                    And where did you live before that?
5
                    Millburn, New Jersey.
6
                    Did you live in Millburn for a long
              0
7
        time?
8
                     I think it was five years.
              Α
9
                     And where did you live prior to 1991?
10
                     In Manhattan.
11
                     How long did you live in Manhattan?
              0
12
                     From '84 to -- from late '84 until
              Α
13
         '91, so 7 years.
14
                     Where did you grow up?
               0
15
                     Oklahoma.
               Α
16
                     Where in Oklahoma?
               0
17
                     A small town called Miami.
               Α
18
                     You might want to spell that for the
19
         court reporter.
20
                      M-I-A-M-I.
 21
                      Pronounced a little differently than
               Q
 22
         the city in Florida?
 23
                      Yes.
 24
                      I see.
 25
```

- And can you summarize your 1 educational background for me? 2 Sure. I went to junior college in Α
- 3 the town I grew up in, for two years. I moved to
- Oklahoma State, finished my BS degree in 5
- microbiology. Went on to do a year's internship
- in a hospital for another BS degree in medical 7
- technology. And I worked for a few years and 8
- went back to school for one year to study
- petroleum geology. I did not finish my master's. 10
- All right. And did you -- after you 11
- finished your degree at Oklahoma State, did you 12
- go in the job market? 13
- Yes. After the internship in medical Α 14
- technology, I worked as a -- first a chemist in 15
- an industrial plant, and then I moved back in to 16
- hospital work as, you know, a clinical laboratory 17
- person, winding up in microbiology. 18
- All right. Can you tell me, you 19
- know, who you worked for and what your title was, 20
- just walk me forward. 21
- Okay. Where do I start? 22
- Well, why don't we start when you got 0 23
- out of college -- withdrawn. 24
- Let's do it this way. Are you 25

And what was your title?

Α

24

```
Page 47
                   Chemist.
             Α
1
                   All right. And do you remember when
2
       you left Universal Oil?
3
                   Not exactly.
             Α
                    Do you know generally what your next
5
       position was after you were a chemist at
6
       Universal Oil?
7
                    In general I can say that I was
8
        waiting for a job to open up at St. John's
9
        Hospital in Tulsa where I interned.
10
                    All right. Did you leave Universal
11
        Oil and take a job at that hospital?
12
                    Yes.
13
                     Do you remember when that was,
               0
14
        roughly?
15
                     Approximately 1980.
16
                     Okay. And what did you do at the
               0
17
         hospital?
18
                     I worked in microbiology -- oh, wait.
19
         I have to backup a little bit.
 20
                     After Universal Oil Products, I
 21
         worked in a temporary basis at another hospital
 22
         called Hillcrest.
 23
                      What did you do at Hillcrest
 24
         Hospital?
 25
```

- 1 A I worked in microbiology laboratory.
- 3 microbiology laboratory, what kind of
- 4 microbiology -- withdrawn.
- 5 What were you doing in the
- 6 microbiology laboratory at these two hospitals?
- 7 A Culturing specimens from sick people,
- from surgery. And diagnosing, you know, coming
- 9 up with identification, a pattern of sensitivity
- 10 for microbes to help a doctor give proper
- 11 treatment.
- 12 Q So was it pathology work that you
- were doing? Is that a way to describe it?
- 14 A It's called clinical pathology. The
- 15 head of the lab is always a pathologist.
- 16 Q All right. So you did pathology at
- both Hillcrest Hospital and the second hospital
- 18 was called?
- 19 A St. John's.
- 20 Q St. John's?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q Okay.
- 23 A It was a coveted job in a coveted
- 24 hospital.
- 25 Q All right. And when did you leave

```
Page 50
       college at Oklahoma State?
1
                   Okay. All right. How long did you
       work for Met-Life?
3
                   About a year.
             Α
                   And so you left there in '85?
5
                    I believe so.
6
                    And where did you work next?
7
                    Sloan-Kettering.
              Α
8
                    And were you doing pathology work at
9
        Sloan-Kettering as well?
10
                    Microbiology.
11
                    Same kind of work?
12
                    Yes.
              Α
13
                    How long did you work for
14
        Sloan-Kettering?
15
                     Until -- I think in 1989 I went
16
        part-time. And then I stopped working there in
17
         '90 or '91, to my -- to the best I can remember.
18
                     Okay. And what job did you take
               Q
19
         after you left Sloan-Kettering?
20
                     I -- I worked as a headhunter for
 21
         medical personnel, placing people in New York
 22
         City hospitals.
 23
                     All right. Do you remember the name
 24
         of your employer?
 25
```

All right. You told me you're

```
Page 52
       married to a man named Greg; is that right?
1
                   Uh-huh.
             Α
2
                   When did you get married?
             Q
3
                    1984.
             Α
                   And Greg -- can I call him Greg? Is
             Q
5
       that all right?
6
                    Uh-huh.
              Α
7
                    Or I can go to his -- Greg is fine.
8
                    Greg is great.
9
                    MS. FUSCO: It's probably easier to
10
               spell Greg for the record.
11
                    MR. ALLENTUCH: Well, honestly, I
12
               didn't want to mispronounce your husband's
13
               last name, so I gravitated to Greg.
14
        BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
15
                     So -- and Greg is the only man you've
16
        been married to; correct?
17
                     Yes.
               Α
18
                     And when did you have your first
19
         child?
20
                     1986.
 21
                     And boy? Girl?
               Q
 22
                     воу.
               Α
 23
                     Boy. What's your son's -- first
 24
         son's name?
 25
```

```
Page 53
                    Garrett.
             Α
1
                    Did you have Garrett by natural
2
       childbirth?
3
                    T did.
              Α
                    Do you remember you delivered?
5
                    St. Vincent's Hospital.
6
                    Down in Greenwich Village?
7
                    Uh-huh.
              Α
8
                    It doesn't exist anymore, I believe.
 9
                    No.
              Α
10
                     Is that where you lived at the time,
11
        down in the Village?
12
                     East Village.
               Α
13
                     MR. ALLENTUCH: Off the record for a
14
                second.
15
                      (Off the record.)
16
         BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
17
                     Any complications with Garrett's
               0
18
         birth?
19
                      No.
               Α
 20
                      Did you have any problems yourself
 21
         after the pregnancy?
 22
                      No.
                Α
 23
                      Let me just say, when I say
 24
          "problems," I mean related to the pregnancy or
 25
```

```
Page 55
                   And what's your third child's name?
             Q.
1
                   Cicely, C-I-C-E-L-Y.
             Α
2
                   Was Cicely also born through natural
             Q
3
       childbirth?
                    Yes.
              Α
5
                    And was Cicely also born at
6
       St. Vincent's Hospital?
7
                    Yes.
              Α
8
                    And there -- were there any medical
              0
9
        problems from Cicely's birth?
10
                    Did I?
11
                    Yes. Did you have any problems
12
        during the birth?
13
                     No.
               Α
14
                     Did -- after the birth, did you have
15
        any -- did you have any problems arising from
16
        giving birth or being pregnant?
17
                     No.
               Α
18
                     Did Cicely have some problems arising
19
         from the birth?
 20
                     During the birth, she was called a
 21
         fetus in distress. Everything turned out just
 22
         fine.
 23
                      Terrific.
 24
                      But it was, you know, a little
 25
```

birthday?

```
Page 58
       she -- I think she gave me a pessary at that
1
       time, which I used for a short time.
                                              But as I
2
       became more pregnant and the baby was higher, I
3
       wasn't having prolapse until after he was born.
                    I see. So as the pregnancy went on,
             Q
5
       the symptoms ceased, is that what you're telling
6
7
       me?
                    Yes.
              Α
8
                    Did you have a discussion with
 9
        Dr. Komarynsky about the prolapse symptoms you
10
        were experiencing at that time?
11
                    At which time?
              Α
12
                    In the winter and spring of 1998.
              0
13
                    During the pregnancy?
              Α
1.4
                    MS. FUSCO: I'm going to object --
15
                                     During the pregnancy.
                     MR. ALLENTUCH:
16
                     MS. FUSCO: -- it's a little -- oh,
17
                okay. During the pregnancy.
18
                     THE WITNESS: Yes. Right away.
 19
         BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
 20
                     And what did Dr. Komarynsky tell you?
 21
                      I can't recall exactly what she said.
               Α
 22
         I know that she reassured me and said, this
 23
         happens. Your baby will be fine.
```

Did she prescribe a course of

24

```
Page 59
       treatment other than the pessary for you?
1
                   No.
             Α
2
                   No.
                         Okay.
             Q
3
                   After Logan was born, you had
4
       prolapse -- symptoms from a prolapse again; is
5
       that right?
6
                    Uh-huh.
              Α
7
                    When did that happen -- start
              0
8
        happening?
9
                    I think -- I don't remember.
10
        general, pretty much soon after I was healed from
11
        the birth.
12
                    Okay. So the last -- fair to say the
13
        last few months of 1998 you started again
14
        experiencing symptoms from a prolapse; is that
15
        right?
16
                     I don't think I can be accurate.
17
         think the medical records would show that better.
18
                     All right. Did you meet with
               Q
19
         Dr. Komarynsky to discuss a potential course of
20
         treatment --
 21
                     Yes.
               Α
 22
                     -- for prolapse?
               Q
 23
                      Yes?
 24
                      Yes.
                Α
 25
```

```
Page 61
       of me.
1
                   And were you having any symptoms when
       you used the pessary in 1998 and 1999, you know,
3
       around that time period?
                    MS. FUSCO: Objection to form.
5
       BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
6
                    You can go ahead and answer.
7
                    MS. FUSCO: If you can.
8
                    THE WITNESS: I'm finding the
9
               question a little vague and I can't
10
               remember specifically.
11
        BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
12
                    I will rephrase the question.
13
                     Okay. Yes.
              Α
14
                     When the pessary was prescribed for
15
        you at -- sometime after you gave birth to your
16
        son --
17
                     Yes.
               Α
18
                     -- and you started using it, were you
               0
19
         still experiencing symptoms from the prolapse?
20
                     Not when I wore it.
21
                     And you wore it all the time; is that
22
         right?
 23
                     Mostly all the time. Sometimes I
 24
         would take it out to wash it or if it was time
 25
```

```
Page 62
       for my menses. I kind of didn't like it in
1
       there.
2
                    Sure.
             Q
3
                    Did -- at that time were you having
       intercourse with your husband?
5
              Α
                    Yes.
                    Okay. And you weren't wearing the --
7
        the pessary when you had intercourse; is that
8
        right?
9
                    I was told that I could, but it
10
        wasn't preferable so I usually took it out.
11
                    Was it uncomfortable to wear the
12
        pessary during intercourse?
13
                    Yes.
              Α
14
                    Were you having regular intercourse
15
        with your husband after your son was born around
16
        the time the pessary was prescribed?
17
                    MS. FUSCO: Objection to form.
18
        BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
19
                     Okay. I will rephrase it -- do you
              Q
20
        understand the question? I -- I can --
21
                     Well, I was going to ask you what do
               Α
22
         you mean by "regular."
23
                     Regular. Yeah. Might I suspect
24
         "regular" in that department has different
 25
```

```
Page 63
       meaning at different times of life, and whether
1
       you have four children at home.
2
                    MS. FUSCO: And from person to
3
               person.
                    MR. ALLENTUCH: Right. There you go.
5
                    MS. FUSCO: It's a relative term.
6
                    THE WITNESS: Do you mean
7
               "frequency"?
        BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
 9
                          I'm talking about frequency.
                    Yes.
              0
10
        Were you having intercourse with your husband on
11
        a weekly basis --
12
              Α
                    Yes.
13
                    -- after your son was delivered and
14
        you were -- the pessary was prescribed?
15
                    Yes.
16
                    And was it painful?
17
                    I don't think so.
              Α
18
                    And I understand it wasn't painful.
19
        How -- was it uncomfortable to have intercourse
20
        with your husband around the time the pessary was
21
        prescribed because of the prolapse?
22
                    MS. FUSCO: Objection to form.
23
        BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
24
                     You can go ahead and answer if you --
25
```

Objection.

MS. FUSCO:

24

- BY MR. ALLENTUCH: 1 -- your son Logan. Is that clarified 2 for you? 3 MS. FUSCO: Objection. THE WITNESS: Maybe you could ask 5 it --6 BY MR. ALLENTUCH: 7 Let me -- all right. Let me rephrase 0 8 the question. 9 Like you said, I don't want to answer 10 something --11 I understand. Sure. 0 12 So can we agree we're talking about 13 somewhere in 1999, is that when all this 14 happened, the pessary was prescribed and you were 15 wearing it and it was generally working for you? 16 It was generally working for me, Α 17
 - okay, yes.

 19 Q That was 1999; is that right? Yes?
 - 20 A Yes.
 - 21 Q So in 1999, when you're having sex
 - 22 with your husband, other than the inconvenience
 - of having to take the pessary out and use
 - lubrication and it couldn't be as spontaneous as
 - 25 you had been previously, you weren't -- you

at this period of time, so I think you

- 1 BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
- 2 Q How about in 2000, did you have any
- 3 symptoms from the -- did you start feeling any
- 4 symptoms from the prolapse while you were wearing
- 5 a pessary?
- 6 A I don't recall in 2000.
- 7 Q Okay. Did the pessary stop working
- 8 for you at some time in dealing with the symptoms
- 9 of the prolapse?
- 10 A Yes. The pessary became less
- effective for my symptoms.
- 12 Q Do you remember when that started
- 13 happening?
- 14 A Not exactly.
- Do you remember generally when that
- 16 started happening?
- 17 A Generally, I would say one or two
- 18 years before I sought different treatment.
- 19 Q So would that have been somewhere in
- 20 the 2004 range?
- 21 A It would be a guess to say when,
- 22 unless I looked at some chart or you looked at
- 23 some chart.
- Q Okay. Well, the surgery --
- 25 A Somewhere in there I saw

- 1 Dr. Komarynsky and I said, you know, this is
- 2 working less well. I think we tried a different
- 3 pessary. But with the pessary out, I believe my
- 4 scores, or whatever they do to rate your
- 5 prolapse, were getting worse.
- 7 had the Ethicon Prolift implanted in April 2006
- 8 at Stamford Hospital; is that right?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Okay. And so sometime between 1999
- and April 2006, the pessary became ineffective;
- is that right, to treat your prolapse?
- 13 A I'm not sure what the diagnosis would
- have been. It was less satisfactory for me. I
- was having a harder time wearing it all the time.
- 16 It did start interfering with bowel movements as
- 17 mechanical in -- I was having to take it out, put
- it in all the time. I dropped it in the toilet
- once. I had to get a new one. It was just
- annoying.
- 21 Q All right. So tell me about the
- 22 symptoms that you were -- I understand it's
- somewhere in that '99 to beginning of 2006 time
- period. Tell me what your symptoms -- can you
- describe your symptoms for me from the prolapse

- 1 as they got worse?
- 2 A Well, I was bothered by the problems
- 3 I was having with -- I felt pressure from the
- 4 pessary in my bladder, and I felt like I could
- 5 not have a complete bowel movement with the
- 6 pessary in place. And I also felt like taking it
- 7 out and putting it in was giving me irritation in
- 8 the vagina and just feeling kind of
- 9 uncomfortable. I was more aware of it being in
- 10 me. In the beginning, I wasn't so aware.
- 11 Q You said you couldn't have a complete
- 12 bowel movement. How was it effecting your --
- were you just not able to press to have a
- 14 complete bowel movement with the pessary in? Was
- 15 that the problem?
- 16 A Yes. When I would try to take --
- take the pessary out, I could feel, you know,
- that things were backed up behind it. If I took
- 19 it out, you know, and I spent the time, I could
- 20 have a normal bowel movement.
- 21 Q Okay. So -- I'm sorry. So without
- the pessary you could have a bowel movement but
- 23 wearing it you couldn't; is that right?
- 24 A I wouldn't say I couldn't. I would
- 25 say it was difficult.

- 1 Q Difficult, okay.
- 2 A And I had always been told never to
- 3 strain with my prolapse.
- Q Dr. Komarynsky told you that?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Other than Dr. Komarynsky, were you
- 7 treating with anyone else for issues related to
- 8 your prolapse in the '99 to 2005 period?
- 9 A Relating to prolapse, no, or any
- 10 other gynecological issue.
- 11 Q Did you seek an opinion from any
- other gynecologist other than Dr. Komarynsky
- about the prolapse during the '99 to 2005 period?
- 14 A I did see one other doctor. I don't
- 15 recall her name. Dr. Komarynsky -- you know,
- when I complained about, you know, what do we do
- 17 now. I mean, I can't see this working for the
- rest of my life. So she explained to me that she
- 19 could do a hysterectomy but it wouldn't
- 20 necessarily solved my problem because the
- 21 prolapse was more than just my uterus, it was the
- whole structure of my vagina that was sagging.
- So she didn't offer hysterectomy as
- the end-all. And she said, you know, you can
- 25 check with anyone else. She was open to that.

```
Page 72
       So I saw another woman doctor who was pretty
1
       gung-ho about doing a hysterectomy.
2
       didn't -- I don't even remember her.
3
       thought she didn't seem to be a person I wanted
       to jump in to practice with.
5
                    Sure.
              Q
6
                    Do you remember where this other
7
       doctor was located?
8
                    In Stamford.
              Α
9
                    And was she also a gynecologist?
10
                    Uh-huh.
              Α
11
                     Do you remember what practice she was
              Q
12
        at?
13
                     I don't. I can guess that maybe her
              Α
14
        name was Gray (ph.) but I don't really remember.
15
                     Do you have a first name?
               Q.
16
                     (Witness shakes head.)
               Α
17
                     Sometimes you just don't like a
18
         person.
19
                     I'm sorry?
               Q
20
                     Sometimes you just, you know, don't
               Α
 21
         feel you've met your solution.
 2.2
                     Do you remember where -- and I
               Q
 2.3
         understand you're not sure about her name, but
 24
         I'm going to call her Dr. Gray just so we have a
 25
```

```
Page 73
       common point of reference. Do you remember where
1
       Dr. Gray's office was located in Stamford?
2
       street maybe?
3
                    (Witness shakes head.)
                    What part of Stamford, do you
              0
5
       remember that -- you shook your head no.
6
                    No, I don't remember.
7
                    But Dr. Gray was affiliated with a
              Q
8
        group; is that right?
                    I think she was in single practice.
10
                    Okay.
11
                    MS. FUSCO: Just off the record for a
12
               second.
13
                     (Off the record.)
14
                    MR. ALLENTUCH: Back on the record.
15
        BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
16
                     All right. Do you remember when you
17
        saw Dr. Gray to get a second opinion?
18
                     I can guess. Logically it would be
19
         sometime in 2005.
20
                     All right. So it was not long before
 21
         you had the Prolift surgery; is that right?
 22
                     Uh-huh.
               Α
 23
                      That was a yes?
 2.4
                      Yes. Yes.
               Α
 25
```

I could say incrementally worsening.

I was able to deal with it up to a certain point.

22

23

24

25

Α

It was getting in my way.

- 1 And maybe I'm not supposed to keep
- 2 talking, but it was -- things where I was
- 3 choosing not to do, activities with my family.
- 4 Q What -- when you could say you could
- 5 deal with it up to a certain point, was it
- 6 painful?
- 7 A No, I don't remember it being
- 8 painful. It was just disgusting.
- 9 Q You felt it was disgusting.
- 10 A Uh-huh.
- 11 Q And how did it -- did it make you
- feel bad about yourself, or I should say badly
- about yourself?
- 14 A No. I felt badly about my prolapse
- but not about myself.
- 16 Q And you said you chose not to do
- 17 activities with your family, what did you mean?
- 18 A I just -- you know, my family is very
- active. They like to ski. They like to hike.
- They like to do adventurous things. So I would
- just stay behind. You know, I would stay home.
- I would say, yes, I'm going skiing, but I
- wouldn't, because I just felt not quite right,
- heavy in the pelvic area. Enough so that I asked
- 25 my doctor if there were other solutions at some

- 1 point, and I don't know which visit.
- 3 could do these activities but you felt discomfort
- 4 when doing them. Is that why you chose not to do
- 5 them?
- 6 A I remember one day I did go skiing
- 7 with my family, and I felt like the pessary was
- 8 at the bottom. And it was like -- you know, that
- 9 I was going to have to take it out or it was
- going to fall out. And then I was unsure about,
- 11 you know, if I could hurt myself if I fell. So I
- just started thinking, I have to ask questions
- about where do we go from here.
- 14 Q And when you were skiing and you felt
- 15 like the pessary was going to come out, were
- 16 you -- did you feel discomfort as well?
- 17 A Yes, I think it was discomfort.
- 18 Q Did you talk about these problems you
- 19 were having, with your husband?
- 20 A Somewhat.
- 21 Q When you say "somewhat," what do you
- 22 mean?
- 23 A Well, I wouldn't tell him everything
- 24 all the time, but, you know, I think he
- gradually, you know, knew that things were

- getting worse.
- 2 In the beginning I thought it was
- 3 great. It worked.
- 5 prolapse affecting your sex life as it got worse?
- 6 A I probably became less reluctant to
- 7 initiate sex or to --
- 8 Q Sorry. More reluctant?
- 9 A A little more reluctant because I
- just didn't feel very attractive.
- 11 Q And so the frequency of the
- intercourse you had with your husband declined
- over that '99 to 2005 period; is that right?
- 14 A I didn't really say that. I don't
- 15 know if it declined. I think we've always been
- 16 kind of regular scheduled -- you know, kept a
- 17 regular intervals.
- 18 Q That's been characteristic throughout
- 19 your marriage, you've had regular sex.
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q But you felt more reluctant and not
- 22 attractive, and this is sort of part of what you
- 23 said before, about feeling that it was
- 24 disgusting; is that right?
- 25 A Yeah. I didn't feel very playful.

- 1 Q All right. I think you told me a
- 2 moment ago that at some point you talked to
- 3 Dr. Komarynsky about other potential options to
- deal with your prolapse; is that right?
- 5 A Yeah. I mean, I saw her every year,
- 6 or if I had some issue. And it wasn't, I don't
- 7 think, on one specific day, you know. We just
- 8 talked about -- at my visits, how that was
- 9 working. And, you know, on one -- we got to a
- 10 point where she agreed that it wasn't working as
- 11 well.
- 12 Q So is it fair to say, as you got
- closer and closer to 2006, at your regular visits
- 14 you voiced more and more dissatisfaction with the
- pessary as a treatment option; is that right?
- 16 A Yes. I was getting abrasions sort of
- in my vagina from the pessary because it wasn't
- staying where it should and it was riding lower
- than it should in a place where it was very
- 20 irritating to the epithelium.
- 21 Q And were the abrasions painful or
- 22 uncomfortable?
- 23 A I would say uncomfortable. I don't
- really like to say painful.

```
Page 79
       you feel like it's -- is it because you feel like
1
       you're -- withdrawn.
2
                    Are you uncomfortable with the word
3
        "painful" or is it just not a good --
                    Yeah, I don't like the word.
              Α
 5
                    Is there a better word I can use?
              0
                    No, it's fine. It's fine.
        understand you and I can -- you know, I worked in
 8
        hospitals. I think painful is like when you come
 9
        out of surgery and you're blind with pain.
10
                    I see. Okay.
              0
11
                    So do you remember having a
12
        conversation with Dr. Komarynsky sometime in 2004
13
        or 2005 where you asked her, what are my other
14
        options?
15
                             I can't tell you the month,
                    Uh-huh.
16
        but I had this conversation. We finally got to
17
        it. And she said, I think you have to get this
18
        fixed. And I would like for you to go see, you
19
        know, Dr. Hines. He's the head of urogynecology.
20
        He's a bright guy. He can do things I can't.
21
                    And that conversation occurred in
22
        2005?
23
                    It must have, but I don't know for
24
```

certain.

- 1 BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
- 3 Dr. Hines?
- 4 A Uh-huh. Yes.
- 5 Q Do you remember when that was?
- 6 A January of 2006.
- 8 with Dr. Hines in January 2006?
- 9 A I mostly remember -- I'm pretty sure
- that he examined me and looked at the records
- from Dr. Komarynsky, although I don't really
- 12 remember the exam. But in his office we had a
- conversation and he recommended that I be fixed
- 14 with mesh.
- I had not heard of it before. I had
- heard of other surgery where, you know, you would
- have your ligaments reattached or whatever. And
- I didn't really know exactly what my problem was,
- so I'm speaking in generalities. But he
- 20 presented me that the only option for me was
- 21 mesh. And that it had wonderful results in
- people.
- 23 Q All right. So at the time that you
- 24 went to see Dr. Hines you had heard of -- that
- you could deal with or treat prolapse using

2006, how old were you? 1 You want me to do the math? 2 I believe I was 49. 3 By the way, happy birthday. 0 4 Thank you. 5 Did Dr. Hines talk to you about 6 whether your age was a factor in recommending a 7 particular treatment? 8 MS. FUSCO: Objection. 9 BY MR. ALLENTUCH: 10 Was that part of your discussion with 11 0 Dr. Hines, your age and what treatment was 12 appropriate for someone of your age? 13 MS. FUSCO: Objection. 14 You can answer. 15 THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. 16 In the context that he said, you seem 17 like a young person and you have an active 18 family, this is a good option for you. 19 BY MR. ALLENTUCH: 20 What questions did you ask him at 2.1 the -- when you met with him? 22 Well, I asked him how many surgeries 23 he had done. And he told me that he did them 24 every week. They were very popular and they help

- 1 women a lot. He told me it was a much better
- 2 option than what had ever been done before and it
- 3 was the only thing that he recommended.
- 4 I asked him if his patients had had
- 5 any problems, and he said -- I can't remember
- 6 verbatim, but he overcame my fear or objection.
- 7 He seemed very knowledgable about what he was
- 8 telling me. And I was, you know, internalizing
- 9 something new, but since he was the expert, you
- 10 know, I believed the data that he was giving me,
- 11 which wasn't any data really.
- 12 Q Right.
- 13 A I didn't feel like, you know, looking
- backwards that, no, there were any statistics
- discussed.
- 16 Q Did you -- I mean, you're -- did you
- do any research after you met with Dr. Hines
- about any of the things he told you?
- 19 A I tried to, but there was no other
- 20 information available.
- Q What did you do to --
- 22 A I looked on the Internet.
- Q Did you -- so you ran Google
- 24 searches; is that right?
- 25 A Uh-huh.

- 1 that this was in my best interest to trust him.
- 2 Q You said "it seemed like a simpler
- 3 surgery" a minute ago. What did you mean by
- 4 that?
- 5 A Well, I recall looking at one surgery
- 6 which was like an abdominal fix, and that seemed
- 7 like a terrible idea to me to be cut open. And
- 8 Dr. Hines proposed, you know, a transvaginal
- 9 surgery that I would not have scars and it would
- 10 be a minimally invasive procedure and that my
- 11 recovery would be very quick.
- I wouldn't say that I really
- understood the other surgery because I'm not a
- surgeon and, you know, it's very complicated when
- 15 you -- I'm not even sure there were pictures on
- 16 the Internet at that time.
- 17 Q Do you remember -- withdrawn.
- 18 So you had a discussion with
- 19 Dr. Hines. He examined you. He looked at
- 20 your -- at Dr. Komarynsky's records. He
- 21 recommended mesh surgery using the Ethicon
- 22 Prolift, and he gave you a pamphlet he also told
- you about the number of surgeries he did.
- 24 A Uh-huh.
- 25 Q Is there anything else you remember

Dr. Hines did something wrong in that January

- 1 2006 office visit you had with him?
- 2 MS. FUSCO: Objection to form.
- 3 THE WITNESS: I don't know if he did
- 4 something wrong. I have often felt that
- 5 he might have had information that he did
- 6 not give me.
- 7 BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
- 8 Q Is there a basis for that belief, or
- 9 why do you -- what makes you say that?
- 10 A Well, he did not tell me that the
- mesh was not FDA approved. He didn't tell me
- that he was new to doing the surgery because it
- had only been done for a short time in the
- 14 country. I recall him saying that mesh had been
- used for a very long time successfully in other
- 16 kinds of surgeries.
- 17 Q And do you believe that wasn't
- 18 accurate, that mesh --
- 19 A I don't. I believe that it was
- 20 probably accurate that it was used. I know that
- 21 people have abdominal or heart surgeries and
- sometimes they have mesh to keep the wound
- 23 closed. I had heard of that.
- Q Did you know that 99 percent of all
- 25 products out there are not FDA approved?

Page 90 Okay. So somewhere in there, but I Α 1 can't promise you the day I knew. All right. So if I could summarize 3 the things you thought Dr. Hines did wrong in that January 2006 visit, it was that he didn't 5 tell you the mesh was not FDA approved and he didn't tell you that the pelvic mesh was a new treatment for women; is that right? Are those 8 the two things he did wrong? 9 MS. FUSCO: Objection. 10 You can answer. 11 THE WITNESS: Well, I did answer 12 those questions. 13 BY MR. ALLENTUCH: 14 Right. But I want to find out if 15 there's anything -- any other things he did 16 wrong. 17 Are those the two things he did wrong 18 in that January 2006 visit? 19 MS. FUSCO: Objection. 20 BY MR. ALLENTUCH: 2.1 You can go ahead and answer. 22 If you can, yes. MS. FUSCO: 23 THE WITNESS: Well, I felt like, you 24

know, to add to those that he did not

| | - 3 |
|----|--|
| 1 | Q Contract. |
| 2 | A He didn't tell me that the tools that |
| 3 | you use to put mesh in could go through nerves |
| 4 | and create long-lasting pain. |
| 5 | Q Okay. All right. So the things |
| 6 | that things he did wrong in that January 2006 |
| 7 | meeting, was he didn't tell you that your vagina |
| 8 | could be shortened, hardens, you could have a |
| 9 | foreign body reaction, that you could the mesh |
| 10 | could contract, that the tools that he would use |
| 11 | in surgery would go through nerves and could |
| 12 | create long-lasting pain, that the mesh was not |
| 13 | FDA approved, and that it was relatively that |
| 14 | it was new and a new treatment for women for |
| 15 | pelvic organ prolapse. Are those the things he |
| 16 | did wrong? |
| 17 | MS. FUSCO: Objection. |
| 18 | You can answer. You can answer if |
| 19 | you can. |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: Yes, he didn't tell me |
| 21 | that my bowel could be perforated. I |
| 22 | would say in summary he did not describe |
| 23 | the surgery as a dangerous surgery, but |
| 24 | more portrayed it as a simple outpatient |

procedure.

- 1 BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
- 2 Q Where did you see Dr. Hines? Do you
- 3 remember where his office was?
- 4 A I think it was on Summer Street, but
- 5 he changed offices at one point, so wherever I
- saw him the first place I don't think was the
- 7 same.
- 8 I went to Summer Street a few times
- 9 and that's the place that I remember.
- 10 Q Is it your claim that Dr. Hines knew
- 11 all of these things you just mentioned, these six
- or seven items in January 2006 and intentionally
- failed to disclose them to you?
- MS. FUSCO: Objection. I don't think
- there's a claim against Dr. Hines in this
- 16 case.
- 17 BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
- 18 Q You can go ahead and answer.
- 19 A You're asking me how I feel, I feel
- 20 like he didn't -- that he might have known that
- 21 and he should have known that. If he did, he did
- 22 not share it with me.
- Q Do you know --
- 24 A Sorry. I just have to stand up.
- 25 Q Sure.

```
Page 94
                   Do you want to -- if you want to take
1
       a break?
2
                   I'm okay. I'm okay. Sometimes
             Α
3
       sitting is -- I will be fine in a second.
                   Okay. I mean, let's do a couple more
             Q
5
       questions and we'll break for lunch. I want to
6
       try and just finish up this area.
7
                    Yes. Sure.
                                 Fine.
8
                    You studied science in college; is
9
        that right?
10
                    Uh-huh.
              Α
11
                    And you were a microbiologist in
12
        laboratories for many years; is that right?
13
                    Uh-huh.
14
                    And you -- is it your understanding
15
        that over time science progresses and our
16
        understanding of -- understanding of how the
17
        world works progresses?
18
                     MS. FUSCO: Objection.
19
                     THE WITNESS: I don't really know how
20
                the world works.
21
         BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
22
                     Right. Well, that was a broad
23
         question.
 24
                     But when Sir Isaac Newton, for
 25
```

```
BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
1
                           Exactly. Right.
                    Yeah.
2
              0
                    So are you -- is it -- what I'm
 3
       trying to understand, is it possible that
 4
       Dr. Hines over time learned, as he did more and
       more surgeries, learned more about potential
       problems with the Ethicon Prolift --
                    MS. FUSCO: Objection.
        BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
 9
                    -- that he didn't --
10
                    MR. ALLENTUCH: You got to let me
11
               finish the question.
12
                    MS. FUSCO: I thought you were.
13
               paused. I'm sorry. Go ahead.
14
15
        BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
                           Is it possible that Dr. Hines,
                    Okay.
16
        as he did more surgeries and more information
17
        became available, learned more about potential
18
        problems with the Ethicon Prolift as time went
19
20
        forward?
                    MS. FUSCO: Objection.
21
                    If you know the answer to that
22
               question.
23
                    THE WITNESS: You're asking a belief,
24
```

25

right?

BY MR. ALLENTUCH: 1 I'm just asking you if it's possible. 2 MS. FUSCO: Objection. 3 I think that's a THE WITNESS: 4 subjective question. BY MR. ALLENTUCH: 6 Okay. I'm still asking the question. 7 8 Okay. MS. FUSCO: Objection. 9 BY MR. ALLENTUCH: 10 Can you answer the question? 11 I can say that when you practice you 12 13 get better at things. So is it possible he didn't --14 Dr. Hines didn't know that -- about these issues 15 you've raised -- foreign body reactions, mesh 16 contraction, things like that -- in January 2006 17 18 when you met with him? MS. FUSCO: Objection. 19 THE WITNESS: Dr. Hines convinced me 20 that he knew how to help me and that he 21 was certain that I would be better off. 22 So I agreed and I went to Stamford 23 Hospital and I got mesh put in, on the 24 belief that my doctor knew everything and 25

- 1 that he would not put a defective product
- 2 in me and harm me.
- BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
- Q Okay.
- 5 A That's the thing I believe.
- Can I add more?
- 7 Q Yeah. Sure, if you need to finish
- 8 your question.
- 9 A Because it's pertinent to your
- 10 question.
- Over time when I went back to
- Dr. Hines with issues that were happening with
- me, he was not sympathetic and he did not --
- until the very last time I saw him, he did not
- think that mesh was my issue. So if he knew
- more, he didn't ever convey that to me that he
- 17 had learned something.
- 18 Q I guess what I'm trying to
- understand, is there anything that indicated to
- 20 you that he knew that this device would contract
- 21 in you or that your nerves would be perforated or
- that you would have a foreign body reaction or
- 23 any of the things you described when he met with
- you in January 2006?
- MS. FUSCO: Objection.

| | 1 dgc 33 |
|----|---|
| 1 | You can answer if you can. |
| 2 | THE WITNESS: Can you just ask it |
| 3 | again please? |
| 4 | MR. ALLENTUCH: Sure. |
| 5 | Can you read back the question. |
| 6 | (The Record was read back.) |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: He did not indicate |
| 8 | that he knew anything about this. |
| 9 | BY MR. ALLENTUCH: |
| 10 | Q And as you sit here today, have you |
| 11 | learned anything that tells you that he knew |
| 12 | those things were withdraw the question. |
| 13 | But at some point you came to believe |
| 14 | that is it fair to say that he did know those |
| 15 | things and should have told you that; is that |
| 16 | right? |
| 17 | MS. FUSCO: Objection. |
| 18 | MR. ALLENTUCH: Withdrawn. |
| 19 | BY MR. ALLENTUCH: |
| 20 | Q At some point you concluded that |
| 21 | Dr. Hines knew in 2006 before the surgery that |
| 22 | the Prolift could cause vaginal shortening or a |
| 23 | foreign body reaction or mesh contraction or that |
| 24 | the tools could perforate your nerves or some of |
| 25 | the other things you described; is that right? |

- It was a big production. And were you experiencing -- just 0 before you saw Dr. Hines, end of 2005 when you were having intercourse with your husband, was it painful at all at that point?
- MS. FUSCO: Objection.
- If you can answer. 9

1

2

3

5

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. No, I didn't THE WITNESS: 10 have to stop intercourse because of pain. 11 It was more of a psychology thing for me 12 and he didn't care. It didn't bother him. 13 You know, he's very accepting of wherever 14 I am in life. He wasn't disgusted by me, 15 but I had issues about, you know, like, 16 would I get up and walk across the room, 17 I needed a lot more privacy.

BY MR. ALLENTUCH: 19

And -- well, so on a scale of say 1 to 10, 1 being the least and 10 being the most, how would you rate your discomfort or pain that you felt at that point at the end of 2005 when you were having intercourse with your husband? MS. FUSCO: Objection to form.

```
Page 104
                   THE WITNESS: I think you're asking
1
              me if my discomfort was pain?
2
       BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
3
                   I want to understand if there was any
       pain. Was it a 1 or a zero, or was it more than
5
       that?
6
                    MS. FUSCO: Objection.
7
                                  I would say it was an
                    THE WITNESS:
8
               irritation of 1.
9
        BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
10
                    All right. I understand now.
11
                    It was irritating. Sometimes I would
12
        get yeast infections because of the friction and
1.3
        it wasn't behaving the way it was intended to do.
14
        My vagina was not behaving.
15
                     Right. Okay. Dr. Tool (ph.), who is
16
        Dr. Tool?
17
                     She was the internist that did my pro
               Α
18
         op (sic). I saw her -- maybe early 2000s at
19
         Dr. Komarynsky's recommendation to talk about the
20
         headaches that I was having, and then she would
21
         just do a physical or I would see her when I get
 22
         a cold.
 23
                     So she was your internist; is that
 24
         right?
 25
```

Sometimes a resident sees me

25

Α

Yes.

```
Page 107
       before Dr. Roca, but I always see Dr. Roca in the
1
2
       end.
                    And at about the same time you saw
              0
3
       Dr. Hines, though, was that when you were
4
       diagnosed with connective tissue disease?
5
                         Long before that.
                    No.
6
                    Long before that?
7
                    Uh-huh.
              Α
8
                    And was Fairfield County Allergy the
 9
        people you saw for that?
10
                    Yes.
              Α
11
                    Always?
12
                     Always.
              Α
13
                     So when did you -- when did you first
              0
14
        start treating with Fairfield County Allergy for
15
        the connective tissue disease?
16
                     Approximately four. That's my best
              Α
17
        recollection when I had these hives popping up.
18
        But I had them for a year so I don't really --
19
        it's hard to say when the beginning of it was.
20
                     Okay. Other than Dr. Hines, did
               0
21
         you -- withdrawn.
22
                     Were you -- did you discuss your
23
         issues about prolapse at all with Dr. Tool when
24
         you saw your internist?
 25
```

- 1 A Well, she did the pre-op. I think we
- 2 must have talked about it.
- 3 Q What do you remember about those
- 4 discussions? What did she tell you?
- 5 A I don't remember much of a
- 6 discussion. Her office was very chaotic. You
- 7 know, there were people running in and out of the
- 8 rooms, a long wait. You felt like you've been in
- g a whirling dervish by the time you got out. She
- was a nice lady but her practice was chaotic.
- 11 Q All right. And at about the same
- time again, you had the mesh surgery, you were
- 13 also having -- were you having problems with your
- 14 shoulder as well?
- 15 A Yeah. I injured my shoulder in
- October of '05 and it was a torn -- a partial
- tear and a bone spur. So I had gone through
- physical therapy, and it didn't work so it had to
- 19 be fixed.
- 20 Q Is that something that continued to
- 21 bother you after the beginning of 2006?
- 22 A No. I mean, when I got the surgery
- when I got it fixed, it was over.
- 24 Q And that was also the beginning of
- 25 2006?

Uh-huh. 1 Α 2 Now, when you were working at hospitals in your life, you were doing tests that created medical records, right? MS. FUSCO: Objection. 5 THE WITNESS: I generated results 6 that went to, you know, doctors and then 7 medical records I believe. 8 BY MR. ALLENTUCH: 9 10 Yes. 11 But I didn't -- I didn't have 12 anything to do with them going in. 13 Into the medical record? Yes. An order was made, a specimen 14 15 came, and a result went out. 16 And you understood as part of that, 0 you could order your records at any time? 17 MS. FUSCO: Objection. 18 19 BY MR. ALLENTUCH: 20 As part of your work at a hospital 21 you can order your medical records at any time 22 you wanted? 23 MS. FUSCO: Objection. 24 If you can answer.

THE WITNESS:

I don't think that I

| | Page 111 |
|----|---|
| 1 | MR. ALLENTUCH: She can say no. I'm |
| 2 | asking whatever. |
| 3 | MS. FUSCO: You're supposed to have a |
| 4 | good-faith basis for your question, Simon. |
| 5 | That's my objection. |
| 6 | MR. ALLENTUCH: You got to be kidding |
| 7 | me, Jackie. Come on. |
| 8 | Why don't you read back the last |
| 9 | question? |
| 10 | (The Record was read back.) |
| 11 | BY MR. ALLENTUCH: |
| 12 | Q You can go ahead and answer. |
| 13 | A As Jackie said, work had nothing to |
| 14 | do with it. I mean through life, through moving |
| 15 | from town to town, changing my children's |
| 16 | doctors, I know you can have your records sent. |
| 17 | And at some point you can ask to see your records |
| 18 | if you needed to. |
| 19 | Q Okay. And have you ever asked to see |
| 20 | your medical records from any of these providers |
| 21 | that have treated you? |
| 22 | A When another doctor asked to see my |
| 23 | records, I had to pick them up because they were |
| 24 | never sent and carry them to him. |
| 25 | Q But for your own use have you ever |

- obtained a copy of your medical records?
- 2 A Yes. From Stamford Hospital I did
- 3 obtain a copy.
- 4 Q So was that in connection with this
- 5 lawsuit you obtained a copy of your medical
- 6 records?
- 7 A No. That was in connection with a
- 8 doctor trying to take my mesh out and he needed
- g to know what was in there.
- 10 Q Oh, okay. All right.
- 11 So you obtained a copy of your
- 12 medical records from Stamford Hospital and
- 13 brought them to Dr. Raz. Is that what you're
- 14 saying?
- 15 A Well, Dr. Raz, but I saw other
- 16 doctors before Dr. Raz.
- Q When did you obtain a copy of your
- 18 medical records?
- 19 A I don't remember but I started in
- 20 earnest looking for a doctor to help me in 2008.
- 21 Q What would --
- 22 A And I believe I went to a doctor and
- 23 he said I'm going to need more than you just
- telling me.
- Q What were you looking for a doctor to

- 1 help you with in 2008?
- 2 A To tell me why this was happening to
- 3 me. I mean, what should I do.
- 4 Q When you say "why this was happening
- to me," can you tell me what you mean? Can you
- 6 be more specific?
- 7 A Well, I think so.
- I didn't get a -- when I went back to
- 9 Dr. Hines with complaints, you know, as they were
- 10 beginning to happen, I did not get from him an
- acknowledgment that my mesh was shrinking or
- changing the shape of my body. And he also told
- me that it was very unusual and he hadn't heard
- of it before.
- So after he did the revision and took
- out the suture, I just didn't have a good
- doctor/patient relationship so I thought I need
- to find another expert who could explain what's
- 19 happening.
- 20 O Right. And to deal with -- you
- 21 needed to find another doctor to deal with the
- fact that the mesh was shrinking?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q And help you treat that.
- Is that -- now, Dr. Hines told you to

- 1 Q Did you know any women at the
- 2 beginning of 2006 who had undergone this type of
- 3 surgery?
- 4 A No.
- So is it accurate to say that a month after surgery, you were feeling pretty good and having intercourse again with your husband; is
- 8 that right?
- 9 A Yes.

bathroom.

- 10 Q At some point, did you start having
 11 problems after the surgery with the Prolift?
- 12 A My first problem that I believe I
 13 talked to him about was I think I had a yeast
 14 infection, which that's not a big deal. Women
 15 get those. But the first real problem I had was
 16 that I was having to go pee all the time. I
 17 could never feel like I didn't have to go to the
- So I believe he did a cystoscopy in

 his office and told me I was having bladder

 spasms and he gave me something to take to lesson

 the urge to go. But I couldn't take it because

 after it started to take effect, then I had a

 very hard time going to the bathroom.
- 25 Q Do you remember when this -- can we

```
Page 116
       call it urinary inconsistency; is that right?
1
       that what it's called?
2
                   MS. FUSCO: Objection.
3
       BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
                   How do you want to -- you tell me how
             0
5
       you want me to describe it. I will use that
6
       term.
7
                    Pressure?
8
                    I felt like -- I went like I think I
              Α
9
        have a bladder infection. Not much comes out and
10
        it kind of burns. So I wasn't leaking or
11
        anything like that.
12
                                When -- I see.
                    All right.
              0
13
                    And when was that post surgery, when
14
        did that start happening?
15
                     In the first year, I feel like -- I
16
        mean, you have to understand that I don't -- I've
17
        had a lot of things and I don't remember.
18
                     I understand. I'm trying to exhaust
19
         your recollection.
 20
                     I will get close.
 21
                     So I feel like six or seven months
 22
         after the surgery when I already thought I'm, you
 23
```

know, back in the game, that started happening.

All right. So now we're somewhere in

24

25

Q

```
Page 117
```

- the October, November time frame roughly of 2006.
- 2 A Uh-huh.
- 3 Q Did you have any other problems or
- 4 symptoms post surgery related to the Prolift
- 5 going forward?
- 6 A Okay. So at about that time, like
- 7 towards the end of the year of 2006, I noticed
- 8 that, you know, for me, sex was becoming a little
- 9 uncomfortable. It felt like, you know, there was
- 10 no flexibility. And if it lasted very long, it
- was becoming painful. I felt like things were
- 12 pulling inside of me.
- So, you know, I'm pretty sure I went
- 14 to the doctor about that. And he did not give me
- 15 a solution.
- 16 Q I'm sorry. He did not what?
- 17 A He did not give me a solution or an
- 18 explanation.
- 19 Q When you say "no flexibility," what
- 20 do you mean?
- 21 A I felt -- I guess I felt that I had
- 22 resistance.
- Q Okay.
- 24 A You know, that my tissues were not
- 25 moving and accommodating.

I can feel the arm of the mesh more so on the

24

- 1 right side.
- On one visit, I don't know if it was
- 3 directly in line with that one. I saw her a few
- 4 times the next year because she was more of an
- 5 advocate for me and she had concerns. I believe
- 6 she told me to start taking Vagifem, which is a
- 7 low dose of estrogen. At some point that was put
- 8 in.
- 9 And did -- well, first of all, do you
- 10 know whether she talked to Dr. Hines about your
- problems? Did she tell you what was going to do
- 12 that?
- MS. FUSCO: Objection to form.
- 14 BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
- 15 Q That's two questions. I will ask it
- 16 individually.
- 17 Did Dr. Komarynsky tell you that she
- was going to talk to Dr. Hines about the painful
- 19 sex you were experiencing?
- 20 A Not that I recall.
- 21 Q Do you know whether she did contact
- 22 Dr. Hines?
- 23 A I don't know.
- Q Did the Vagifem -- I'm not sure I'm
- 25 pronouncing that right.

```
Page 121
        him about it. And at this point, maybe I saw him
 1
        a month after that started happening, but in my
 2
        mind, I related sometimes these issues happened
 3
        during sex, I would have to get up and go to the
 5
        bathroom.
                    Who was the gastroenterologist you
 7
        were treating with?
              Α
                    Dr. Neda Khaghan.
 9
              0
                    And that was -- was he part of a
10
        group?
              Α
                    She.
11
12
                    She. I'm sorry.
                    Yes. I don't know the name of the
13
        group. It's at the Greenwich -- might be
14
15
        Greenwich Gastroenterology.
                    How do you spell the doctor's last
16
17
        name?
18
                    K-H-A-G-A-N [sic].
                    So you had bloody stools and
19
              Q
        sometimes --
20
21
              Α
                    Crushing pain.
22
              0
                    And had --
23
                                     I'm sorry. Could you
                    MR. ALLENTUCH:
               read that back?
24
25
                     (The Record was read back.)
```

- 1 BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
- 2 Q Okay. Let's backup here.
- You had bowel problems with bloody
- 4 stool and sometimes you had to go to the bathroom
- 5 during sex connected with that, and sometimes you
- 6 also had crushing pain. Is that what -- did I
- 7 encapsulate what was going on at the beginning of
- 8 2007 --
- 9 A Yes, yes.
- 10 Q -- with your gastrological problems?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And what did Dr. Khaghan tell you was
- the cause of these problems?
- 14 A At that time she told me I had
- 15 colitis and Crohn's disease. But she performed
- some -- she sent away some test and it came back
- 17 negative. That was her best guess.
- 18 Q Could you describe the crushing pain
- 19 for me. Where was it?
- 20 A Here.
- 21 Q In your pelvic region. I'm sorry. I
- 22 can't see that.
- 23 A Yes. All the way through, yes. I
- 24 didn't know if it was coming from my pelvic
- 25 region or my bowel.

```
Page 123
                    Okay. And on a scale of 1 to 10, how
 1
              0
 2
        bad was that pain?
 3
                     I would say it was somewhere like 7
 4
        or 8.
 5
              Q
                    How long would it last for?
                    Sometimes half an hour.
 6
 7
                    Did you ask for any narcotic pain
 8
        meds to help treat it?
 9
                    Uh-uh.
              Α
10
                    That was a no?
11
                    No.
12
                    Okay. Great.
              0
13
                    All right. Tell me what steps you
14
        took to try to deal with this pain.
                    I asked both doctors if they felt
15
              Α
        they could be interrelated, you know, the mesh,
16
17
        the surgery I had, and the bowel problems.
        they both assured me that they were not related,
18
19
        but sometimes the nerves in that area have cross
20
        talk and that, you know, a stimulation could set
21
        off a flare.
22
                    I took a drug that didn't do anything
        for me called Asacol, I believe.
23
24
                    So did the pain continue?
25
                    It continued for a while. I did go
              Α
```

- 1 and see another doctor in New York that was
- 2 recommended by a friend who had had an emergency
- 3 something, bladder attack or something. I saw a
- 4 GI person there for one consult.
- 5 Q Do you remember what that doctor's
- 6 name was?
- 7 A Daniel Present.
- 8 Q Could you spell the last name?
- 9 A It's like a gift, P-R-E-S-E-N-T.
- 10 Q Oh, okay. And Dr. Present was a
- gastroenterologist as well?
- 12 A Uh-huh.
- 13 Q What did he tell you?
- 14 A He said I did not have either Crohn's
- or colitis, but I had a localized inflation or
- infection. Changed my medicine and I got better.
- 17 Q So you basically needed antibiotics
- 18 to cure it, was it something like that?
- 19 A It wasn't an antibiotic that I
- 20 understood from him that it had those properties.
- 21 It was azulfidine.
- 22 Q And since then, you never had --
- 23 withdrawn.
- Was that also in 2007 when you saw
- 25 Dr. Present?

```
Page 125
                    Probably late in the year or early in
              Ά
1
        2008.
2
                    And after Dr. Present prescribed the
3
        medication you took, you never had any problems
4
        of that type again; is that true?
5
                         No. Once it was over it was
                    No.
 6
7
        over.
                            Great.
                    Okay.
              Q.
                    But it lasted a long time.
 9
                    So let's go back to Dr. Hines.
10
        were having painful sex. You had this unrelated
11
        problem that was causing you a lot of pain and
12
        you went back to see him during 2007?
13
                    Uh-huh.
14
              Α
15
              0
                    Right?
16
                    Yes.
                    Did he take any action in 2007 to try
17
              Q
        to deal with the painful sex problem?
18
                          No, he thought -- he gave me a
                    No.
19
              Α
        name of a gastroenterologist that he liked, but I
20
        didn't follow up with him because I had
21
        already -- identified people to help me.
22
                     Did Dr. Hines do any surgery on you
              0
23
        in 2007?
24
                     Yes, he did.
25
              Α
```

- 1 Q Okay. Was that to deal with the
- 2 painful sex?
- 3 A No. It was to deal with some plastic
- 4 sutures that had popped through my vaginal
- 5 epithelium.
- 6 Q So were you having other symptoms
- 7 besides painful sex associated with the Prolift
- 8 surgery in 2007?
- 9 A Well, the sutures that came out, and
- 10 Dr. Komarynsky said, I think you need to take
- 11 these out. I saw Dr. Hines and he said no. And
- then later on when I went back to her she said
- they have to come out. And then he agreed to
- 14 take them out.
- 15 And then I couldn't have sex when
- 16 those were out -- I mean, not when they were out,
- when they were sticking out of my flesh.
- 18 Q So you had no symptoms but
- 19 Dr. Komarynsky suggested that these stitches were
- a problem during her exam of you; is that right?
- 21 A No. I did have symptoms and I was
- relating all of them to her, and so she was
- inferring that -- not inferring, saying that this
- mesh, it's changing in your body. She knew that
- I was very sick, you know, with the bowel

- 1 problems. That wasn't a one-time thing that went
- 2 away. It was over several months.
- 3 Q Okay. So you were seeing Dr. -- let
- 4 see if I can get this right.
- 5 You were seeing Dr. Komarynsky in
- 6 2007 and you had additional symptoms related to
- 7 the stitches since you also observed them, and
- 8 you also told her that the mesh was changing in
- 9 your body and that this was a problem?
- 10 A She told me on exam she could tell
- from one time to the next that things were
- 12 changing inside. I was going -- pulled -- my
- architecture was being pulled more to the right.
- 14 And I also had -- I'm sure that's in
- 15 everybody's records. I had a place in the
- anterior section of my vagina that was not smooth
- anymore. It had the texture of like a screen
- door, even though it was, like, flesh covered.
- 19 It was very rough and it didn't seem like -- it
- 20 didn't seem like me.
- 21 Q Is this something else that you found
- 22 yourself in 2007 that you were -- that you had
- 23 this texture in your vagina?
- 24 A Yes. It was a place of irritation.
- I did a self-check and my husband started saying

- I don't know what's going on, but it's like
- 2 scratchy. And that was sort of before and at the
- 3 same time, you know, the plastic sutures just
- 4 really popped out there.
- 5 O And Dr. Hines removed the sutures in
- 6 about August of 2007.
- 7 A Uh-huh.
- 8 Q Is that right?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q So these -- I want to backup for a
- 11 second.
- 12 What were the -- you mentioned there
- were symptoms from the prolene stitches?
- MS. FUSCO: Objection.
- 15 THE WITNESS: I don't know exactly
- what they're called.
- 17 BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
- 18 Q Okay. You mentioned that you had
- 19 symptoms from the stitches that Dr. Hines put in.
- What were the symptoms?
- 21 A Well, they were an obstruction. They
- were like a big mass of plastic that was very
- palpable. I mean, to me it seemed like they were
- this big. I don't know how big they were, but
- 25 they were not ignorable. So that kind of

Page 129

- 1 curtailed, you know, having intercourse.
- 2 Q And was that -- was having those
- 3 stitches protruding, is that a good way to
- 4 describe it "protruding"?
- 5 A Uh-huh.
- 6 Q Was that painful for you?
- 7 A You mean just standing still doing
- 8 nothing, no. But if I would do housework or lift
- groceries, I had a -- I had a presence, a -- it's
- not -- it wasn't pain because I could go ahead
- and do everything, but, you know, I was always
- noting that I had a pulling or a sensation that
- wasn't right in this part of my pelvis, on the
- 14 right side (indicating).
- Okay. All right. So you went back
- to Dr. Hines at Dr. Komarynsky's recommendation
- and told him he needed to remove the sutures. Is
- 18 that what happened?
- 19 A Yeah, because she called him because
- 20 he consented. But when I went to him earlier, if
- I take them out it might change the rest of the
- 22 surgery.
- Q Did you discuss treatment options
- 24 with him? In other words something other than
- just taking them out? And "him" is Dr. Hines.

- 1 A I wasn't asking him the questions. I
- was asking him what do I do. You know, I was in
- 3 the -- pretty like what's happening? Am I going
- 4 to die? What's happening to my organs?
- 5 Q You were really scared?
- 6 A I was really scared. I put my will
- 7 in order.
- 8 Q And you have to forgive me because
- 9 I'm just trying to understand what you're telling
- 10 me and I wasn't there.
- 11 So Dr. Komarynsky said oh, it's these
- 12 stitches, but you were afraid that you were going
- 13 to die.
- 14 A Well, things kept happening. I mean,
- I was having urinary trouble. I was having bowel
- 16 trouble. The sutures were -- they had eroded out
- into my vaginal vault. And Dr. Hines said if I
- take them out, you know, the surgery is going to
- change and, you know, things might move. So I
- 20 was -- you know, I was having a "holy cow"
- 21 moment.
- 22 Q I get it.
- So he agreed to do the surgery,
- 24 right, and he operated on you at Stamford
- 25 Hospital in August of 2007?

- 1 A Yes. He had me come to Stamford
- 2 Hospital, and I was under anesthesia and he took
- 3 them out.
- 4 Q And did he put in new sutures?
- 5 A I don't know.
- 6 Q So all you know, he took them out.
- 7 You don't know what other things he did while he
- 8 was operating; is that right?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q No, it's not right; or, no, you don't
- 11 know?
- 12 A No, I don't know that he did anything
- else. It was my understanding that that's what
- 14 he was going to do was just take the sutures out.
- 15 Q All right. And did you feel any
- 16 relief once he took the sutures out?
- 17 A No. I was in terrible pain for three
- months. You know, his recommendation was to take
- 19 Tylenol. A couple of times I called him and said
- I can't even stand up. I'm in terrible pain.
- 21 And he said there's no reason you should be in
- pain. It's probably something else, just take
- 23 Tylenol and you're going to be fine.
- 24 Q Is that when you -- is that when you
- 25 started looking -- trying to find other doctors

```
1 to help you?
```

- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Did you go see Dr. Hines again?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q What -- go ahead. I'm sorry. I
- 6 didn't mean to cut you off.
- 7 A No. You ask the next question yes.
- 8 I went back to see him.
- 9 Q Why did you go back to see him?
- 10 A To talk to him and tell him that I
- 11 was pretty sure, not just as the person who
- received the mesh, that the mesh was causing me
- the problems. And that I needed to do something
- 14 about it. And he emphasized that it is
- permanent. And I said, well, then I need to -- I
- need to see someone else. So he gave me some
- names of people to go see. And he said I'm sorry
- 18 that you're having problems.
- 19 Q Did he recommend that you go see
- 20 Dr. Bercik in that meeting?
- 21 A Uh-huh.
- 22 Q Did he recommend that you go see
- 23 Dr. Bercik to remove the mesh?
- 24 A I don't think that he -- I don't
- 25 think -- I don't know I can't answer that

question. 1 2 You don't remember him --I don't remember exactly what he 3 said. All right. 5 Q He gave me the names of Dr. Bercik 6 and another person that I went to see later. 7 Because I -- his medical records 8 0 reflect that he recommended you see Dr. Bercik 9 and remove the anterior mesh. Do you remember 10 him saying that to you or something like that? 11 I don't recall just what he said. 12 Α I -- I will tell you that during that visit I was 13 in distress. I just needed somewhere to go. 14 Do you need a minute? I know this is 15 0 very upsetting. I can see you're upset. 16 obviously don't mean to upset you. Why don't we 17 take a second. 18 (Off the record.) 19 MR. ALLENTUCH: I'm sorry. Can you 2.0 read back the last question. I remember 21 what I asked. I'm not sure I got an 22 23 answer. So can we just...

(The Record was read back.)

25

- 1 BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
- 2 Q When you said you needed somewhere to
- go, do you mean you just needed someone to treat
- 4 the pain you were suffering from?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And did you understand at that point
- 7 that you needed to -- withdraw the question.
- 8 And that was the last time you saw
- 9 Dr. Hines; is that right?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q That was in December of 2007
- 12 approximately?
- 13 A That sounds right.
- 14 Q All right. So what did you do to
- 15 find someone to help you?
- 16 A I did call Dr. Bercik. I couldn't
- make an appointment with him until I think March,
- which was a long way away. He had to be off work
- 19 for some -- his own personal surgery or
- 20 something. So I made the appointment.
- 21 And then I asked a friend of mine for
- 22 a recommendation of a urologist in New York.
- 23 She's a dentist and her husband is a doctor. And
- she knew David Staskin, so I called and made an
- 25 appointment with him. I didn't know anything

- about him, just that he was a well-regarded
- 2 urologist.
- 3 Q Did you consult with Dr. Komarynsky?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q What -- tell me about your
- 6 discussions with Dr. Komarynsky at this time.
- 7 A I have to paraphrase, but, you know,
- 8 the short answer is that she said I can't -- I
- g don't know anyone to help you. I don't know
- 10 anybody that's had mesh problems like you. But
- 11 you got to do it. You have to go find someone.
- 12 Q When she said "you've got to do it,"
- what did she mean? Did she explain it to you?
- 14 A I think taking out was a possibility,
- but she didn't promise that. We just discussed
- it as, you know, she was my doctor and she said,
- 17 you know, I have concerns that this is not going
- well for you. You have to find somebody who
- 19 knows something about it. And somebody who has
- 20 already done this, has already taken it out
- 21 successfully.
- 22 Q And she told you she had never seen
- this type of problem before; is that right?
- 24 A At that point.
- 25 Q So yes? That was a yes?

- 1 A Yes, at that point, she had not seen
- this before.
- 3 Q So you went and saw Dr. Staskin. Was
- 4 that sometime around January 2008?
- 5 A Yeah. I saw him twice in quick
- 6 succession. He saw me and wanted me to come back
- 7 and look inside my bladder.
- Q Okay. When you went to see him, were
- 9 the symptoms you were suffering from still the
- same, painful sex and the other symptoms that you
- 11 related to me regarding painful sex?
- 12 A Okay. So the sutures came out in
- 13 August. No sex yet. Still healing. Still in
- 14 terrible pain. That wasn't happening.
- 15 In January, my pain disappeared --
- well, I would say the volume got turned way down.
- Not because of anyone doing anything.
- 18 Q Okay. So you -- when we're talking
- about pain, we're talking about the pelvic pain
- that you had been experiencing; is that right?
- 21 A Uh-huh.
- MS. GOODSTEIN: That's a yes.
- THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, sorry.
- 24 BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
- 25 Q Sorry. I'm looking down at my notes

- 1 and I saw you nod. Thank you for catching that.
- 2 So when you went to Dr. Staskin,
- 3 right, you weren't having sex with your husband
- 4 and the pain had gotten better. What were you
- 5 telling him was your problem?
- 6 A That -- okay. So the pain I had
- 7 after the surgery, suddenly got better right
- 8 around the time I went to see him. I saw him
- 9 once with the pain and by the time I went back,
- 10 it was better. But that's a different pain. I
- 11 still had tension, pulling, just feeling that,
- 12 like, everything was twisting inside of me. I
- had a 24-hour awareness that -- I think I knew
- just where the mesh was in terms of I can trace
- 15 the pain.
- 16 Q And it was in the wrong place and
- that was causing pain; is that what you felt?
- 18 A The arms of the mesh on both sides
- 19 had gotten -- I guess they shrunk. They became
- 20 hard.
- 21 Q And that's what you told Dr. Staskin
- when you met with him in January or so of 2008;
- is that right?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q You told him that the mesh shrunk and

the mesh was put in I never had another period.

```
Page 139
        That was a very abrupt ending, so I think I
 1
        probably had physical changes going on in my
 2
 3
        body.
 4
                    So you never had another period after
        April of 2007?
 5
 6
              Α
                    Right. Uh-huh.
 7
                    MS. FUSCO: 2006?
                    MR. ALLENTUCH: 2006. Sorry about
 8
 9
               that.
10
                    Right.
        BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
11
                    Let's try that again. So you never
12
              Q
        had another period after April 2006; correct?
13
14
              Α
                    Correct.
15
                    MR. ALLENTUCH:
                                     Thank you, Jackie.
16
                    MS. FUSCO: Okay.
17
        BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
                    And were you taking any medication to
18
19
        help you with menopause --
20
              Α
                    No.
21
                    -- during this time period?
22
                    (Witness shakes head.)
              Α
23
                    I saw some references in your medical
              Q
24
        records to hormone replacement therapy during the
```

beginning of 2008. Does that ring any bells?

- 1 A Only the Vagifem.
- 2 Q Was that a form of -- that is
- 3 estrogen?
- 4 A Yeah.
- 5 Q Did that have to do with mesh
- 6 erosion? Is that what you were told?
- 7 A I was told it would help keep my
- 8 vaginal tissue be estrogenized (sic) and more
- 9 pliable.
- 10 Q But because of the Prolift, they
- weren't pliable, right? Was that your
- 12 experience?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q So what was the -- what -- you saw
- Dr. Staskin. You told him about your symptoms.
- What did he recommend to you?
- 17 A He had another resident look with him
- and they discussed in front of me that I might
- need to have my -- well, they said, you might
- 20 need urethrolysis.
- 21 I did not understand what that meant
- 22 and I asked, and they said the arms of your mesh
- are too tight and they need to be released. But
- he did not offer to do surgery. He said I would
- like for you to go back to your doctor and tell

- 1 him, if he broke it, he bought it.
- 2 Dr. Staskin was leaving the hospital
- 3 at that point so he was not looking for new
- 4 patients. He gave me the name of someone else to
- 5 go to and I did.
- 6 Q Let me get to that in a second. So
- 7 Dr. Staskin was telling you you should have
- 8 surgery to sever the arms of the mesh; is that
- 9 right?
- 10 A That's what my take away was.
- 11 Q Okay. And did he explain to you what
- 12 that would do for you?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q So he recommended you see another --
- either go back to Dr. Hines or see someone else
- 16 he recommended?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Who did he tell you to go see?
- 19 A Jill Peters-Gee in Hartford.
- 20 Q Before we get to Dr. Gee, I saw a
- 21 reference in your records to a Dr. Porges?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q Who is Dr. Porges?
- 24 A I believe Dr. David Porges was the
- 25 head of urogynecology at NYU Hospital. The

```
Page 142
       hospital was down on First Avenue. He was
1
       recommended by Dr. Hines.
2
                   Did you ever go see him?
             0
3
                    I did.
             Α
4
                    And when was that, do you remember?
5
                    Not exactly.
6
                    Was that in -- did you see him in
7
        2008?
                    Yes, I think so. I don't know if I
              Α
 9
        saw him before or after Dr. Gee.
10
                    All right. Tell me -- withdrawn.
11
                     Were your symptoms changing during
12
        2008 as you were seeing these different
13
        physicians or were they constant or similar?
14
                     I don't recall exactly. It didn't go
               Α
15
         away. I had resumed having intercourse and it
16
         was painful.
17
                     All right. So you saw Dr. Porges.
               0
 18
         You told him about your symptoms; is that right?
 19
                      Uh-huh.
               Α
 2.0
                      And that was also in 2008; correct?
                0
 21
                      Yes.
                Α
 22
                      Did you bring him your medical
                0
 23
          records?
 24
                      I don't remember.
 25
```

What about Dr. Staskin? Did you get 0 1 your medical records and bring them to him? 2 I don't remember. When I left 3 Dr. Hines' practice he wrote the procedures. asked him to write down what had been done so I could communicate that. And so I don't know the answer about when the medical records --7 I'm sorry. Go ahead. I didn't mean 8 Q to cut you off. 9 I think I took the little sheet of 10 paper to one or two doctors before I --11 So when Dr. Staskin, for example, met 0 12 with you, he did an exam, he looked at the piece 13 of paper from Dr. Hines listing the surgeries 14 that he performed and he listened to your oral 15 history; is that what he was relying on? 16 I don't remember exactly. 17 Okay. All right. Let's go back to 18 Q Dr. Porges. What -- tell me about what you --19 what Dr. Porges told you. 20 I believe he's the first doctor that 21 I recall saying, I think your mesh needs to come 22 out. And he said he could do it but it would be 23 a series of surgeries and they would all be 24

25

abdominal.

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Did you do that?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And, again, you were complaining --
- 5 that was also in the first half of 2008, like
- 6 Dr. Porges; is that right?
- 7 A Yes. I don't know the exact dates I
- 8 think I saw all these people before I saw
- 9 Dr. Bercik, or they were sort of clumped up. I
- think I paid for Dr. Porges out of my pocket
- 11 because I was afraid my insurance company was
- going to drop me or something. I was sort of in
- a state of like what am I going to do, what am I
- 14 going to do. The sky is falling.
- 15 Q Your insurance was through your
- 16 husband's work; is that right?
- 17 A Yes.
- Q What does your -- what kind of work
- does your husband do?
- 20 A He's an economist and a trader and he
- 21 was working at a hedge fund at the time.
- 22 Q So tell me about your visit with
- Dr. Gee. What did you tell her?
- 24 A I told her I was having tightness in
- 25 my abdomen. I felt like I had bands, you know,

```
Page 147
       radiating from my hips to my uterus. My back
1
       hurt. My leg hurt all the time. And my sitz
       bones hurt when I sit for very long.
3
                   She was very sympathetic. But she
       referred me to another doctor.
5
                   What did she -- was she a -- sorry.
6
       Was Dr. Gee a urogynecologist?
7
                    Yes.
              Α
                    But she didn't do mesh excision
 9
        surgery.
10
                    No.
              Α
11
                    Is that what she told you?
              0
12
                    Yes.
              Α
13
                    And is that why she referred you to
              Q
14
        somebody else?
15
                     Uh-huh.
16
                     All right. About the same time, were
17
         you seeing Dr. Shea for gallbladder problems?
18
                     Uh-huh.
               Α
 19
                     MS. GOODSTEIN: You need to say yes.
 20
                     THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes.
 21
         BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
 22
                     You were in a lot of pain -- is this
 23
         different than the gastrological problems we were
 24
         talking about before, correct?
 25
```

- 1 A It's different.
- 2 Q All right. And you were in a lot of
- 3 pain; is that right, from the gallbladder?
- 4 A Yes. That was kind of an acute
- 5 episode.
- 6 Q All right. What can you -- were you
- on narcotic pain killers because of the pain?
- 8 A No.
- 10 removed?
- 11 A I did.
- 12 Q When was that?
- 13 A Well, I know it was in 2008 and I
- 14 think it was in March or April.
- 15 Q All right. And was Dr. Shea treating
- 16 you for this?
- 17 A Well, she wanted me to see the
- gastroenterologist. I had to have a test at the
- 19 hospital called a HIDA scan, and it was sort of
- 20 diagnosed and I -- Dr. Khaghan wanted to take my
- 21 gallbladder out but I wanted to think on it more
- to make sure that that's what I really needed to
- do. I didn't want to just -- oh, let's have
- 24 another surgery thing.
- 25 Q Could you describe the pain for me

```
Page 149
        that you were experiencing as a result of your
 1
        gallbladder problems in March or April of 2008?
 2
                    Yes.
                          I had like intense pain here in
        my shoulder, in my neck. I was vomiting.
 4
 5
              Q
                    So was the pain was where your
        kidneys are on your right side?
 6
                    Just under my ribs.
 7
              Α
                    Just under your ribs, okay.
 8
 9
              Α
                    Yes.
10
                    MS. FUSCO: Do you want to describe
                    Is that the front or the --
11
               it?
12
                    THE WITNESS:
                                   The front.
13
        BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
                    I also can't really see either.
14
15
              Α
                    Right upper quadrant just under the
        ribs in the front.
16
17
                    All right.
                                Thank you.
              0
                    And on a scale of 1 to 10, what was
18
        that pain like?
19
20
                    10.
              Α
21
                    10.
                         So what you were doing with your
22
        gallbladder? Were you still trying to deal with
        the Prolift mesh problem at the same time?
23
24
                    Yes. It didn't go away.
25
              Q
                    And you described before how you
```

- were -- it was a "holy cow" moment. Is this --
- was this gallbladder problem part of that?
- 3 A No. That was in the future at the
- 4 "holy cow" moment.
- 5 Q Oh, that was in the future.
- When was the "holy cow" moment then?
- 7 Maybe I misunderstood.
- 8 A The last time I went to Dr. Hines in
- 9 2007.
- 10 Q Okay. But we're in March of 2008 now
- 11 so I see, this is --
- 12 A Yeah.
- 13 Q But that feeling had carried over; is
- that right, that you were just very upset about
- your medical condition and all these problems you
- were facing?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Okay. I understand.
- 19 Did you have the gallbladder surgery?
- 20 A I did. I called Dr. Present in New
- 21 York and I told him everything. And I said, I'm
- 22 probably going to have this taken out. What
- should I do? And he gave me the name of a
- 24 surgeon there. And had it taken out.
- 25 I had another episode like that --

- they are acute episodes. I think I had a stone
- 2 in the duct or something and just vomiting and
- 3 intense pain like you're begging someone to cut
- 4 you open and take the pain away.
- 5 Q All right. And once you had the
- 6 surgery, did those problems from your gallbladder
- 7 go away?
- 8 A For a little while. But six weeks
- 9 after the surgery, I had a similar attack that
- 10 was quite similar to those. And that turned out
- 11 to be -- you know, I had to see a few different
- 12 people. I saw Dr. Shea first.
- I saw someone Dr. Salke recommended,
- and then I went to a doctor at Yale. So it was a
- difficult diagnosis, but it's called Sphincter of
- 16 Oddi disorder.
- 17 Q Was the surgery at Yale for your
- 18 gallbladder?
- 19 A No. It was at Mount Sinai.
- 20 Q I'm sorry. I didn't hear the name of
- 21 the disorder. What's it called again?
- 22 A Sphincter of Oddi Disorder.
- 23 Q Is that something you still suffer
- 24 from today?
- 25 A Yes.

days.

25

```
Page 154
        doctors; is that right?
1
                    One other doctor.
              Α
2
                    Was that Dr. Surrells (ph.)?
              0
3
                    Surrells, yes.
              Α
4
                    What about Dr. Lasalla?
5
                    Who?
              Α
6
                    Dr. Lasalla?
              0
7
                    I don't know that name.
 8
                    Did you go see Dr. Surrells?
 9
              Α
                    I did.
10
                    When did you go see Dr. Surrells?
              0
11
                     It's a guess. Maybe in June.
              Α
12
                    You saw Dr. Gee in May and then you
13
        went to see Dr. Surrells sometime the next month;
14
        is that approximately right?
15
                     Probably.
16
              Α
                     What did Dr. Surrells tell you?
17
                     He wanted to take out the mesh.
18
                     Is Dr. Surrells affiliated with a
19
        particular practice?
20
                     I think so, but I don't know the name
21
        of it. It's right by the hospital in Norwalk.
22
                     All right. So Dr. Surrells wanted to
23
        take out the Prolift, what was your -- is that
24
        right?
25
```

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And what was your response? What did
- 3 you tell him?
- A I was very cautious at that point. I
- 5 asked him a lot of questions and I didn't have
- 6 complete confidence in him so I didn't schedule
- 7 the surgery. The office called me a couple times
- 8 to schedule it. He said he had done training on
- g cadavers. And I said is that to take them out
- and put them in, and I got a lot of different
- answers. So I, you know, put the car in gear and
- 12 kept moving.
- Q Did he tell you why he wanted to take
- 14 out the Prolift?
- 15 A Not in medical terms, just because it
- 16 was getting -- it was shrinking. And causing me
- 17 problems.
- By this time, I had a complaint that
- 19 I felt like my vagina was shortening/
- 20 Q I take it when you went to see
- 21 Dr. Surrells, you brought the same piece of paper
- from Dr. Hines, he did a medical exam and took an
- oral history; is that what happened?
- 24 A Yes. I think so. I can't recall
- exactly what I took. I took myself. I was not

- 1 having any trouble getting doctors to say that I
- 2 had an issue with mesh shrinking inside or
- 3 changing my architecture.
- Q Right. They all -- everybody you saw
- 5 told you the Prolift was a problem.
- 6 A Yeah. Nobody told me they wanted --
- 7 I didn't get two answers that were the same.
- 8 Q And so is it fair to say that by June
- of 2008, you had definitively concluded that you
- needed to do -- you needed to take the Prolift
- 11 out?
- 12 A At some point I came to the knowledge
- that that's where I needed to go.
- 14 Q But that's what all the doctors were
- 15 telling you?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Did you see a Dr. Siegel during the
- 18 May June 2008 time period?
- 19 A I don't know. I don't think so. Is
- 20 it for the same --
- 21 Q So I have some notes here. I will
- just tell you what I have.
- 23 A Okay.
- 24 Q "Evaluation of RUQ pain following
- cholecystectomy patient to consider ERCP."

Page 158

- because I was seeing a doctor for migraines. And
- 2 I think that I was having some luck with the
- 3 control of those.
- Q Okay. So Dr. -- I have Dr. Grosberg
- 5 wrote in his notes that, in September of 2008,
- 6 that "there's a longstanding episodic migraines
- 7 which have evolved into a pattern of chronic
- 8 migraine with medication overuse."
- 9 Does that ring any bells as to --
- 10 does that refresh your recollection as to what
- was going on in the summer or fall of 2008 with
- 12 your migraines?
- 13 A Yes. I think that he told me I was
- getting rebound headaches from using the rescue
- drug and then Naprosyn or Advil continuously.
- 16 Q How were the migraines affecting your
- 17 life? I know you had a lot of other medical
- 18 problems going on, but...
- 19 A Well, they weren't adding any joy to
- 20 my life. They were there, but I actually had
- 21 confidence in Dr. Grosberg, and he explained
- 22 everything carefully and he assured me that they
- had many things and we would find something. I
- 24 was not dwelling on migraines because I had found
- 25 this doctor who I was pretty sure had -- you

- 1 know, had it under control.
- 2 Q What I'm trying to understand, you've
- 3 told me about your Oddi syndrome, the gallbladder
- 4 problems, your problems with the Prolift and the
- 5 repeat migraines, how were those things, you
- 6 know -- all four of those things and the other
- 7 problems you were having -- affecting your
- 8 ability to enjoy life during 2008?
- 9 MS. FUSCO: Objection to form.
- 10 Go ahead.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I don't think I was
- 12 enjoying life very much.
- 13 BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
- 14 Q All right.
- 15 A I was committed to getting better.
- 16 Q And was it the -- was the key
- impediment to you enjoying life the fact that you
- 18 had so many different problems coming from
- different parts of your body in 2008?
- 20 A I think that's accurate.
- 21 Q Yeah. All right. We talked before a
- 22 little about Crohn's disease.
- 23 A Uh-huh.
- 24 Q There are subsequent references in
- 25 your medical records to Crohn's disease. I'm not

- 1 clear, do you suffer from Crohn's disease or did
- 2 you have Crohn's disease?
- 3 A No. No. From my understanding, if
- 4 you have Crohn's disease there's a genetic test
- 5 that would be positive.
- I did ask the person in the practice
- 7 I see now, Jennifer Barrow, if she could amend
- 8 the diagnosis because I haven't had a problem and
- 9 I've had colonoscopies that showed no problems
- 10 except scarring. So I don't think you would find
- 11 that now.
- 12 Q Okay. So going back to the Prolift,
- you told me that you saw *Dr. sural, decided not
- 14 to treat with him. What was the next step that
- 15 you took in dealing with the problems you had
- 16 with the Prolift?
- 17 A I saw Dr. Bercik somewhere in there.
- 18 I liked him a lot and he's very sympathetic. He
- 19 didn't have a definitive -- you know, he had a --
- sort of an answer to a sort of start something,
- 21 maybe release the mesh, maybe take part of it
- out. But I didn't feel like he had done that
- very often. And he was very forthright.
- 24 Q Are you saying that Dr. Bercik
- 25 recommended a process whereby he would first cut

- the arms of the Prolift, and if that didn't
- 2 provide relieve he would then move onto a second
- 3 surgery, which was a total excision of the
- 4 Prolift?
- 5 A I don't think I discussed it in that
- 6 much detail. He said the right side of my inner
- 7 pelvis was very tight and that I needed to have
- 8 that released or let go.
- 9 Okay. And I have -- according to my
- 10 notes, you met with Dr. Bercik on March 25th,
- 11 2008. Does that sound about right?
- 12 A It sounds right.
- 13 O All right. So you've now seen
- Dr. Gee and Dr. Bercik and Dr. starves and
- Dr. Porges. What did you do next to deal with
- 16 your problems with the Prolift?
- 17 A I think I stopped looking for a
- while. I started looking for answers online. I
- 19 tried to educate myself more. I read blogs from
- 20 women -- I found that there were other people
- 21 with issues.
- 22 Q So is that what you did during -- was
- 23 that all taking place during 2009?
- 24 A I don't know when I started that. I
- 25 did just -- I do recall just feeling like I --

- 1 you know, this is -- I'm not going to do
- 2 something else that could be harmful. And I
- 3 think I just lived with it for a while.
- Q All right.
- 5 A I don't really know. I can't
- 6 remember whenever I, you know, picked up again
- 7 and said, I've got to do something. I had my --
- 8 this issue was in order. My headaches were
- 9 better. My gallbladder was gone. And I do
- 10 remember thinking, this is just the way it's
- 11 going to have to be.
- 12 Q Well, what -- you know, starting at
- the end of 2008 and into 2009, what kind of
- 14 symptoms were you suffering from at that point
- 15 from the Prolift?
- 16 A I had tightness in my pelvis. My
- 17 vagina was definitely shortened -- I had pain in
- my sitz bones in my groin, down my right leg.
- 19 Activities, you know, would make it worse.
- Q What kind of --
- 21 A Sort of the same symptoms I've
- 22 already described to you. None of them went
- 23 away.
- Q And the reason I ask is not to ask
- 25 you the same question. I'm trying to find out

- 1 whether your condition did change.
- 2 A It didn't. But my state of mind
- 3 changed.
- 4 Q And these symptoms you just
- 5 described, did they -- did you have any other
- 6 symptoms as a result of the Prolift other than
- 7 the ones you just described?
- 8 I could repeat them to you if you
- 9 would like.
- 10 A No, I know them.
- 11 I probably, during that time,
- 12 developed a spot on the right side of the
- introitus of my vagina that was -- you know, it
- 14 was textured like the top where it felt like
- 15 there was screen door wire in it. It was
- 16 sensitive. It was painful. I had yeast
- 17 infections. I had a lot of urinary tract
- infections.
- 19 Q All right. So other than the
- 20 symptoms you just described, the yeast
- 21 infections, the spot on your side of your vagina
- 22 and top that was textured and painful, the
- tightness, the shortened vagina, the bone pain,
- the pain in your groin, the pain down your right
- leg and the activities making it worse, were

- there any other symptoms that you were
- 2 experiencing because of the profit in 2008?
- 3 A I think I covered them.
- 4 Q I'm sorry. And that would be true in
- 5 2009 as well?
- A Yes.
- 7 Q And what about 2010 you would have
- 8 the same symptoms in 2010?
- 9 A Yeah. I -- I would say that the
- 10 tightening essentially in my right side had
- 11 gotten tighter. I felt like it was pulling. My
- hip hurt a lot. I don't think I mentioned that
- my hip hurt at the beginning after the surgery
- and pretty much since then. They're sort of all
- interconnected to me, but sometimes my hip does
- 16 get flared out and, you know, causes problems.
- 17 Q All right. I just want to go back to
- the April 2006 surgery for a moment with
- 19 Dr. Hines.
- 20 A Okay.
- 21 Can I just stand up? Go ahead. I
- just need to stand up for a second.
- 23 Q Sure. Sure.
- Tell me, did you go to the hospital
- 25 that morning when you had the surgery? Was it in

- the morning?
- 2 A Yes, I had to withhold foods, and my
- 3 husband took me to Stamford Hospital the morning
- 4 of the surgery.
- 5 Q And you worked at hospitals, right?
- 6 There was no -- you didn't see a store at
- 7 hospital the selling medical devices like the
- 8 Prolift; is that correct?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q Right. It's a prescription device;
- is that right?
- 12 A Right. I don't think they would have
- given it to me, yeah.
- 14 Q Without a prescription?
- 15 A Right.
- Q Right.
- And what was Stamford Hospital's role
- in selling the device to you. Can you explain
- 19 that to me?
- 20 A Well. I don't think that --
- 21 Q Actually let me give you a qualifier.
- Separate and apart from Dr. Hines, I'm trying to
- 23 understand -- you've talked about him. I'm
- 24 trying to understand what the hospital's role,
- just the hospital.

Page 166 I believe that Stamford Hospital Α 1 sanctioned and supplied the sale of the Prolift 2 for the doctor to implant. And I feel like the 3 product, or know that the product, is defective. So would you agree that Stamford Q 5 Hospital ordered the Prolift from Ethicon? Or purchased -- let me withdraw that. 7 Would you agree that Stamford 8 Hospital purchase the product from Ethicon? 9 Somehow it got there. Either they Α 10 pushed it or Ethicon gave it to them. I don't 11 know how they got it. 12 And other than purchasing it from or 13 obtaining it from Ethicon, what else did Stamford 14 Hospital do in connection with your -- supplying 15 the Prolift to you? 16 Well, I think they supplied an OR. 17 Right. Q 18 And a staff --19 Okay. Q 20 -- to help Dr. Hines perform the Α 21 surgery. 22 Okay. Q 23 And they took care of me, sort of 24 post-op. 25

- 1 Q Okay. So other than obtaining the
- 2 Prolift for the surgery, and supplying a staff
- 3 and an operating room and then taking care of you
- 4 post-operatively, was there anything else that
- 5 Stamford did that was part of its role in selling
- 6 you the Prolift?
- 7 MS. FUSCO: Objection to form.
- 8 THE WITNESS: I think I want you to
- g rephrase that or something.
- 10 BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
- 11 Q Okay. All right. So -- look. I
- will put it as clear as I can. Your complaint
- 13 alleges that Stamford Hospital sold you the
- Prolift, and I'm trying to understand, since you
- were there, what Stamford Hospital did to sell
- 16 you the Prolift.
- You've already told me some things.
- 18 I'm trying to find out is there anything else?
- 19 A I think they supplied it. I think
- 20 that my insurance paid for it. I paid my
- 21 premiums. I assume that Stamford Hospital -- I
- can't assume anything, can I? I don't want to
- 23 assume anything.
- Q Okay. Other than supplying the
- 25 Prolift for the surgery and billing your

- insurance, do you know if Stamford Hospital did
- 2 anything else as part -- in connection with its
- 3 sale of the Prolift to you?
- A Well, I feel like there was a point
- 5 in time where Stamford Hospital might have known
- that the product was not good for me to have and
- 7 they didn't notify me.
- 8 Q Was there someone in particular at
- 9 the hospital? I mean -- withdrawn.
- 10 You worked at hospitals, right?
- 11 A I worked in a laboratory, which is in
- 12 a hospital. It's sort of in a -- I don't want
- you to get the wrong idea. You can't go into a
- laboratory -- laboratory people don't often go
- 15 out.
- 16 Q When you worked at various hospitals,
- there were nurses and administers and people
- holding all different types of positions; is that
- 19 right?
- 20 A Yes.
- Q What I'm trying to understand is who
- 22 at Stamford Hospital failed you in your
- 23 understanding?
- 24 A I don't know if there is a physician
- review board to look at if patients have problems

| | Page 169 |
|----|---|
| 1 | when certain surgeons do certain procedures. I |
| 2 | don't know if there's a quality control |
| 3 | department. But the way other organizations work |
| 4 | in customer service, you know, I think there must |
| 5 | be an equivalent to those. |
| 6 | Q Do you know whether there were other |
| 7 | Prolift surgeries before April 2006 where there |
| 8 | were substantial complications such that the |
| 9 | hospital should have known to warn you? |
| 10 | MS. FUSCO: Objection to form. Are |
| 11 | you talking about just surgeries before |
| 12 | 2006 or surgeries and the complications |
| 13 | that occurred before 2006? |
| 14 | MR. ALLENTUCH: Do you want to read |
| 15 | back the question? |
| 16 | MS. FUSCO: I know what the question |
| 17 | is. I want to know what your intent is. |
| 18 | MR. ALLENTUCH: Surgeries and |
| 19 | complications. I think I said surgeries |
| 20 | and complications. |
| 21 | MS. FUSCO: That's why it's a |
| 22 | compound question, so I'm unclear. You |
| 23 | wanted them both before. |
| 24 | MR. ALLENTUCH: All right. Why don't |
| 25 | I rephrase the questions. |
| | |

```
MS. FUSCO: Yes.
 1
        BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
 2
                    Do you know whether, before your
 3
              0
        surgery, there were Prolift surgeries at Stamford
 4
        Hospital with serious complications?
 5
                    I do not know.
 6
 7
                    All right.
                    MR. ALLENTUCH: We're going to take
 8
 9
               one minute.
                    (Off the record.)
10
        BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
11
                    All right. I just want to be -- I
12
        want to see if I understand this.
13
                    The things the hospital did wrong
14
        were sold you the -- sorry, obtained the product,
15
        it billed your insurance, and if there was some
16
        kind of physician review board or quality control
17
        department that knew about problems with the
18
        Prolift, you should have been told that before
19
        the surgery?
2.0
                    Or after.
21
22
              0
                    Or after?
                    MS. FUSCO: Objection to form.
23
        BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
24
```

Are those the things that the

25

- 1 hospital did wrong in this case?
- MS. FUSCO: Objection to form.
- 3 BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
- 4 Q You can go ahead and answer.
- 5 MS. FUSCO: If you can answer.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think those
- 7 things could have been done differently.
- 8 I think they were wrong.
- 9 BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
- 10 Q Was there anything that I missed?
- Was there any other things the hospital did wrong
- in connection with your -- with this case?
- A Well, I didn't have a very pleasant
- 14 stay in the hospital. He did not give me pain
- medication on a routine schedule. I had to beg
- for it. I had to beg the doctor for it. I
- wasn't supposed to be in the hospital.
- I don't know that I could say that's
- anyone's problem or fault, but I was much more
- 20 impacted than I was told I would be. And I never
- got a reason for it from the doctor. Why did I
- wind up in the hospital? Why was I sick? Why
- 23 was I on antibiotics?
- Q Was your stay after the surgery
- 25 longer than you expected?

- 1 A Yes.
- Q Were there complications from the
- 3 surgery? Is that why you ended up staying longer
- 4 at the hospital?
- 5 A There were, but I wasn't told about
- 6 them.
- 7 Q What were the complications from the
- 8 surgery?
- 9 A Dr. Hines told my husband that I had
- 10 really thin tissues and that my tissues weren't
- 11 as operable as he thought, which was -- my
- 12 husband is not very -- you know, he said I didn't
- 13 really understand, but, you know -- I didn't know
- 14 at that point that I didn't have the exact
- 15 procedure that he had outlined for me. So I
- 16 guess I felt like I was an uninformed patient.
- 17 I didn't have -- I didn't have
- information and I didn't have choices.
- MR. ALLENTUCH: Could you read back
- the last answer?
- 21 (The Record was read back.)
- 22 BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
- Q Okay. You said you didn't have the
- exact procedure that you thought. What did you
- 25 mean?

- When I was -- Dr. Hines talking about 1 Α 2 what I needed to have done, he described that I had a condition that I needed a total Prolift. 3 He said I had prolapse of my uterus, prolapse of my bladder and prolapse of my rectum, and he 5 would put the entire Prolift in. And it would 6 7 also -- I had a little complaint. 8 I mean, he sort of questioned me 9 about it, that I had some -- you know, if I was jumping rope I might have a little urine leakage 10 and that the procedure he was going to do would 11 12 fix all of my problems. 13 So in the end, you know, it was -- I 14 don't know when I figured it out, but he put in an anterior Prolift. And he also -- well, he 15 16 never told me that I had another type of mesh in me but I did. And he never told me that he 17 18 perforated my bowel. 19 Now, I'm saying if I knew I had a 2.0 bowel perforation, I might have asked for an 21 infectious disease person to oversee my 2.2 treatment.
- Q It is my understanding, based on the current complaint that the AMS Monarc Sling is not part of this case; is that accurate?

```
Page 174
 1
              Α
                    Yes.
 2
                    Did you settle your case with AMS?
 3
                    Yes.
                    What was the amount of the
 5
        settlement?
                    MS. FUSCO: Objection.
 6
               Attorney/client privilege. Do not answer
 7
               that question.
 8
     INST
 9
10
        BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
                    Were you paid the settlement amount
11
12
        by AMS?
13
                    MS. FUSCO: Objection.
                                             Same
14
               objection. Attorney/client privilege.
15
               You're not to answer that question.
16
     INST
17
        BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
                    Did you provide AMS a release as a
18
        result of the settlement in this case?
19
20
                    MS. FUSCO: That's okay.
21
                    THE WITNESS:
                                  I did.
22
        BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
23
                    If Dr. Hines had privileges at
        Westchester Hospital instead of Stamford
24
        Hospital, would you have gone there for the
25
```

- 1 Prolift surgery?
- MS. FUSCO: Objection to form.
- BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
- 4 Q You can go ahead and answer.
- 5 A I went where Dr. Komarynsky suggested
- I go. I guess if she told me to go to
- Westchester Hospital or Norwalk Hospital, I would
- 8 have followed her advice. She had done a good
- 9 job taking care of me.
- 10 Q What I'm trying to understand, were
- 11 you -- you were treating with Dr. Hines, right?
- 12 He was your doctor?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And so -- and he was the one doing
- the surgery; is that right?
- 16 A Yeah. I went to him specifically for
- 17 a surgical solution for my problem.
- 18 Q What I'm trying to understand is
- 19 he -- for example, Dr. Hines also had privileges
- 20 at one point at Westchester Hospital. Had he
- elected to do the surgery there, would that have
- been all right with you?
- MS. FUSCO: Objection.
- 24 If you can answer.
- THE WITNESS: I can't see any reason

- that I wouldn't go there.
- 2 BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
- 3 Q All right. So at some point you went
- 4 to UCLA Medical Center; is that right?
- 5 A I did.
- 6 0 Was that in 2010?
- 7 A Yes.
- g Why did you go to UCLA Medical
- 9 Center?
- 10 A I spent some time reading blogs and
- accounts from women who had had similar issues.
- 12 And it seemed like there was a theme of who was
- able to help people and to take out the mesh.
- 14 There was a consensus. And people -- women in
- these blogs highly recommended him.
- 16 Q When you say "highly recommended,"
- was that Dr. Raz they highly recommend?
- 18 A Uh-huh. There were about three
- doctors that the names kept coming up as "I trust
- 20 this person with my life."
- 21 Q So other than Dr. Raz, who were the
- 22 other two?
- 23 A Philippe Zimmern and another doctor
- 24 named Veronikis.
- Q Was your treatment by Dr. Raz covered

| 1 | by insurance? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A Yes. |
| 3 | MR. ALLENTUCH: Actually, let me just |
| 4 | take a minute. The one of the things I'm |
| 5 | missing from the discovery is the medical |
| 6 | specialists. There's a bunch of things |
| 7 | that need to be provided that haven't been |
| 8 | provided. |
| 9 | MS. FUSCO: Bills? I'm not sure. |
| 10 | MR. ALLENTUCH: There's a bunch of |
| 11 | things to be provided, and maybe you can |
| 12 | go ahead and do that so that actually |
| 13 | you're going to oppose continuing the |
| 14 | deposition? |
| 15 | MS. FUSCO: If there's stuff we have, |
| 16 | we usually pass it on right away. I'm not |
| 17 | sure what specifically you're talking |
| 18 | about. |
| 19 | MR. ALLENTUCH: If you look at your |
| 20 | interrogatory responses. |
| 21 | MS. FUSCO: That, I understand. What |
| 22 | specifically are you talking about? Are |
| 23 | you talking about one or all of them in |
| 24 | general? |
| 25 | MR. ALLENTUCH: Well, I mean, you |

- because his office was very thorough.
- 2 Q What medical records did you bring
- 3 with you?
- 4 A I believe at this time I had asked
- 5 for the surgical records from the medical records
- 6 office from Stamford Hospital and that's it. I
- 7 might have gotten Dr. Hines' notes, but I don't
- 8 think I had done that by that time. I think I
- g asked for them and they never sent them. All
- 10 they wanted were the operative reports, and I
- 11 think I took those.
- 12 Q All right. And so you told Dr. Raz
- 13 you didn't want to give him a description of the
- problems you were suffering from; is that right?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q So did the appointment primarily
- 17 consist of him examining you? Is that what
- 18 happened? Tell me what happened.
- 19 A Yes. He came in the room and he and
- 20 his resident -- or I don't know if she was a
- 21 resident -- Lisa Rogo Gupta I believe is her
- 22 name.
- He knew I was there for mesh and he
- 24 said this is what I see is wrong. Your vagina is
- 25 too short. It's rigid. The arms of your mesh

```
Page 180
        have shrunk. I can feel the mesh in the top of
 1
        your vagina and on the side.
 2
                    He asked me if I could have
 3
        intercourse and I said, yeah, modified
 4
        intercourse, which is not face-to-face anymore at
        this point. And he just seemed to me exactly --
 6
        he seemed to have my answers.
 7
                    All right. You said you couldn't
              0
 8
        have face-to-face intercourse. What did you
 9
10
        mean?
                    By this time I had to just have rear
11
              Α
        entry so there wasn't full penetration.
12
                    Was that still enjoyable for you?
13
              Α
                    Hell no.
14
                    Sorry.
15
                    It took me a moment to be able to
16
        come up with the right question there because,
17
        like you, I don't entirely want to ask you about
18
        your sex life.
19
                    Yes.
20
              Α
                    So it was uncomfortable?
              0
21
                    Yes. Painful.
2.2
                    Painful?
23
24
              Α
                    Yes.
```

Did he recommend a course of

25

0

- 1 treatment for you?
- 2 A He recommended that I come back as
- 3 quickly as possible to have a couple of
- diagnostic tests to see if they could visualize
- 5 the mesh with translabial ultrasound and do some
- 6 Euro dynamic test.
- 7 So I did go back in December. I
- 8 mean, at that point I knew I was home. I knew I
- 9 was going to do this. I scheduled the surgery,
- 10 and I got back there in December for the
- 11 diagnostic tests.
- 12 Q All right. So in November -- just so
- 13 I understand this, November 2010 you met with
- 14 him, he diagnosed your problems, recommended some
- tests and you scheduled the surgery; is that
- 16 right?
- 17 A Yeah. He gave me great confidence.
- 18 Q And the surgery we're talking about
- is the complete removal of the Prolift, the
- 20 Ethicon Prolift; is that right?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Around the same time there's a bunch
- of references to hip pain. Is that something
- that was also related to the problems you were
- 25 having with the Prolift?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q How was that related?
- A I had amorphous answers about that.
- 4 One doctor that I saw -- I mean, I did finally
- 5 see I think one doctor specifically about the hip
- 6 pain, and I mentioned the mesh and what it had
- 7 done. And he said -- I mean, he did an MRI. He
- 8 saw that there was damage in my hip, and he said
- 9 the sacrospinous ligament fixation could have
- 10 been pulling or the mesh, contracting, could have
- 11 been pulling me, the scaffolding or my
- architecture just enough that, you know, my
- labrum was being rubbed the wrong way.
- Q Was that Dr. Moley who you were
- 15 treating with for your hip?
- 16 A Uh-huh. M-O-L-E-Y.
- MS. GOODSTEIN: Was that a yes?
- 18 BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
- 19 Q Yes. I'm sorry. Was that a yes?
- 20 A Yes. He gave me anti-inflammatories.
- 21 He said, keep moving. Stay active as much as you
- 22 can. I did have to take one steroid shot because
- it got very bad at one point.
- 24 But I'm not sure that there's a
- connection on paper. I don't know if there is.

```
Page 183
        My hip hurt like crazy after the surgery after
1
        the implant.
 2
                    And that continued from --
 3
              0
                    Until today.
              Α
                    -- April 2006 until today?
              Α
                    Uh-huh.
                    Did you have hip problems before the
 7
        Prolift surgery?
 8
                    No.
              Α
                    And was it fair to say that wasn't
10
        supposed to happen as a result of the Prolift
11
        surgery, correct?
12
                    No.
              Α
13
                    All right. So you -- other than
14
        removing the mesh, was Dr. Raz doing --
15
        withdrawn.
16
                    What was Dr. Raz going to replace --
17
        put in place of the mesh to deal with your
18
        problems with your cervix falling through your
19
        vagina?
20
                    My understanding was that he would
21
        try to find the origin of why it was prolapsing.
22
        And to correct the native tissues with sutures.
23
                    All right. So you had the testing
24
              0
        you described that was done in December of 2010;
25
```

Just to help you out, I think this

24

25

scheme of things.

- 1 case was filed in 2014.
- 2 A Okay.
- 3 Q So it's been pending for -- since
- 4 that time.
- 5 A Okay.
- 6 Q What led to your decision to sue
- 7 Stamford Hospital?
- A A lawyer friend that I have asked
- 9 me -- I mean, he knew about my issues somewhat
- and he asked me if I might be a witness to
- 11 another trial here.
- 12 Q Who -- who -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- 13 A So I agreed. I said -- I mean, it
- was the same doctor. So I agreed. And I came to
- be interviewed here and it evolved into talking
- 16 about my own issues.
- Q Who was the lawyer friend?
- 18 A Doug Wells.
- 19 Q Doug Wells?
- 20 And did Mr. Wells refer you to
- 21 Ms. Fusco's firm?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q Did Mr. Wells tell you you had a
- claim against the hospital?
- MS. FUSCO: I want to just caution if

| | | Page 18' |
|----|------|--|
| 1 | | MR. ALLENTUCH: Yes. |
| 2 | | MS. FUSCO: I can't allow her to |
| 3 | | answer that. |
| 4 | | MR. ALLENTUCH: You can direct her. |
| 5 | | I mentioned the case. You can go read it. |
| 6 | | MS. FUSCO: Well, I think that's |
| 7 | | Attorney Wells isn't here to defend that |
| 8 | | relationship, so I don't know |
| 9 | | MR. ALLENTUCH: The privilege belongs |
| 10 | | to her |
| 11 | | MS. FUSCO: if she can answer it. |
| 12 | | MR. ALLENTUCH: so she can assert |
| 13 | | it. |
| 14 | | MS. FUSCO: I'm going to instruct her |
| 15 | | if there's something that's |
| 16 | | attorney/client privilege between her and |
| 17 | | Attorney Wells, for the time being I'm |
| 18 | | going to instruct her not to answer |
| 19 | | because I don't have that authority |
| 20 | | MR. ALLENTUCH: I understand. |
| 21 | | MS. FUSCO: or authority to |
| 22 | | counsel her on whether or not she wants to |
| 23 | | waive that privilege. |
| 24 | INST | |
| 25 | | MR. ALLENTUCH: Do you need to take a |

| | 1490 100 |
|----|--|
| 1 | minute? |
| 2 | MS. FUSCO: No. |
| 3 | MR. ALLENTUCH: I'm just going to |
| 4 | MS. FUSCO: Can you first explain how |
| 5 | is her conversation with Attorney Wells at |
| 6 | issue such that you think the privilege is |
| 7 | waived. |
| 8 | MR. ALLENTUCH: So you understand |
| 9 | the I can do it on the record. |
| 10 | MS. FUSCO: We can do it on the |
| 11 | record. |
| 12 | MS. GOODSTEIN: Do you want to do |
| 13 | this in front of witness? |
| 14 | MS. FUSCO: I'm not sure what you're |
| 15 | getting at here. |
| 16 | MR. ALLENTUCH: That's fine. |
| 17 | You should go and take a look at a |
| 18 | case called Metropolitan Life versus Aetna |
| 19 | Casualty where a party puts the privilege |
| 20 | at issue in a case. The defense is |
| 21 | entitled to inquire about attorney |
| 22 | compliant communications. |
| 23 | MS. FUSCO: I understand that. But |
| 24 | my question is how do you think it's |
| 25 | applicable to this case. |

| | 9 |
|----|--|
| 1 | MR. ALLENTUCH: Sure. |
| 2 | You've taken the position that the |
| 3 | statute of limitations doesn't start to |
| 4 | run until an attorney tells Ms. Sherwood |
| 5 | that she has a claim. If that's not your |
| 6 | position |
| 7 | MS. FUSCO: Who said that's my |
| 8 | position. |
| 9 | MR. ALLENTUCH: That's what you told |
| 10 | me. |
| 11 | MS. FUSCO: That's what I told you |
| 12 | no. The statute begins to run under |
| 13 | Turnowski (ph.) when a plaintiff knew or |
| 14 | should have known she had a cause of |
| 15 | action, which includes the fact she has |
| 16 | a she can know she's injured and she |
| 17 | can know that an entity such as Stamford |
| 18 | Hospital may be connected to that injury. |
| 19 | But the statute doesn't start to run until |
| 20 | she knows that Stamford Hospital had some |
| 21 | sort of liability. |
| 22 | MR. ALLENTUCH: So that's what I'm |
| 23 | trying to inquire about. You placed |
| 24 | her you placed her |
| 25 | MS. FUSCO: It's when she came to |

| | 3 |
|-----|--|
| 1 | know, not when Attorney Wells knew. |
| 2 | MR. ALLENTUCH: That's why I'm asking |
| 3 | her about these conversation. You can't |
| 4 | use the privilege as a sword and a shield. |
| 5 | MS. FUSCO: I'm not using the |
| 6 | privilege as a sword and a shield. You're |
| 7 | putting the cart before the horse. |
| 8 | MR. ALLENTUCH: I want to ask her the |
| 9 | question and we can get it on the record. |
| 10 | I can claim then and we can brief it and |
| 11 | argue it before the Court. |
| 12 | MS. FUSCO: My point is there may not |
| 13 | be a privilege here. I don't know what |
| 14 | you want to ask her. If you're going to |
| 15 | ask her what did you discuss with Attorney |
| 16 | Wells, I can't let her answer. |
| 17 | MR. ALLENTUCH: Yeah. Yeah, instruct |
| 18 | her not to answer. |
| 19 | MS. FUSCO: She may have an answer |
| 20 | outside of attorney/client privilege is |
| 21 | what I'm saying. If you would like to |
| 22 | start with that question, then that would |
| 23 | resolve all these problems. |
| 24 | MR. ALLENTUCH: But okay. That's |
| 2.5 | fine. I can do that. I'm sorry. Your |

| Page 191 |
|---|
| view is that the statute starts running |
| when she knows when I apologize. |
| We're talking about you in the third |
| person. I know you're right here when |
| Ms. Sherwood has a claim. |
| MS. FUSCO: Yeah. Under Turnowski |
| when it's a cause of action when it's an |
| actionable damage. |
| MR. ALLENTUCH: When she knows she |
| has a cause of action? |
| MS. FUSCO: Correct. |
| MR. ALLENTUCH: I will ask her that. |
| We'll start with that then. |
| BY MR. ALLENTUCH: |
| Q Ms. Sherwood, when did you |
| discover first discover that you had a cause |
| of action against Stamford Hospital in this case? |
| A I guess sometime in late 2014. |
| Q Okay. What led you what led you |
| to that conclusion? |
| THE WITNESS: I'm supposed to answer, |
| right? |
| MS. FUSCO: If you can. |
| THE WITNESS: I'm not covering |
| anything up. I was asked to possibly be |
| |

25

```
Page 193
                   MR. ALLENTUCH: I understand.
                                                   We're
1
              working through it.
                    THE WITNESS: There was an evolution.
3
               There was an evolution.
       BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
5
                    So you agreed to be a witness?
6
                    I agreed to be interviewed to be a
        witness.
8
                    And did Mr. Leydon or Ms. Fusco
              0
        interview you to be a witness in the Feral
10
        litigation?
11
              Α
                    Yes.
12
                    What did they tell you?
13
                    MS. FUSCO: Oh, wait. Hang on.
14
                    Okay. You can answer.
15
                    THE WITNESS: They just interviewed
16
               me to see what my experience had been.
17
        BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
18
                    Okay. And did they tell you in that
              0
19
        conversation that you had a potential claim
20
        against Stamford Hospital?
21
                    Not then.
22
                    Okay. When did you learn that you
23
        had a potential claim against Stamford Hospital?
24
                     I don't know exactly, but it was at
              Α
25
```

- 1 some point after the interview.
- Q What led you -- how did you come to
- 3 learn you had a claim against Stamford Hospital?
- 4 A Well, I began to understand the case
- 5 and I -- well, I hate to talk about Mr. Wells
- 6 when he's not here. I asked him if I had done
- 7 the right thing to file this suit far away, you
- 8 know, with the MDL because what was happening,
- 9 you know, my experience was quite different and I
- 10 didn't know that there was a local option.
- 11 Q And what did he tell you?
- 12 A I don't think he told me anything at
- 13 that time. We just chatted about it.
- 14 Q All right. And just so we're clear,
- 15 you sued Ethicon in products liability in West
- Virginia in April of 2013; is that right?
- 17 Approximately.
- 18 A Yeah, maybe. I can't remember.
- 19 Q Okay. All right.
- 20 A It's a lot easier for me to remember
- 21 the medical details.
- 22 0 I understand.
- 23 A Yeah, because they impacted me
- 24 physically.
- 25 Q I understand.

really forgotten.

25

```
Page 196
                    So -- I'm sorry. Was this --
             Q
1
       withdrawn.
                    Did someone tell you that you had a
3
       potential claim against Stamford Hospital?
                               I guess. But I think I
                    I'm here.
5
        asked questions.
6
                    So who --
7
                    I don't --
 8
                    I'm trying to be very concrete.
 9
        looking for a concrete event and a concrete time.
10
        That's why I'm asking five different ways.
11
        keep doing that, but that's what I'm trying to
1.2
        figure out.
13
                     Did -- who told you you had a
14
        potential claim against Stamford Hospital in
15
        products liability in this case?
16
                     I think I would have to ask Attorney
               Α
17
        Wells.
18
                     You don't --
19
                     If he has that conversation on
20
                  I feel a little weird without him here.
         record.
21
                     MS. FUSCO: It sounds like she
22
                doesn't want to waive attorney/client
 23
                privilege.
 24
 25
```

- 1 BY MR. ALLENTUCH:
- 2 Q Let me ask you that. It's your
- 3 privilege. It belongs to you, the
- 4 attorney/client privilege. Are you asserting the
- 5 privilege with regard to communications with
- 6 Attorney Wells about this issue?
- 7 A I don't want to give you a wrong
- 8 date. I don't know the date so I will be honest
- 9 and straightforward. I can only tell you that
- 10 sometime after I came and was interviewed as a
- 11 witness, and before the claim was filed, that I
- 12 can't recall exactly who I talked to and I can't
- 13 remember the date.
- 14 Q Do you remember approximately when it
- 15 was?
- A Well, I can't remember exactly when I
- was interviewed here as a witness, but it was a
- 18 couple of months after that, I think.
- 19 O So would that have been in 2015?
- 20 A My answer is a guess.
- 21 Q Can you bracket it at all in time?
- 22 A I think I did. Somewhere between
- 23 when I came here to be interviewed to be a
- witness, and I was going to be a witness for Mary
- 25 Feral in 2015. Or was it '16? I don't know.

- 1 Q So let me backup.
- I understand you don't know the
- 3 timing --
- 4 A I kind of know the timing. I just
- 5 don't know the exact timing.
- 6 Q All right. Was it -- I know Attorney
- 7 Fusco suggested it was sometime shortly before
- 8 the complaint was filed. I didn't hear that
- 9 testimony from you before, but is that -- is that
- the timing?
- 11 A I believe that I talked to Attorney
- Wells sometime in June of 2014 and he inquired
- about my health and that he wondered -- he knew
- about a case and they were talking about it
- somewhere and he knew me, and he said, would you
- be willing to be a witness. And I agreed to
- 17 that.
- So maybe I came in July 2014. I'm
- not sure. I could have come in June, so I'm very
- 20 much guessing. But it was after the surgery in
- 21 2014 that he and I talked about me being a
- 22 witness.
- 23 Q All right. Did he tell you that you
- had a potential claim against Stamford Hospital?
- 25 A I think I might have asked him

- because I was surprised when I was listening to
- 2 the briefing about what this claim was to say,
- 3 you know, did I make the right claim.
- 4 Q All right. So did you ask him if you
- 5 had a potential claim against Stamford Hospital?
- 6 A That's my best recollection.
- 7 Q And what did he tell you?
- A I think he made a call and got back
- 9 to me later.
- 10 Q And what did he tell you when he got
- 11 back to you?
- 12 A I think he told me that I did.
- O And that was sometime in the summer
- 14 of 2014?
- 15 A To my best recollection.
- Q Why didn't you testify in the Feral
- 17 case?
- 18 A I agreed to, but I think that I was
- 19 not called on.
- Q Okay. Let's go back to UCLA.
- MS. FUSCO: I don't know if you're
- starting a whole new topic.
- MR. ALLENTUCH: I was starting where
- I left off.
- MS. FUSCO: So I don't know if you

| | Page 201 |
|----|--|
| 1 | have a lot or are starting a new topic. |
| 2 | MR. ALLENTUCH: I'm we can stop, |
| 3 | but I probably have, you know, at least 90 |
| 4 | minutes two hours left. |
| 5 | MS. FUSCO: We're not going past |
| 6 | 5:00. We can't. |
| 7 | MR. ALLENTUCH: Do you want to go |
| 8 | another five minutes then? |
| 9 | MS. FUSCO: Is that okay with you? |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: Well, yes, there's a |
| 11 | big different between 5:00 and 90. |
| 12 | MR. ALLENTUCH: Right. We just have |
| 13 | to |
| 14 | MS. FUSCO: And it's unfair to Madam |
| 15 | Court Reporter as well. |
| 16 | I don't know if you wanted to take a |
| 17 | break here. It seemed like a natural |
| 18 | break. |
| 19 | MR. ALLENTUCH: Look. I can go for |
| 20 | another five minutes and then obviously I |
| 21 | need to, from my perspective, either |
| 22 | continue for about 90 minutes another day. |
| 23 | But we don't need the last five minutes. |
| 24 | MS. GOODSTEIN: Because I will have |
| 25 | questions as well. |

| | Page 202 |
|----|--|
| 1 | MR. ALLENTUCH: We'll suspend for the |
| 2 | day. Is there another date that |
| 3 | MS. FUSCO: Well, I will get back to |
| 4 | you on that because I want to know how |
| 5 | much time you guys need. All right. And |
| 6 | we can't go on and on about irrelevant |
| 7 | unnecessary stuff like talking about Isaac |
| 8 | Newton. |
| 9 | MR. ALLENTUCH: It was Louis Pasteur, |
| 10 | but I got it. |
| 11 | MS. FUSCO: That one too. That was |
| 12 | the one I was forgetting. You talked |
| 13 | about the laws of physics, which had |
| 14 | nothing to do. |
| 15 | I'm not going to let you do it, |
| 16 | Simon. It's a waste of time. We sat here |
| 17 | all day since 10:00. Okay. |
| 18 | MR. ALLENTUCH: All right. All |
| 19 | right, Jackie. You do whatever you need |
| 20 | to do. |
| 21 | MS. FUSCO: Exactly. |
| 22 | MR. ALLENTUCH: All right. |
| 23 | MS. FUSCO: Because we didn't take |
| 24 | this long on LeMay (ph.). |
| 25 | MR. ALLENTUCH: LeMay was two days. |

| | <u> </u> |
|----|--|
| 1 | The first day was 300 pages. |
| 2 | MS. FUSCO: Look at the time. We |
| 3 | finished early the first time. |
| 4 | MR. ALLENTUCH: I don't want to |
| 5 | argue. |
| 6 | MS. GOODSTEIN: It went until |
| 7 | 4:00 the next day and then it went for |
| 8 | another two hours. |
| 9 | MS. FUSCO: Not even. It was very |
| 10 | short the second day, but that was three |
| 11 | hours. |
| 12 | MS. GOODSTEIN: You know, I have been |
| 13 | sitting here and everything was relevant |
| 14 | to the case. Maybe there was a 30-second |
| 15 | discussion |
| 16 | MS. FUSCO: Tell me, how is Louis |
| 17 | Pasteur and Sir Isaac Newton |
| 18 | MS. GOODSTEIN: As I just said, maybe |
| 19 | there was 30 seconds that perhaps wasn't |
| 20 | relevant, but we didn't spend a lot of |
| 21 | time talking about it. |
| 22 | MS. FUSCO: The point is, if you can |
| 23 | let me know how much time you need, I will |
| 24 | see if we can do that. |
| 25 | MR. ALLENTUCH: Thank you. |

| | Page 204 | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | MS. GOODSTEIN: All right. So the | | | |
| 2 | deposition is continued. We can go off | | | |
| 3 | for today. | | | |
| 4 | MR. ALLENTUCH: Thank you. | | | |
| 5 | MS. FUSCO: Continued with a | | | |
| 6 | contingent with a reasonable amount of | | | |
| 7 | additional time required. | | | |
| 8 | 8 (Attorney Allentuch retained | | | |
| 9 | Exhibit 1.) | | | |
| 10 | (TIME CONCLUDED: 5:00 p.m.) | | | |
| 11 | * * * * * | | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |

| Page | 20 | 6 |
|-------|----|---|
| - 490 | | _ |

| | rage 206 |
|----|--|
| 1 | ROBIN SHERWOOD and GREG HOELSCHER |
| 2 | v. |
| 3 | STAMFORD HEALTH SYSTEM, INC., et al. |
| 4 | DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY |
| 5 | I declare under penalty of perjury |
| 6 | that I have read the entire transcript of |
| 7 | my Deposition taken in the captioned matter |
| 8 | or the same has been read to me, and |
| 9 | the same is true and accurate, save and |
| 10 | except for changes and/or corrections, if |
| 11 | any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION |
| 12 | ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding |
| 13 | that I offer these changes as if still under |
| 14 | oath. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | ROBIN SHERWOOD |
| 18 | |
| 19 | Subscribed and sworn to before me on |
| 20 | |
| 21 | the day of, 20 |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | Notary Public, in and for the state of |
| 25 | My Commission Expires: |

| | | Pag | ge 207 |
|----|--|------------|--------|
| 1 | I N D E X | | |
| 2 | WITNESS EXAMINATION BY | | PAGE |
| 3 | ROBIN SHERWOOD | | |
| 4 | Direct - Mr. Allentuch | n | 5 |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | INFORMATION REQUEST | | |
| 7 | INSTRUCTION: | PAGE | LINE |
| 8 | NOT TO ANSWER BY: Ms. Fusco | | 23 |
| 9 | NOT TO ANSWER BY: Ms. Fusco
NOT TO ANSWER BY: Ms. Fusco | 31
32 | 18 |
| 10 | NOT TO ANSWER BY: Ms. Fusco
NOT TO ANSWER BY: Ms. Fusco | 33
40 | |
| 11 | NOT TO ANSWER BY: Ms. Fusco
NOT TO ANSWER BY: Ms. Fusco | 174
187 | |
| 12 | RULINGS: (None) | | |
| 13 | TO BE FURNISHED: (None) | | |
| 14 | REQUESTS: (None) | | |
| 15 | MOTIONS: (None) | | |
| 16 | E X H I B I T S | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | EXHIBIT 1 Amended Complaint | | 37 |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |

| | | Page 208 |
|----|--------------------------------|----------|
| 1 | DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEE! | r |
| 2 | Page NoLine NoChange to:_ | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | Reason for change: | <u>.</u> |
| 5 | Page NoLine NoChange to: | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | Reason for change: | |
| 8 | Page NoLine NoChange to:_ | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | Reason for change: | |
| 11 | Page NoLine NoChange to:_ | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | Reason for change: | |
| 14 | Page NoLine NoChange to:_ | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | Reason for change: | |
| 17 | Page NoLine NoChange to:_ | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | Reason for change: | |
| 20 | Dane No. 1 dane No. Channel | |
| 21 | Page NoLine NoChange to:_ | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | Reason for change: | |
| 24 | SIGNATURE: DATE ROBIN SHERWOOD | 7 • |
| 25 | ROBIN SHERWOOD | |
| - | | |

Exhibit 2

Alfillute Columbia University-College of Physicians & Surgeons Member NewYork Presbytesian Healthcare System A Planetroe Hospital [Patient Addressograph]

SHERWOODR_SHIP_MDR000043

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | HISTORY | Shoreer |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Date: 1/13/06 | Time: | |
| Chief Complaint / Dx: | | |
| | · | |
| HPI: | | |
| GI SOL | FY & 0/1 | Jone-orter & wasonah St. |
| | | 3 |
| Urgaz | + Fry - 6-7 | Nort |
| | | 0 () |
| Sc7-+ | V-je- ra-e | l'adir p |
| - Incaple 4 | World Wesst-wolon | le face Put-6 |
| ·· Corstag | | I read - When |
| PMH/PSH; | · | |
| Sex- | + bothered by por | bapre . |
| UBIL - | YUNSER | |
| C, 26(2- | | |
| | CFS-ch Cuts | Cit tack to |
| Meds: Amil- | Man ANAT | 1-10 |
| 11000. 74 7 | Myrams, ANA(1) | Bo-spen |
| 17791 - | . | |
| Medja | (gren (steep), | Doday a Smerty. |
| | Kyl-chy Ene | had out |
| Allergies: ☐ NKDA | | |
| | SU- GTORIL | |
| | | |
| | □ no □·yes □ yes | Tupanax |
| 210112110 | - July | - Mar-St |
| FH: | | |
| 1 | | |
| | | |
| T4TT0 8/04 | | - M H |
| 71779 rev. 8/04 | MD Signatur | re <u>/ / / / / </u> |

Affilip Columbia University College of Physicians & Surgeons Member Newton Prestryterian Healthcare System
A Planetice Hospital

[Patient Addressograph]

SHERWOODR_SHIP_MDR000044

| SYSTEMBOOK AREADS ST | | STANDERAD STAND | | |
|---------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| • Skin | The state of the s | | • | • |
| • Inspection | CI no rash | i | | • |
| | □ wni | ì | | |
| Palpation Chest/Breast | | | | |
| | O symmatric | | | • |
| | O no masses | } | | |
| Palpation Genitourinary | - 10 11/10000 | | | |
| Ferrale: | † | | Male: | |
| t and the fire | 1 | | Scrotal cont | ents |
| | 4 . | | Penlle exam | Q wnl |
| | 1 | | Digital rects | exam normal prostate |
| Bladder exam | - | • | | |
| Cervix | 4 | | | |
| Utarus | -} | | | |
| Adnexa/Parametria | La Faure For Salar | and discount rections | | |
| Level of Physical Exam: Problem | iem Pocusea =1-a siem
nded Problem Focused | alika j orđeni skemin. | system . | |
| EXPE | nosa rrobjem racusea
led ≈ 2 elements/ ≥6 on | an exclosic
a So digitiones i cidar. | 5 , | |
| Detai | ieu → z elementa zo uri
prehensive = ≥2 elemen | fel à oman systams | | |
| Comp | | the states to the same | | |
| • | ACA | 20 | | , |
| Labs: | /" J.C. | • | | |
| | | | _/ / | Sp - |
| | , , | >1.7 | e(0-1= +- | id (T-crk |
| - | ١ ا اسـ | 7/4 1 | - , | |
| | -(// | 111 | € No | SP SCT |
| | | 10 | The state | `c /) |
| | | 4 | | |
| | | 1-7 | | 5/- |
| | O(0) | , ~ | | 26/ |
| • | | | | |
| Impression and Plan: | • | | 0.11 | |
| impression and a lane | . البحد . | -A APTICA | · ~ Sestene | |
| | 190106 | d alle | : nyestene | |
| | • | | | |
| | | | | |
| : | /// | | | to teas Non |
| | 14 6 | p.l. | 164 | to Jene Not |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | Alexander | | |
| | 11 0 | MESKY | | |
| | 7 | 10 | . 1 | |
| | 4 | | | - Cet |
| | 10/6/10 | (ch(| 71-20 | 0. |
| | | | | <u></u> |
| | | | | |
| | 11-00 | // | | |
| | | <u> </u> | | |
| | | | | |
| | (Pe | · W | 1.16.66 | In theist (clo |
| | To Sel | | - 1 / | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | <u></u> . | | |
| | ·- <u>-</u> | | | |
| | | | | |
| | _ | | | |
| | | | | |
| • | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | // |
| | | | / | · |
| | | | | 7 . |
| | | • | / / | |
| | | MD Signatu | re | |
| | | | | |



30 Shelburne Road P.O. Box 9317 Stamford CT 06904-9317 203.276.1000

www.stamhealth.org

Affiliate Columbia University-College of Physicians & Surgeons Member NewYork-Presbyterian Healthcare System A Planetree Hospital

Brian J. Hines, M.D.

Director, Division of Urogynecology and Pelvic Reconstruction Surgery

Tel: 203.276.7269 FAX: 203.276.7780

April 10, 2006

RE: SHERWOOD, ROBIN Date of Service: 04/10/2006 Dear Dr. Komarynsky,

Thank you for the courtesy of referring your Robin Sherwood. As you know, she is a 49-year-old para 4 who presents with pelvic organ prolapse and stress urinary incontinence. She suffers with minor urinary urgency, but denies frequency as well as nocturia. She denies urge-related urinary incontinence. Subjectively, she has a difficult time emptying her bladder. She occasionally has mild constipation and complains of fecal urgency. She is presently sexually active, but is bothered by her prolapse.

Her past history is significant for 4 normal spontaneous vaginal deliveries.

Her past gynecological history is benign. .

Her past past medical history is significant for migraine headaches, bone spurs, and recently being found to be ANA positive. She has not had any previous surgery. She is presently taking Botox injections for her headaches and Xyrem p.r.n. for sleep. She denies toxic social habits and has an allergy to Topamax.

On physical exam, the vagina appears estrogenized. There is wethral hypermobility. The cervix appears elongated. There is descent of the posterior wall to the level of the introitus. The cervix descends 3-4 cm outside of the introitus. There is apparent kinking of the urethra with descent of the mid portion of the anterior wall to the level of the introitus. The genital hiatus is enlarged. A polyp is visualized extending from the cervical canal. She was able to generate a strong voluntary levator muscle contraction. She voided 200 cc with a postvoid residual of 20 cc. A multichannel prodynamic evaluation was performed. She experienced her first sensation of bladder filling at 120 cc and her first urge to void at 180 cc. A maximal urethral closure pressure with anterior wall repositioning of 70 cm of water was measured. No detrusor instability was evident.

Robin Sherwood suffers with mild stress urinary incontinence evident of urethral kinking and severe pelvic organ prolapse primarily involving the apexl. On April 12, 2006 she underwent an anterior Paravaginal repair, transobturator tension free sling and a sacrospinous ligament fixation to her cervix. She is recovering well.

I apologize that you did not receive this letter prior to her surgery.

Best regards,

cc: Inga Tuluca, MD

Stamford, Connecticut

| | Strand |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|
| Date | |
| | -3800 - |
| 1// | 116 12 |
| HOLA | (1- (20 |
| | Luch = 70 |
| | huch & 10 |
| | E/12 |
| | Posser & Sing on John |
| | |
| | melit- ast |
| | W/C = d L 707 |
| | 1- |
| | |
| a 6 7 | 1 0 1 - 1 1 1 1 1 1 TOZ |
| 415/ | 6 2-6- Sep At Mill TOR |
| | Nectal may of SSCF a |
| | do send epololos of an |
| | met 65cz @ Ug All |
| | -/ |
| | Nach Br |
| | -) 11/2 Gal-all |
| | 3/3/0 |
| - | 5/3/0 |
| | At ressent fle look |
| | Nechlingen dei vell |
| 731520 (7/00) | |
| | DOCTOR'S PROGRESS NOTES IP_MDR000041 |

Stamford, Connecticut

| Date | Sharcock |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| | |
| | |
| 3/11/0 | State of Attack ISSE |
| | state of Ather Isse of the sing of |
| | Call they I'p the |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 1E &DIC |
| | 15 \$ DIC
Orly 16 Value To VIA |
| | |
| | Cr -Store L - V3 At Sr E (CSelegel) F(L Ck) |
| | FIL (-t |
| | |
| | // |
| | 1 7 1. Cl At Pun 1SI CE |
| 011 | 5 7 cts sp At An BSCF |
| | = 10pc of 1ahl loc
4 ch 67 hom ald 127 |
| | 1 |
| | ME hang and |
| - | 3/3/2 |
| | 7/3/50 |
| | Me 4 mills |
| | |

Stamford, Connecticut

| | The-wood |
|-------------|------------------------------|
| Date | Jacraso |
| TI | |
| . | |
| -(/ (| (1. 1.66 - Cossi F 22 |
| 8/27/00 | Sold lift a corum F da. |
| 0 1 10 | J |
| · | Non D-Wix |
| | |
|] | · V |
| | 16 |
| | 17- |
| | 770 |
| L | |
| 1 Y | -2/2/40 |
| | 7 - 1 |
| ! | . <u> </u> |
| <u> </u> | |
| 1 | Af ressuch |
| | |
| | al alx |
| | |
| 1 | |
| <u> </u> | |
| | |
| | l l |
| | 6 14 6 -065 rg NF 1-164/SICF |
| 100/1 | 14 6 66 - 6 AF 1-64/SICF |
| 10101 | 11 6 2015 |
| 1' ' | |
| | do cert |
|] | |
| | |
| | AF 3/1/5 |
| <u></u> | J / J/ 8 |
| | |
| | |
| 1 | |
| | |
| 1 | l l |
| | 11 6 mar 64 |
| | PC (9 acros) |
| | · |
| | |
| | // |
| | 1- |
| | |
| | |
| 1 | |
| L | <u></u> |

731520 (7/00)

DOCTOR'S PROGRESSE MOTES IP_MDR000040

Stamford, Connecticut

Robin Sherwood

| Date | |
|------|---|
| | |
| | |
| Mido | - cla dipoena |
| | AE 7/1-8 |
| | The Essex schee |
| | AE JESSEK schee. Sile of pm |
| | Assured from Subic and one of or sport. |
| | - posselle Vy a deanent on |
| | stret. |
| | pto Cooker to mels they |
| | rete to Defor 1 Bull 2 |
| | Fresh Develop |
| | jort perestre |
| | |
| 8136 | + cla to /4-y pres to Scha |
| 1 | 11 7 |
| | Af 5 co-sider of the call like rollent |
| | At 5 co-sider of the cold like really |
| | 10 to at Togge and |
| | 6-6 (14 90 Gz 150 |
| | GAT March to |
| | 12 - 1/210ac // |
| | |
| L | |

731820 (7/00)

DOCTOR'S PROGRESS NOTES ODR_SHIP_MDR000039

Stamford, Connecticut

| Date | Sherwort |
|---------------|---|
| Date | |
| | |
| 0/1/2 | O 6 50 SUF SUF SUF STORE |
| 71/2/ 1 | (1) |
| | Joks Sp exist at SSCF stack and Sold coops alaban one. |
| ļ | On 10 beson - Ch Non Show |
| ļ | O- 10 Vera Comment |
| | |
| | the all allower to open |
| | He will achorde to spec |
| |] |
| | AL & S Verre. Fa 2-) needs |
| | ra 2. seelly |
| | |
| | |
| 12/2010 | of the diposit to the stand |
| | Sy real of expend of the |
| | |
| | |
| · . | DE ful @ SSCF
Mest @ root sti buth |
| | Mest O get site sunt |
| | t finds |
| | Nilleind eline Stell |
| | ce-one Art for our twhe organ 1002 |
| | sel- to Derelle Aff |
| | 1164 |
| 731520 (7/00) | DOCTOR'S PROGRESSENOTESIP_MDR000037 |

STAMFORD HOSPITAL OPERATIVE REPORT

Sherwood, Robin 0001527340 386661 ADMITTED: 04/12/2006 M2N220802

SURGEON: Brian J. Hines, MD

ASSISTANT: Allyson Servoss, MD

DATE: 04/12/2006

PREOP DIAGNOSIS: Pelvic organ prolapse and stress urinary incontinence.

POSTOP DIAGNOSIS: Pelvic organ prolapse and stress urinary incontinence.

PROCEDURE: Anterior repair with mesh placement, sacrospinous ligament fixation, placement of transobturator suburethral sling, and repair of rectal laceration.

FINDINGS: There was complete detachment of the apical supports of the vagina. The anterior wall descended past the level of the introitus. There was stress urinary incontinence. There was a low rectocele.

ANESTHESIA: Spinal with sedation.

ESTIMATED BLOOD LOSS: 200 mL.

FLUID REPLACEMENT: 1200 mL LR.

COMPLICATIONS: Rectal laceration repaired in OR.

PROCEDURE: Informed consent was obtained from the patient, who was then brought to the operating room, where a spinal anesthesia was administered without difficulty. She was prepped and draped in the normal sterile fashion and placed in the dorsal lithotomy position with her legs in candy cane stirrups. Careful attention was paid not to overly flex, abduct, or externally rotate the hips. A midline incision was made in the anterior vaginal wall, and the perivesical spaces were developed bilaterally. A Prolene suture was placed through the cervix. A 2-0 Vicryl suture was placed on the undersurface of the anterior vaginal wall. A separate incision was made underneath the urethra. This dissection was extended laterally to the inferior pubic ramus. Incisions were made over the groin areas on both sides over the most superior and medial aspects and over the most dependent aspect. Prolift trocars were introduced through the most dependent incisions and brought out through the arcus tendineus approximately a centimeter ventral to the ischial spine. This was done on both sides. The trocar was removed, and a retrieving wire was advanced through the cannula. This was repeated on the more superior and medial incisions, and this exited the pelvis just under the pubic symphysis. Monarch trocars were then passed through these more superior and medial incisions and exited through the separate incision underneath the mid urethra. A Monarch sling was attached to these instruments and exteriorized. The bladder was inspected with a 70-degree, 17-French cystoscope, and no trauma was identified to the bladder. The sling was adjusted to lie at the level of the mid urethra without tension. This was confirmed by placing a Kelly clamp between the urethra and the sling. The plastic sheaths covering the sling were removed. The sling was cut at the level of the skin, and the vaginal incision under the urethra was closed. An anterior Prolift graft was then attached to the Prolene and Vicryl sutures as well as to the retrieving cords. The ends of the graft were then attached to the retrieving instruments which were exteriorized. The graft was copiously irrigated with bacitracin, and the anterior vaginal wall was closed with 2-0 Vicryl suture in a running locked fashion. A small incision was then made under the posterior lip of the cervix. The perirectal space on the patient's right side was developed. A Miya hook was used to place a #1 60-inch Prolene suture through the sacrospinous ligament. A rectal exam was performed. No trauma was identified to the rectum at this time. The auture was attached to the undersurface of the cervix in 2 places. Three separate sutures of 0 Vicryl were placed and left untied through this incision. A separate incision was then made approximately 3 cm in from the introitus.

1 *COPY* Send To: Brian J. Hines, MD DICTATED BUT NOT REVIEWED

To: Brian J. Hines, MD

OPERATIVE REPORT

Sherwood, Robin 0001527340 U 386661 ADMITTED: 04/12/2006 M2N220802

The spaces bilaterally were developed. It was appreciated that, while passing the right trocar through an incision made lateral and inferior to the anus, the trocar went through the rectum. The trocar was removed. The injury was identified and was closed in 2 layers, the first with 4-0 Vicryl, the second with 3-0 Vicryl. This area was copiously irrigated with bacitracin. The previous dissection through the posterior vaginal wall near the apex was again irrigated with bacitracin. A careful rectal exam confirmed that the defect had been completely repaired. A copiously irrigated with bacitracin. A careful rectal exam confirmed that the defect had been completely repaired. A copiously integrated with bacitracin. A careful rectal exam confirmed that the defect had been completely repaired. A copiously irrigated with bacitracin. A careful rectal exam confirmed that the defect had been completely repaired. A copiously irrigated with bacitracin. A careful rectal exam confirmed that the defect had been completely repaired. A copiously irrigated with bacitracin. A careful rectal exam confirmed that the defect had been completely repaired. A copiously irrigated with bacitracin. The vagina was closed over the sacrospinous sutures. The anterior graft was adjusted to lie without tension. The vagina was packed with 1-inch plain packing. All skin incisions were closed with 4-0 Vicryl suture. The patient tolerated the procedure well. She was brought to the recovery room in stable condition.

Brian J. Hines, MD

hsb/

d: 04/12/2006 10:09 A t: 04/13/2006 8:52 A j: 001330221/542337 cc:: Brian J. Hines, MD Allyson Servoss, M.D.

HS Job #: 868725 / 33675 /

M

STAMFORD HOSPITAL **DISCHARGE SUMMARY**

From: ChartScript Ripujeet x2013

Sherwood, Robin 0001527340 386561 ADMITTED: 04/12/2006 DISCHARGED: 04/15/2006

The patient was admitted to the hospital on 4/12/2006. Please see separately dictated operative report for complete surgical details. On postoperative day one, the patient was comfortable. She had positive flatus. She was on intravenous antibiotics and she was started on Colace. On postoperative day two, she complained of pain at the coccyx which was similar to pain that she had preoperatively. She was advanced to a regular diet. Her Labs from postoperative day one revealed a white blood cell count of 7.7 and a Hematocrit of 29. On postoperative day three, the patient had a large bowel movement without difficulty and was discharged home in stable condition.

Brian J. Hines, MD

jh/BJH

d: 05/08/2006 1:53 P t: 05/09/2006 9:13 A j: 001349952/550974 Brian J. Hines, MD

Received Fax :

Aug 30 2007 7:25AM

Fax Station: urogynct.com

To: Brian J. Hines, MD

From: ChartScript Ripujeet x2013

Page 1 of 3

Thursday, August 30, 2007 8:33:31 AM

STAMFORD HOSPITAL OPERATIVE REPORT Sherwood, Robin 0006032346 386661 ADMITTED: 08/29/2007 ORH

SURGEON: Brian J Hincs, MD

ASSISTANT: Allyson Servoss, MD

DATE: 8-29-07

PREOP DIAGNOSIS: Exposed vaginal mesh material.

POSTOP DIAGNOSIS: Exposed previously placed sacrospinous ligament sutured at the vaginal apex.

FINDINGS: There were 2 sacrospinous sutures each were approximately 2 cm in length that were protruding through

the vaginal apex.

ANESTHESIA: General anesthesia.

ESTIMATED BLOOD LOSS: Minimal.

FLUID REPLACEMENT: 300 mL LR.

COMPLICATIONS: Nonc.

CONDITION: Stable.

PROCEDURE: After informed consent was obtained from the patient, she was brought to the operating room where general anesthesia was administered without difficulty. She was prepped and draped in the normal sterile fashion and placed in the dorsal lithotomy position with her legs in candy-cane stirrups. Careful attention was paid not to overly flex, abduct, or externally rotate the hips. Breisky retractors were placed in the vagina. Two sutures, each of approximately 2 cm in length, were exposed in the vaginal apex. These were trimmed. One was definitively trimmed below the knot. The other one was trimmed near the knot. The vaginal opening was closed with a 2-0 Vieryl suture. A rectal exam was performed confirming no trauma to the rectum. The apex was evaluated for support and was determined to be well supported still to the sacrospinous ligament. Therefore, a repeat vault suspension procedure was not performed. The patient tolerated the procedure well.

hsb/

d: 08/29/2007 9:45 A t: 08/30/2007 5:06 A j: 001705727/708589 cc:: Brian J. Hines, MD

Allyson Servoss, M.D.

HS Job #: 637135 / 31764 / 36274

Brian J. Hines, MD